

_	L.D. 1217
2	DATE: 6/23/95 (Filing No. H-602)
4 6	MINORITY JUDICIARY
	JUDICIANT
8	
10	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.
12	STATE OF MAINE
14	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 117TH LEGISLATURE
16	FIRST REGULAR SESSION
18	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " \dot{B} " to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217, Bill, "An
20	Act to Protect Constitutional Property Rights and to Provide Just Compensation"
22	-
24	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following:
26	
	рарт а
28	PART A
	PART A Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read:
30	
	Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read:
30	Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: §815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the
30 32	Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: §815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the
30 32 34 36	Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: §815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of
30 32 34	Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: §815. Inverse condemnation <u>1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the</u> context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings:
30 32 34 36	Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: §815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which
30 32 34 36 38	<pre>Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: §815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which property owners pursue their rights to just compensation in a situation in which the government has not formally</pre>
30 32 34 36 38 40	<pre>Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: \$815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which property owners pursue their rights to just compensation in</pre>
 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 	<pre>Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: \$815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which property owners pursue their rights to just compensation in a situation in which the government has not formally condemned the property of the owners. C. "Nuisance" means a use restriction inhering in the title</pre>
30 32 34 36 38 40 42	<pre>Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: S815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which property owners pursue their rights to just compensation in a situation in which the government has not formally condemned the property of the owners. C. "Nuisance" means a use restriction inhering in the title of the property or existing in background principles of the</pre>
 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 	 Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: Sats. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which property owners pursue their rights to just compensation in a situation in which the government has not formally condemned the property of the owners. C. "Nuisance" means a use restriction inhering in the title of the property on the effective date of this section.
30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46	<pre>Sec. A-1. 1 MRSA §815 is enacted to read: S815. Inverse condemnation 1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings: A. "Implementation of a regulation" means an imposition of a regulation to a piece of property. B. "Inverse condemnation" means the process by which property owners pursue their rights to just compensation in a situation in which the government has not formally condemned the property of the owners. C. "Nuisance" means a use restriction inhering in the title of the property or existing in background principles of the</pre>

rds

Page 1-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

COMM

2

4

б

8 Î

10

30

32

34

R. d S.

includes the effect of all regulations adopted, enacted and applied to the property before the owner acquired the property.

E. "Regulation" means a law enacted by the State or a rule adopted or applied by a state agency that directly or indirectly affects the value of property. "Regulation" includes a regulation or ordinance adopted by a municipality if the regulation or ordinance is required by state law.

2. Regulatory takings. For the purposes of this section,
 when implementation of a regulation by the State reduces the fair market value of real property to 50% or less of its preregulatory
 fair market value, the property is deemed to be taken for the use of the public.

3. Mandated regulation. For the purposes of this section, if state law requires a municipality to adopt a regulation or ordinance, the State is deemed to have adopted or applied the regulation or ordinance and the State is responsible for the payment of compensation or damages as required under this section.

4. Purchase or damages required. The owner of property 24 deemed to be taken under subsection 2 may file an action for inverse condemnation in Superior Court in the district in which 26 the property is located to require the State:

28 A. To pay damages reflecting the reduction in value caused by the regulation; or

B. To purchase the property at its preregulatory fair market value. The property owner or the State may elect to have the issue of compensation decided by a jury.

In determining the amount of the compensation or damages due the owner, the trier of fact may, but is not required to, consider • whether the regulation increases the value of any other property owned by the claimant.

40 5. Calculation of reduction. In determining whether a regulatory taking has occurred, the trier of fact shall compare
42 the fair market value of the property, as regulated, with the preregulatory fair market value of the property. When
44 calculating the reduction in value of the property the owner claims has been taken, other property in which the owner holds an interest, whether or not contiguous to the property the owner claims has been taken, may not be included in the calculation.
48

6. Ripeness. An inverse condemnation claim is ripe for
 adjudication when the regulation allegedly causing the taking is

Page 2-LR0109(3)

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

40

A. 8 5.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

enacted and first applied to the property. If the regulation provides an opportunity to obtain a variance, special exception or conditional use permit affecting the application of the regulation reducing the value of that property, the owner need submit and have rejected only one reasonable application for the variance, special exception or permit before the inverse condemnation claim is deemed ripe for adjudication.

