MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



117th MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION-1995

Legislative Document

No. 1629

H.P. 1188

House of Representatives, December 22, 1995

An Act to Implement the Recommendations of the Study Commission on Property Rights and the Public Health, Safety and Welfare Establishing a Land Use Mediation Program and Providing for Further Review of Rules.

Reported by Representative TREAT for the Study Commission on Property Rights and the Public Health, Safety and Welfare pursuant to Resolve 1995, chapter 45.

Reference to the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary suggested and printing ordered under Joint Rule 20.

OSEPH W. MAYO. Clerk

В	e it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
	Sec. 1. 2 MRSA §8 is enacted to read:
S	8. Land use mediation; obligation to participate
	Assuming within the assembling business about anotherings in
	Agencies within the executive branch shall participate in
	ediation under Title 5, chapter 314, subchapter II, when
	equested to participate by the Court Mediation Service. This
<u>s</u>	ection is repealed October 1, 2001.
	Sec. 2. 4 MRSA §18, sub-§6-B is enacted to read:
	6-B. Land use mediation. The land use mediation program is
<u>a</u>	dministered and funded as follows.
	The Diverton of the Count Mediation Commiss shall
	A. The Director of the Court Mediation Service shall
	administer the land use mediation program established in Title 5, chapter 314, subchapter II.
	11C1e 0/ Cutycet 311/ paponaptet 11.
	B. A land use mediation fund is established as a
	nonlapsing, dedicated fund within the Administrative Office
	of the Courts. Fees collected for mediation services
	pursuant to Title 5, chapter 314, subchapter II must be
	deposited in the fund. The Administrative Office of the
	Courts shall use the resources in the fund to cover the
	costs of providing mediation service as required under Title
	5, chapter 314, subchapter II.
	57 Chapter 5217 Dancing Col 121
T	his subsection is repealed October 1, 2001. Any balances
	emaining in the land use mediation fund must be transferred to a
	onlapsing account within the Judicial Department to be used to
	efray mediation expenses.
	Sec. 3. 5 MRSA c. 314 is amended by repealing the chapter
h	eadnote and enacting the following in its place:
	CHAPTER 314
	COORDINATION OF LAND USE AND NATURAL
	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
	SUBCHAPTER I
	LAND AND WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL
	Con A EMDCA 92221 mile 9E
	Sec. 4. 5 MRSA §3331, sub-§5 is enacted to read:
	P. Describer on A.
	5. Reporting on the land use mediation program. The
<u>C</u>	ouncil shall report by December 1, 1998 and December 1, 2000 to

	the Governor, the Administrative Office of the Courts, the
2	Executive Director of the Legislative Council and the Director of
	the Court Mediation Service on the operation and effectiveness of
4	the land use mediation program established under subchapter II.
	The reports must list the number and type of mediation requests
6	received, the number of mediation sessions conducted, the number
	of signed mediation agreements, a summary of the final
8	disposition of mediation agreements, a narrative discussion of
	the effectiveness of the program as determined by the council, a
10	summary of deposits and expenditures from the land use mediation
	fund created in Title 4, section 18, subsection 6-B and any
12	proposals by the council with respect to the operation,
	improvement or continuation of the mediation program. This
14	subsection is repealed October 1, 2001.
16	Sec. 5. 5 MRSA c. 314, sub-c. II is enacted to read:
18	SUBCHAPTER II
20	LAND USE MEDIATION PROGRAM
22	\$3341. Land use mediation program
2.4	33341. Dand not mediacion program
24	1. Program established. The land use mediation program is
	established to provide eligible private landowners with a prompt,
26	independent, inexpensive and local forum for mediation of
	governmental land use actions as an alternative to court action.
28	
	Provision of mediation services; forms, filing and
30	fees. The Court Mediation Service created in Title 4, section 18
	shall provide mediation services under this subchapter. The
32	Court Mediation Service shall:
34) legion mediahana madan bhia mubabankan aba
34	A. Assign mediators under this subchapter who are
36	<pre>knowledgeable in land use regulatory issues and environmental law;</pre>
30	enorionmental raw.
38	B. Establish a simple and expedient application process.
-	Not later than February 1st of each year, the Court
40	Mediation Service shall send to the chair of the Land and
	Water Resources Council a copy of each completed intake form
42	received and each agreement signed during the previous
	calendar year; and
44	
	C. Establish a fee for its services in an amount not to
46	exceed \$150 for every 4 hours of service provided plus costs
	required for notice under subsection 5.
48	
	3. Application: eligibility. A person may apply for
50	mediation under this subchapter if that person:

2	A. Has suffered significant harm as a result of a governmental action regulating land use:
4	
6	B. Applies for mediation within the time allowed under law or rules of the court for filing for judicial review of that
8	<pre>governmental action;</pre>
10	C. Has:
12	(1) For mediation of municipal governmental land use action, sought and failed to obtain a permit, variance or special exception and has pursued all reasonable
14	avenues of administrative appeal; or
16	(2) For mediation of state governmental land use action, a right to judicial review under section 11001
18	either due to a final agency action or the failure or refusal of an agency to act; and
20	D. Submits to the Court Mediation Service all necessary
22	fees at the time of application.
24	4. Stay of filing period. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the period of time allowed by law or by rules
26	of the court for a person to file for judicial review of the
28	governmental action for which mediation is requested under this subchapter is stayed for 120 days from the date the application for mediation is submitted to the Court Mediation Service.
30	
32	5. Purpose: conduct of meetings: notice. The purpose of a mediation under this subchapter is to facilitate, within existing
34	land use laws, ordinances and regulations, a mutually acceptable solution to a conflict between a landowner and a governmental entity regulating land use. The mediator shall, whenever
36	possible and appropriate, conduct the mediation in the county in which the land that is the subject of the conflict is located.
38	When mediating that solution, the mediator shall balance the public's right to know with the flexibility, discretion and
40	private caucus techniques required for effective mediation. To ensure an open process, the mediator shall provide appropriate
42	notice of a mediation session to each person who was a party or an intervenor in the governmental action being mediated and any
44	other person whose participation is necessary or appropriate for a fair, full and open determination of the issues.
46	
48	6. Parties to mediation. A mediator shall include in the mediation process any person necessary for effective mediation.

including persons representing municipal, county or state agencies and abutters, parties, intervenors or other persons

50

significantly involved in the underlying regulatory action. A mediator may exclude or limit a person's participation in mediation when the mediator determines that exclusion or limitation necessary for effective mediation.

2.2

- 7. Admissibility. The admissibility in court of conduct or statements made during mediation is governed by the Maine Rules of Evidence, Rule 408 for matters subsequently heard in a state court and Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 408 for matters subsequently heard in a federal court.
 - 8. Agreements. Mediated agreements must be in writing and must be signed by the mediator and all participants in the mediation. An agreement that requires any additional governmental action is not self-executing. If any additional governmental action is required, the person who requested the mediation is responsible for initiating that action and providing any additional information reasonably required by the governmental entity to implement the agreement. Notwithstanding any procedural restriction that would otherwise prevent reconsideration of the governmental action, a governmental entity may reconsider its decision in the underlying regulatory action in accordance with the mediated agreement as long as that reconsideration does not violate any substantive application or review requirement.
 - 9. Application. This subchapter applies to all permit or variance denials on or after the effective date of this section.
 - 10. Repeal. This subchapter is repealed October 1, 2001.
 - Sec. 6. 5 MRSA §8056, sub-§6, as enacted by PL 1981, c. 524, §13, is amended to read:
 - 6. Attorney General review and approval. The review required in subsection 1 shall may not be performed by any person involved in the formulation or drafting of the proposed rule. The Attorney General may not approve a rule if it is reasonably expected to result in a taking of private property under the Constitution of Maine unless such a result is directed by law or sufficient procedures exist in law or in the proposed rule to allow for a variance designed to avoid such a taking.
- Sec. 7. 5 MRSA §8072, sub-§4, ¶¶F and G, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 463, §2, are amended to read:
- F. Whether the provisionally adopted rule could be made less complex or more readily understandable for the general public; and

Whether the provisionally adopted rule was proposed in 2 compliance with the requirements of this chapter and with requirements imposed by any other provision of law+; and Sec. 8. 5 MRSA §8072, sub-§4, ¶H is enacted to read: 6 H. For a rule that is reasonably expected to result in a significant reduction in property values, whether sufficient R variance provisions exist in law or in the rule to avoid an unconstitutional taking, and whether, as a matter of policy, 10 the expected reduction is necessary or appropriate for the 12 protection of the public health, safety and welfare advanced by the rule. 14 STATEMENT OF FACT 16 18 This bill establishes a mediation program for landowners aggrieved by government regulation. The purpose of this bill is to provide landowners with a prompt, independent, inexpensive and 20 local forum in which to resolve land use disputes without going 22 to court. Mediation is made available to any property owner who has suffered significant harm and who has failed to obtain relief through administrative appeal. It is not necessary for the 24 landowner to claim a "taking." 26 Once an application is filed with the Court Mediation Service, the time for further appeal is stayed for a period of 28 120 days while the attempt is made to achieve a mediated settlement. 30 32 The program is self-funded through fees established by the Court Mediation Service. Fees may not exceed \$150 for each 4 hours of mediation provided plus the expenses for any necessary 34 notice. Fees are paid by the party requesting mediation. 36 Although mediation will include all parties who may have a stake in the dispute, the mediator retains flexibility to meet 38 separately in private caucus with each interest group as is 40 customary in a mediation setting. 42 The existing Land and Water Resources Council is required to report on the functioning of the program in December 1998 and in December 2000. The program is repealed October 1, 2001. 44 46 Under the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, the Attorney

General approves all agency rules for "form and legality" before they take effect. This bill requires that the Attorney General

disapprove any rule that is reasonably expected to result in an

unconstitutional taking of private property unless the taking is

48

50

expressly authorized by the Legislature or unless there are sufficient variance provisions to avoid a taking.

Under current law, before adoption of any substantive" rule, the issuing department must submit the rule 6 review by the appropriate legislative committee jurisdiction that oversees that department. The committee ensures that the rule is consistent with statutory authority, 8 that it conforms with legislative intent, that it does not 10 conflict with other laws and that it is necessary, reasonable and not overly complex. This bill adds the following 2 criteria for 12 those rules that may cause significant reductions in property values.

2

14

24

1. Are there variances available to avoid an unconstitutional taking of private property?

- 2. Regardless of whether a taking might result, is the expected reduction in property values necessary or appropriate for the public protection advanced by the rule?
- The 2nd criterion is based on public policy judgments and is not limited to any constitutional standard.