

	L.D. 1217
2	DATE: June 27, 1995 (Filing No. S- 360)
4	
6	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Secretary of the Senate.
8	STATE OF MAINE
10	SENATE 117TH LEGISLATURE
12	FIRST REGULAR SESSION
14	SENATE AMENDMENT " A" to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P.
16	867, L.D. 1217, Bill, "An Act to Protect Constitutional Property Rights and to Provide Just Compensation"
18	
20	Amend the amendment by striking out all of sections 1 to 10 and inserting in their place the following:
22	'Sec. 1. Commission established. Resolved: That the Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public
24	Interests, referred to in this Act as "the commission," is established; and be it further
26	
28	Sec. 2. Membership. Resolved: That the commission consists of 9 members appointed as follows:
30	1. Five members from the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary, appointed by the Senate chair and the House chair of
32	that committee; one member from the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources; one member from the Joint Standing Committee
34	on Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, appointed by the President of the Senate; and one member from the Joint Standing Committee on
36	State and Local Government and one member from the Joint Standing Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry, appointed by
38	the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and be it further
40	Sec. 3. Appointments. Resolved: That all appointments must be made no later than 10 days following the effective date of this
42	Act. The appointing authorities shall notify the Executive Director of the Legislative Council upon making their
44	appointments. When the appointment of all members is complete, the chair of the commission shall call and convene the first
46	moeting of the commission no later than October 1, 1995. The commission shall select a chair from among its members; and be it
48	further

•

•

.

M. J.S.

Page 1-LR0109(6)

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

Sec. 4. Duties. Resolved: 2 That the commission shall study federal and state constitutional private property rights and examine the following questions and issues and any other issues concerning property rights that may arise.

4

6

Is there evidence that the State and other governmental 1. 8 entities have violated the rights protected by the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution by taking property 10 through regulations?

Do state or other governmental entities' laws, rules, 12 2. regulations or ordinances infringe on private property rights as the laws, rules, regulations and ordinances interrelate to a 14 government's responsibility for public health, safety and welfare? 16

3. Iб access of private property owners to the constitutional right to compensation unreasonably delayed in the 18 current adjudicatory process because of issues such as ripeness, 20 exhaustion of remedies and statute of limitations?

Should a statutory cause of action and a statutory 22 4. process be created for property owners who are subject to . 24 diminution in property value as the result of governmental Should it state standards that have not yet been action? 26 delineated by court decisions?

5. Should an alternative dispute resolution system or other 28 procedure be offered in a takings claim if it provides a 30 cost-efficient and expeditious mechanism for property owners?

32 Is there an equal protection issue due to a governmental 6. unit's action of exempting a property owner from application of a 34 specific regulation on that property, because it may result in a takings by the government of property for a public purpose, but 36 not exempting other property owners that do not suffer a Regardless of the constitutional right, takings? does the ability of a government to avoid compensating a landowner for a 38 takings contradict public policy on grounds of fairness or 40 justice?

42 7. If a statutory process is enacted for private property owners 'to pursue the constitutional right to just compensation for property taken for public use, should a statutory process be 44 created for property owners against nongovernmental entities that 46 decrease property values?

48 What will be the impact on the state judicial branch and 8. its service, if a statutory process for private property owners 50 to pursue a takings claim is enacted? Will the effect of its

Page 2-LR0109(6)

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

enactment be any different than similar new laws that increase burdens on the state judicial branch?