A. An owner need not appeal the application determination, and multiple applications need not be filed, before the inverse condemnation claim is deemed ripe for adjudication.

B. An application is deemed rejected if the reviewing entity unreasonably delays a final decision on the application or imposes burdensome conditions on approval of the application. The determination whether a delay has been unreasonable or a condition burdensome is ordinarily a question of fact for the trier of fact in the inverse condemnation action. In determining whether a delay has been unreasonable or a condition burdensome, the trier of fact may consider whether the reviewing entity clearly articulated to the applicant the size or scope of the uses that would be allowed and that failure to so articulate may be viewed as suggesting rejection or burdensomeness.

C. Factual findings of the regulator in the proceeding rejecting the application are not binding in the inverse condemnation proceeding.

30 D. If the property owner claims that multiple regulations are cumulatively causing the reduction in value to the 32 property at issue, the owner need pursue only one variance, special exception, permit or other means of avoiding 34 application of one regulation causing reduction in value.

36 7. Alternate relief. Instead of paying the compensation awarded in an inverse condemnation action, the State may choose 38 not to apply the regulation reducing the value of the owner's property.

8. Limited retroactivity; pending applications. The 50% 42 standard for determining when private property has been taken for public use applies when any regulation or an amendment to a 44 regulation contributing to the reduction in the value of the property at issue is enacted or becomes applicable to the 46 property after the effective date of this section. If multiple regulations or amendments to the regulations reduce the value of 48 the property, only one of those regulations or amendments to the regulations contributing 10% or more to the reduction need be 50 enacted or become applicable to the property after the effective

Page 3-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "B" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

1. of S.

	date of this section for the 50% standard to apply.
2	Notwithstanding Title 1, section 302, this section applies to all
	applications pending before a reviewing entity on the effective
4	date of this section.
6	9. Exceptions. The 50% standard for determining when
6	private property is taken for public use does not apply:
8	
10	A. When the only use of the claimant's property that the regulation at issue precludes constitutes a nuisance; and
12	B. To regulations:
14	(1) Adopted pursuant to Title 38, sections 581 to 608-A concerning air quality;
16	
	(2) Adopted pursuant to Title 38, sections 401 to 424,
18	451 to 452, 464-470 or section 571 concerning
20	wastewater;
20	(3) Adopted pursuant to Title 38, sections 1301 to
22	1319-X or sections 1361 to 1371 concerning solid and
	hazardous wastes; or
24	
26	(4) That preclude the intense development of property
20	incompatible with the surrounding area as determined by a jury.
2.0	
28	
28	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for
30	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date
30	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for
	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication.
30 32	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to
30	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property
30 32	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to
30 32 34 36	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an
30 32 34	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for
30 32 34 36 38	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's
30 32 34 36	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding
30 32 34 36 38	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's
30 32 34 36 38 40	10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6.
30 32 34 36 38 40	 Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6. Arbitration. A property owner may choose to have the
 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6. 13. Arbitration. A property owner may choose to have the inverse condemnation claim arbitrated. If the owner chooses to
30 32 34 36 38 40 42	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6. 13. Arbitration. A property owner may choose to have the inverse condemnation claim arbitrated. If the owner chooses to arbitrate, the owner and the State shall select a 3-person panel
 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 	 Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6. Arbitration. A property owner may choose to have the inverse condemnation claim arbitrated. If the owner chooses to arbitrate, the owner and the State shall select a 3-person panel of arbitrators from a list submitted by the American Arbitration
 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6. 13. Arbitration. A property owner may choose to have the inverse condemnation claim arbitrated. If the owner chooses to arbitrate, the owner and the State shall select a 3-person panel
 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 	 10. Statute of limitations. The statute of limitations for asserting an inverse condemnation claim is 6 years after the date the claim becomes ripe for adjudication. 11. Nonexclusivity. This section may not be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of property owners under existing statutory, constitutional or common law. 12. Attorney's fees. If a property owner prevails in an inverse condemnation action, the State is liable to the owner for the reasonable costs of the action, including the owner's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of experts, but excluding costs incurred by the one reasonable application and rejection required in subsection 6. 13. Arbitration. A property owner may choose to have the inverse condemnation claim arbitrated. If the owner chooses to arbitrate, the owner and the State shall select a 3-person panel of arbitrators from a list submitted by the American Arbitration Association. The arbitration must be conducted in accordance

