MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



118th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION-1997

Legislative Document

No. 1784

H.P. 1257

House of Representatives, April 14, 1997

An Act to Expedite the Operation of Prelitigation Screening Panels under the Maine Health Security Act.

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

JOSEPH W. MAYO, Clerk

Presented by Representative THOMPSON of Naples.

2

4

24

26

Sec. 1. 24 MRSA §2853, sub-§4, as amended by PL 1995, c. 571, §1, is further amended to read:

- 6 Filing of records and admissible evidence; time for hearing; extensions. Within 20 days of entry of appearance, the person or persons accused shall eentact-the-claimant's-counsel 8 and-by-agreement-shall-designate-a-timetable-for-filing file all 10 relevant medical and provider records necessary determination of the panel and-for-completing-discovery. 12 parties-are-unable-to-agree-on-a-timetable-within-60-days-of-the entry-of-appearance/-the-claimant-shall-notify-the-chair-of-the 14 panel - The chair shall then establish a timetable for the filing of-all-relevant-records-and-reasonable-discovery,-which-must-be filed-at-least-30-days-before-any-hearing-date. Within 40 days 16 of filing of the medical and provider records, each party shall file any additional admissible evidence, including, but not 18 limited to, statements by experts without the necessity of the experts appearing at the hearing. The hearing may not be later 20 than 6 $\underline{4}$ months from the service of the notice of claim upon the clerk, except when the time period has been extended by the panel 22 chair in accordance with this subchapter.
 - Sec. 2. 24 MRSA §2853, sub-§5, as amended by PL 1991, c. 505, §3, is further amended to read:
- Lawsuits. The pretrial screening may be bypassed if all 28 parties agree upon a resolution of the claim by lawsuit or if the hearing has not been held within 4 months from the service of the 30 notice of claim and the plaintiff has not requested that the time period be extended. All parties to a claim may, by written 32 agreement, submit a claim to the binding determination of the 34 panel, either prior to or after the commencement of a lawsuit. Both parties may agree to bypass the panel and commence a lawsuit 36 for any reason, or may request that certain preliminary legal affirmative defenses or issues be litigated prior to submission 38 of the case to the panel. The panel has no jurisdiction to hear or decide, absent the agreement of the parties, dispositive legal affirmative defenses, except: compliance with practice parameters 40 or risk management protocols adopted under section 2973 if the 42 defendant is a participant in the medical liability demonstration project established under subchapter IX and intends to introduce 44 evidence of compliance at trial; and comparative negligence. panel chair may require the parties to litigate, by motion, 46 dispositive legal affirmative defenses in the Superior Court prior to submission of the case to the panel. Any such defense, as well as any motion relating to discovery that the panel chair 48 has chosen not to rule on may be presented, by motion, in

Superior Court without the necessity of a complaint having first been filed.

Sec. 3. 24 MRSA §2853, sub-§7, as amended by PL 1991, c. 505, §4, is further amended to read:

6

2

7. Extensions of time. All requests for extension of time under this subchapter must be made to the panel chair. The chair may extend any time period under this subchapter for good cause, except that the chair may not extend any time period that would result in the hearing being held more than ene-year 6 months from the filing of notice of claim upon the clerk unless good cause is shown.

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

36

38

40

42

44

10

12

Sec. 4. 24 MRSA $\S2854$, as amended by PL 1989, c. 361, $\S\S7$ and 10, is further amended to read:

§2854. Presentation of case

Procedure. The chair may require that the parties present the case in writing and that oral presentation be permitted only to supplement or refute the written submissions. The claimant or a representative of the claimant shall present the case before the panel, in writing or orally as required by the chair. The person accused of professional negligence or his representative shall make that person's а presentation. Wide When a hearing is held, the panel shall afford the parties wide latitude shall-be-afforded-the-parties-by the--panel in the conduct of the hearing including, but not limited to, the right of examination and cross-examination by attorneys. The ehairman chair shall make all procedural rulings and-his-rulings-shall-be, which are final. A The panel shall maintain a tape recorded record shall-be-maintained by the panel for its purpose only. The record shall must be maintained until 30 days after its the panel's decision and then destroyed pursuant to section 2856. The record shall may not be made public and the hearings are may not to be public without the consent of both or all parties. The Maine Rules of Evidence shall do not apply. Evidence shall must be admitted if it is the kind of evidence upon which reasonable persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs. The panel shall make such the findings upon such the evidence as that is presented in written presentations at the hearing, the records and any expert opinions provided by or sought by the panel or the parties.

