

DATE: 5-5-97

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

22

24

26

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

L.D. 1213

(Filing No. H-347)

MAJORITY JUDICIARY

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.

STATE OF MAINE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 118TH LEGISLATURE FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "//" to H.P. 896, L.D. 1213, Bill, "An
 Act to Create a Family Division within the State's District Court"

Amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "**§183.**" in the first paragraph in the last line (page 1, line 16 in L.D.) by striking out the following: "<u>and mediation</u>" and inserting in its place the following: '<u>to mediation</u>'

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated 28 "<u>\$183.</u>" in subsection 1 by striking out all of the first 4 lines (page 1, lines 18 to 21 in L.D.) and inserting in their place the 30 following:

'1. Family case management officers. The Chief Judge of the District Court, with the approval of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court, shall employ family case management officers. In selecting family case management officers, the Chief Judge shall give proper consideration to achieving statewide geographical representation in the Family Division.'

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "<u>§183.</u>" in subsection 1 in paragraph A in the 3rd line (page 1, line 25 in L.D.) by striking out the following: "<u>They must also</u> <u>have</u>" and inserting in its place the following: '<u>Other</u> <u>qualifications may include</u>'

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "**§183.**" in subsection 1 in paragraph D in subparagraph (2) in the

Page 1-LR0485(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "H" to H.P. 896, L.D. 1213

ر**د.** م

8

14

16

18

20

22

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

50

last line (page 2, line 4 in L.D.) by inserting after the "actions" the following: ', except that a contested 2 following: motion concerning interim parental rights and responsibilities, 4 excluding interim child support orders, may be determined by the family case management officer only if both parties consent to determination of the issue or issues in dispute by the family 6 case management officer'

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "**§183.**" in subsection 1 by striking out all of paragraph E (page 10 2, lines 16 to 18 in L.D.) and inserting in its place the 12 following:

'E. Interim orders in any of the matters included in paragraph D, subparagraphs (1) and (2) are effective immediately and are subject to de novo review by a judge at the final hearing. Final orders in any of the matters included in paragraph D, subparagraphs (3) and (4) are subject to appellate review in the same manner as any final order of the District Court. The family case management officer shall inform the parties of the rights of review established in this paragraph.'

24 Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "**\$183.**" by striking out all of subsection 3 (page 2, lines 25 to 26 28 in L.D.) and inserting in its place the following:

28 '3. Reports. The State Court Administrator shall keep statistical records relating to the cases handled by the Family 30 Division and report this information to the Supreme Judicial Court annually and to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over judiciary matters by January 32 15th of each odd-numbered calendar year.

The State Court Administrator shall evaluate the Α. functioning of the family case management officers in providing a system of justice that is responsive to the needs of families and the support of their children in light of the jurisdiction given to the family case management officers under this section. The State Court Administrator shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over judiciary matters no later than January 15, 1999 with recommendations, if any, for changing the duties provided in subsection 1, paragraph <u>D.</u>

B. The State Court Administrator shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over judiciary matters by January 15, 1999 explaining the justification for the particular geographic assignments of the family case management officers.'

Page 2-LR0485(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "//" to H.P. 896, L.D. 1213

2 4

6

18

20

22

24

28

Further amend the bill by inserting at the end before the summary the following:

'FISCAL NOTE

8 This bill includes the enabling statutory changes to create a Family Division within the District Court effective January 1, 10 1998. The 1998-1999 current services budget, Public Law 1997, chapter 24 includes additional General Fund appropriations of \$150,000 and \$303,264 in fiscal years 1997-98 and 1998-99, 12 respectively, and additional matching Federal Expenditure Fund allocations of \$304,546 and \$588,572 in fiscal years 1997-98 and 14 1998-99 respectively, for 8 family case management positions, 8 associate clerk positions, 1 social worker position, and 16 operating funds to fund the operations of the Family Division.'

SUMMARY

This amendment makes the following changes to the original bill.

 The Chief Judge of the District Court is expressly
 required to consider the needs of all geographic areas of the State in selecting family case management officers.

2. In the original bill, family the case management "appointed." 30 officers are This amendment uses the term "employed."

32

38

50

"Interest, training and experience in mediation and
 other alternate dispute resolution techniques, domestic violence,
 child development, family dynamics and case management" are made
 optional rather than mandatory qualifications for family case
 management officers.

 4. Family case management officers may decide contested
 40 motions on interim orders in actions involving paternity or parental rights and responsibilities, excluding interim child
 42 support orders, only when both parties consent.

5. Interim orders that family case management officers are authorized to decide are effective immediately and are subject to
de novo review by a judge at the final hearing. Final orders that family case management officers are authorized to decide are
subject to appellate review in the same manner as any final order of the District Court.

6. Finally, the amendment requires the State Court

Page 3-LR0485(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "H" to H.P. 896, L.D. 1213

(***** ⁶1 ⁵.

2

4

6

Administrator to report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over judiciary matters concerning the functioning of the family case management officers within the jurisdictional authority given them and with a justification for the particular geographic areas to which they have been assigned. The amendment also adds a fiscal note to the bill.

Page 4-LR0485(2)