Page 4-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT ", " to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

2

4

6

8

10

14

16

18

20

22

24

38

40

42

50

and the State, with judicial review limited to that available under the Uniform Arbitration Act.

PART B

Sec. B-1. Commission established. The Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests, referred to as the commission, is established.

Sec. B-2. Membership. The commission consists of 9 members 12 appointed as follows:

> A. Five members from the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary; appointed by the Senate Chair and the House Chair of that committee; one member of the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources; and one member from the Joint Standing Committee on Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, appointed by the President of the Senate; and one member from the Joint Standing Committee on State and Local Government and one member from the Joint Standing Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry, appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Sec. B-3. Appointments. All appointments must be made no
later than 10 days following the effective date of this Act. The appointing authorities shall notify the Executive Director of the
Legislative Council upon making their appointments. When the appointment of all members is complete, the chair of the
commission shall call and convene the first meeting of the commission no later than October 1st, 1995. The commission shall
select a chair from among its members.

34 Sec. B-4. Duties. The commission shall study United States and State constitutional private property rights and examine the following questions and issues and any other issues concerning property rights that may arise:

A. Is there evidence that the State and other governmental entities have violated the rights protected by the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States by taking property through regulations?

B. Do state or other governmental entities' laws, rules, regulations or ordinances infringe on private property
rights as the laws, rules, regulations and ordinances interrelate to a government's responsibility for public
health, safety and welfare?

C. Is access of private property owners to the constitutional right to compensation unreasonably delayed in

Page 5-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT """ to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

2

the current adjudicatory process because of issues such as ripeness, exhaustion of remedies and statute of limitations?

4 6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

42

50

D. Should a statutory cause of action and a statutory process be created for property owners who are subject to diminution in property value as the result of governmental action? Should it state standards that have not yet been delineated by court decisions?

E. Should an alternative dispute resolution system or other procedure be offered in a takings claim if it provides a cost efficient and expeditious mechanism for property owners?

Is there an equal protection issue due to a governmental F. unit's action of exempting a property owner from application of a specific regulation on that property, because it may result in a takings by the government of property for a public purpose, but not exempting other property owners that do not suffer a takings? Regardless of the constitutional ability right, does the of a government to avoid compensating a landowner for a takings contradict public policy on grounds of fairness or justice?

 G. If a statutory process is enacted for private property owners to pursue the constitutional right to just
 compensation for property taken for public use, should a statutory process be created for property owners against
 nongovernmental entities that decrease property values?

H. What will be the impact on the state judicial branch and its service, if a statutory process for private property
owners to pursue a takings claim be enacted? Will the effect of its enactment be any different than similar new
laws that increase burdens on the state judicial branch?

36 I. Should the statutory process apply only to state actions or should it also include municipalities and local 38 governments?

40 J. Should private property owners be given the option of choosing inverse condemnation or damages?

K. Should the property owner be compensated the fair market44 value or highest and best use for the property taken?

46 L. Should a threshold be included in a statutory process that addresses the issue of self-definition of property lot
48 size, such as a minimum reduction of \$10,000 by the implementation of a regulation?

Page 6-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "B" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

M. Should multiple regulations be considered in an inverse condemnation claim, so that federal regulations are included in the consideration of a takings claim assessment in the diminution of value in the property?

N. Should attorney's fees for establishing a ripe claim beginning at the application for a variance, special exception or exemption be provided?

O. What have other states done to address the issue of inverse condemnation and regulatory takings? Have other states taken further steps to protect the rights of the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States?