After presentation by the parties, as provided in this section, the panel may request from either party additional facts, records or other information to be submitted in writing or at a continued hearing, which continued hearing shall must be held as soon as possible. The continued-hearings-shall-be-attended-by-the same

members of the panel who have sat on all prior hearings in the same claim shall attend the continued hearings, unless otherwise agreed by all parties.

2. Settlement; mediation. The ehairman chair of the panel shall attempt to mediate any differences of the parties before proceeding to findings.

3. Failure to comply. Failure of a party, without good cause, to <u>submit written presentations</u>, to attend a properly scheduled hearing, to participate in authorized discovery, or to otherwise substantially comply with this subchapter, shall-result results in a finding made by a majority of the panel against that party and that finding shall-have has the same effect as a finding against that party under section 2857.

- Sec. 5. 24 MRSA $\S2855$, sub- $\S1$, as amended by PL 1991, c. 505, $\S5$, is further amended to read:
- 1. Negligence and causation. At the conclusion of the presentations, the panel shall make its findings in writing within 30 days by answering the following questions:
 - A. Whether the <u>evidence presented</u>, including any stipulations by the parties, permits a reasonable inference that the acts or omissions complained of er-found-by-the panel-to-exist,-er-as-agreed-by-the-parties, constitute a deviation from the applicable standard of care by the health care practitioner or health care provider charged with that care:
 - A-1. If the defendant is a participant in the medical liability demonstration project established under subchapter IX and has raised as an affirmative defense compliance with the practice parameters or risk management protocols adopted under section 2973, whether the evidence presented permits a reasonable inference that the defendant eemplied did not comply with an applicable parameter or protocol establishing the applicable standard of care;

B. Whether the <u>evidence presented</u>, including any stipulation by the parties, permits a reasonable inference that the acts or omissions complained of proximately caused the injury complained of er-as-found-by-the-panel-or-as agreed-by-the-parties; and

C. If a reasonable inference of negligence on the part of the health care practitioner or health care provider is found raised, whether the evidence presented permits a reasonable inference that any negligence on the part of the patient was equal to or greater than the negligence on the part of the practitioner or provider.

Sec. 6. 24 MRSA §2855, sub-§2, as repealed and replaced by PL 1989, c. 361, §§8 and 10, is repealed.

Sec. 7. 24 MRSA §2855, sub-§2-A is enacted to read:

8

10

12

2-A. Standard of proof. The standard of proof used by the panel must be whether, in viewing the evidence and all reasonable inferences from the evidence most favorably to the plaintiff, a jury could reasonably find for the plaintiff on the issues of negligence and causation.

14

16

- Sec. 8. 24 MRSA $\S2858$, sub- $\S\S1$ and 2, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 804, $\S\S12$ and 22, are amended to read:
- 18 1. Payment of claim; determination of damages. If the unanimous findings of the panel as to section 2855, subsections 1 20 and 2 2-A are in the affirmative, the person accused of professional negligence must promptly enter into negotiations to 22 pay the claim or admit liability. If liability is admitted, the claim may be submitted to the panel, upon agreement of the claimant and person accused, for determination of damages. If suit is brought to enforce the claim, the findings of the panel are admissible as provided in section 2857.
 - 2. Release of claim without payment. If the unanimous findings of the panel as to either section 2855, subsection 1 or $2 \ \underline{2-A}$, are in the negative, the claimant must release the claim or claims based on the findings without payment or be subject to the admissibility of those findings under section 2857, subsection 1, paragraph B.

34

38

40

42

46

48

50

32

28

30

36 SUMMARY

The purpose of this bill is to expedite and reduce costs related to the operation of prelitigation screening panels under the Maine Health Security Act. The bill requires that, unless the plaintiff has requested that a time period be extended, the hearing be bypassed completely if it has not been held within 4 months. This bill shortens certain time periods and requires that experts submit written statements rather than testify in person. It allows the panel chair to require the parties to make their presentations of the case in writing. This bill also clarifies the role of the prelitigation screening panels by changing the standard of proof used by the panel. Under this bill, the panel must determine whether the evidence presented to it and the permissible inferences from the evidence raise issues

of fact as to negligence and causation. This bill also corrects cross-references.