P. Should a trigger of less than 50%, similar to the federal proposals, also be considered for the State?

Q. If other governmental entities are included with the State, is there an issue with the unfunded mandate provision
 requiring a 2/3 vote?

k. Should the laws be broadened to include other property, such as personal property, or be confined to real property?

24 26

32

22

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

S. Should a private landowner be paid for temporary takings?

Sec. B-5. Public participation; activities. The commission shall
hold at least 3 public hearings in different geographic areas of the State and shall give public notice of the hearings to solicit
public participation and comment. The commission may undertake other hearings, presentations or analyses it determines useful.

Sec. B-6. Recommendations. The commission shall submit a 34 report of its findings and recommendations with accompanying legislation to the Second Regular Session of the 117th 36 Legislature and to the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary by December 15, 1995. The commission report must represent the consensus of the members to the greatest extent possible. 38 The report must include:

A. An explanation of the current situation in Maine for property owners who make a claim for compensation based on the Constitution of Maine and the Constitution of the United States;

46 48

40

42

44

B. An explanation of any recommendation for legislation or further examination of specific laws, rules or regulations;

Page 7-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

The fiscal impact on the State or its municipalities of C. 2 any proposed legislation; 4 The fiscal impact on landowners because of current laws, D. rules or regulations that reduce the value of their land; and 6 Ε. An explanation of whether legislation or further examination of specific laws, rules and regulations 8 is needed or not needed. 10 Sec. B-7. Staff assistance. The commission shall request staffing and clerical assistance from the Legislative Council. 12 Sec. B-8. Compensation; funding. The commission members who 14 are Legislators are not entitled to receive the legislative per diem for each day's attendance at meetings of the commission. 16 The commission may seek, receive and expend funds from sources other than the General Fund. The Executive Director of the 18 Legislative Council shall administer the commission's budget. 20 Sec. B-9. Appropriation. The following funds are appropriated from the General Fund to carry out the purposes of this Part. 22 1995-96 24 LEGISLATURE 26 28 **Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests** 30 All Other \$4,250 32 Provides funds for the expenses of members and for public hearing and miscellaneous 34 costs of the Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the 36 Public Interests. 38 Sec. B-10. Allocation. The following funds are allocated from Other Special Revenue funds to carry out the purposes of this 40 Part. 42 1995-96 44 LEGISLATURE 46 **Study Commission on Constitutional Property** 48 **Rights and the Public Interests**

Page 8-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "B" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

R.dS.

2	All Other \$500
4 6 8	Allocates funds to authorize expenditures if private or public funds are received to support the activities of the Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests.'
10	Further amend the bill by inserting at the end before the statement of fact the following:
14	FISCAL NOTE
16	1995-96
18	APPROPRIATIONS/ALLOCATIONS
20 22	General Fund\$4,250Other Funds500
24 26 28 30 32	This bill will significantly increase the number of civil cases filed in the court system. Additional General Fund appropriations will be required to cover the costs associated with these additional cases. The amount and timing of the appropriations can not be determined at this time. The collection of additional filing fees will also increase General Fund revenue. These amounts also can not be estimated at this time.
34 36	The Department of the Attorney General will also incur significant additional costs to defend the State in these cases. The department has requested phased-in General Fund appropriations of \$181,883 in fiscal year 1995-96 and \$470,462 in
38 40	fiscal year 1996-97 to fund a new unit within the department. It can not be determined whether this level of funding is justified at this time.
42 44	The State may also incur significant additional costs to reimburse property owners for reductions in property values caused by state regulations. The amount and time of any
46 48	reimbursements will depend on the timing and outcome of suits filed in the court system. The State Planning Office has requested General Fund appropriations of \$5,000,000 in fiscal year 1995-96 and \$10,000,000 in fiscal year 1996-97 to set aside funding in a compensation trust fund for these contingent
	,

Page 9-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT """ to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

14

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

liabilities. At this time, there is insufficient data or experience to determine whether these amounts are appropriate.

The bill also provides a General Fund appropriation of
\$4,250 in fiscal year 1995-96 for the Legislature for the
expenses of members and a public hearing and miscellaneous costs
of the Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the
Public Interests.

10 The bill additionally provides an allocation of Other Special Revenue funds of \$500 in fiscal year 1995-96 to the 12 Legislature to provide expenditure authority if public or private funds are received to support activities of the commission.

The additional costs to provide staff and clerical 16 assistance to the commission can be absorbed by the Legislature utilizing existing budgeted resources.'

STATEMENT OF FACT

This is the minority report of the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary.

This amendment replaces the bill. It has 2 parts. Part A revises the original bill, creating statutory procedures for inverse condemnation actions. Part B establishes a study commission to examine takings issues. The amendment also adds an appropriation section, an allocation section and a fiscal note.

Part A

The Maine and United States Constitutions create а to just compensation when an owner's 34 constitutional right property is taken by the government for public use. This part of the amendment establishes a new standard by which to measure when 36 a state regulation affecting property value constitutes a "taking" and requires that relief be provided to the property 38 The relief may be in the form of the State not applying owner. that regulation to that particular property owner, paying damages 40 to the owner for the loss in property value or purchasing the owner's property by paying the landowner the fair market value of 42 the land before the state regulation was applied to that property. 44

This amendment reduces the scope of the original bill so that it applies just to state laws and rules that directly or indirectly affect the value of property. Although it includes municipal regulations and ordinances required by state law, the

Page 10-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

State is the governmental entity responsible for payment of compensation or damages.

As in the original bill, a regulatory taking is defined as 4 the situation in which the implementation of a state regulation. reduces the value of real property to 50% or less of its fair 6 market value before regulation. When calculating the amount of 8 the reduction in value, the only land to be considered is the land regulated. The value of other land the owner owns, whether or not contiguous with the segment of land regulated, may not be 10 used in determining whether there has been a 50% diminution in 12 value. The fact that the owner's surrounding lands have increased in value may be taken into account in determining the 14 appropriate level of compensation or damages.

16 The owner of the property may choose to have the State purchase the regulated land and provide just compensation or the 18 owner may choose to keep the land and accept damages from the State because of the reduction in land value. The State may 20 choose not to apply a regulation to that particular piece of property.

22

46

An inverse condemnation claim is ripe for adjudication when the regulation is first applied to the property. If there is provision for a variance, waiver or special exemption in the regulation, the property owner must make one reasonable application. Unreasonable delay or burdensome conditions are deemed rejection of the application.

Part A applies to new impositions of regulations in effect before the effective date of this Act if they were not imposed
before the effective date of this Act. For example, if wetlands on a person's property are not mapped until after this Act
becomes effective, that regulation is included in this Act.

36 This amendment applies to regulations already applicable to the property only if a new regulation or new application of an 38 existing regulation reduces the value of the property by at least 10% and the property value is reduced by a total of at least 50% 40 when all regulations applicable since acquisition of the property are combined.

These standards and procedures apply to all applications 44 pending before a reviewing authority on the effective date of this Act.

Part A does not apply to regulations that preclude 48 nuisances. It also does not apply to air regulations, wastewater regulations, solid and hazardous waste regulations and

Page 11-LR0109(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT (" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

regulations precluding the intense development of property incompatible with the surrounding area as determined by a jury.

Attorney's fees and other costs may be awarded to a prevailing landowner.

A property owner may choose to have the inverse condemnation claim arbitrated.

10

12

2

4

б

8

Part B

Part B establishes a Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests. 14 The commission consists of 9 Legislators from the different committees of 16 jurisdiction to study the issues regarding private property rights protection afforded under the Maine and United States 18 Constitutions and propose legislation that addresses the need to protect private property rights while considering the public's 20 interest in health, safety and welfare. The commission shall hold at least 3 public hearings to afford full public 22 participation.

The commission shall convene by October 1, 1995 and submit its report, including findings, recommendations and any proposed legislation, to the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary and to the Legislature by December 15, 1995.

28

26

24

Page 12-LR0109(3)