2

6

22

26

38

44

- 9. Should the statutory process apply only to state actions or should it also include municipalities and local governments?
- 10. Should private property owners be given the option of 8 choosing inverse condemnation or damages?
- 10 11. Should the property owner be compensated the fair market value or highest and best use for the property taken? 12
- 12. Should a threshold be included in a statutory process 14 that addresses the issue of self-definition of property lot size, such as a minimum reduction of \$10,000 by the implementation of a 16 regulation?
- 18 13. Should multiple regulations be considered in an inverse condemnation claim, so that federal regulations are included in
 20 the consideration of a takings claim assessment in the diminution of value in the property?
- 14. Should attorney's fees for establishing a ripe claim.
 24 beginning at the application for a variance, special exception or exemption be provided?
- 15. What have other states done to address the issue of inverse condemnation and regulatory takings? Have other states taken further steps to protect rights under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution?
- 32 16. Should a trigger of less than 50%, similar to the federal proposals, also be considered for the State?
 34
- 17. If other governmental entities are included with the 36 State, is there an issue with the unfunded mandate provision requiring a 2/3 vote?
- 18. Should the laws be broadened to include other property, 40 such as personal property, or be confined to real property?
- 42 19. Should a private landowner be paid for temporary takings?; and be it further
- Sec. 5. Public participation; activities. Resolved: That the commission shall hold at least 3 public hearings in different geographic areas of the State and shall give public notice of the hearings to solicit public participation and comment. The commission may undertake other hearings, presentations or analyses it determines useful; and be it further

Page 3-LR0109(6)

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

2 Sec. 6. Recommendations. Resolved: That the commission shall submit a report of its findings and recommendations with 4 accompanying legislation to the Second Regular Session of the 117th Legislature and to the Joint Standing Committee on 6 Judiciary by December 15, 1995. The commission report must represent the consensus of the members to the greatest extent 8 possible. The report must include:

 An explanation of the current situation in the State for property owners who make a claim for compensation based on the
 Constitution of Maine and the United States Constitution;

14 2. An explanation of any recommendation for legislation or further examination of specific laws, rules or regulations;

3. The fiscal impact on the State or its municipalities of 18 any proposed legislation;

4. The fiscal impact on landowners because of current laws, rules or regulations that reduce the value of their land; and

5. An explanation of whether legislation or further 24 examination of specific laws, rules and regulations is needed or not needed; and be it further

Sec. 7. Staff assistance. Resolved: That the commission shall request staffing and clerical assistance from the Legislative Council; and be it further

Sec. 8. Compensation; funding. Resolved: That the commission members who are Legislators are not entitled to receive the legislative per diem for each day's attendance at meetings of the commission. The commission may seek, receive and expend funds from sources other than the General Fund. The Executive Director of the Legislative Council shall administer the commission's budget; and be it further

Sec. 9. Appropriation. Resolved: That the following funds are appropriated from the General Fund to carry out the purposes of this resolve.

1995-96

LEGISLATURE

с_э.

16

20

22

26

30

38

42

44

46

48 Study Commission on Constitutional 48 Property Rights and the Public Interests

50 All Other

\$4,250

Page 4-LR0109(6)

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

Provides funds for the expenses of members and for public hearing and miscellaneous costs of the Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests; and be it further

8 Sec. 10. Allocation. Resolved: That the following funds are allocated from Other Special Revenue funds to carry out the 10 purposes of this resolve.

1995-96

14 LEGISLATURE

16 Study Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests

18

26

28

30

32

36

38

44

2

4

6

12

\$500

Allocates funds to authorize expenditures if
 private or public funds are received to
 support the activities of the Study
 Commission on Constitutional Property Rights

and the Public Interests.'

All Other

Further amend the amendment by striking out all of the fiscal note and inserting in its place the following:

'FISCAL NOTE

1995-96

34 APPROPRIATIONS/ALLOCATIONS

General Fund\$4,250Other Funds500

The bill provides a General Fund appropriation of \$4,250 in 40 fiscal year 1995-96 for the Legislature for the expenses of members and public hearing and miscellaneous costs of the Study 42 Commission on Constitutional Property Rights and the Public Interests.

The bill additionally provides an allocation of Other 46 Special Revenue funds of \$500 in fiscal year 1995-96 to the Legislature to provide expenditure authority if public or private 48 funds are received to support activities of the commission.

Page 5-LR0109(6)

SENATE AMENDMENT " \uparrow " to COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 867, L.D. 1217

The additional costs to provide staff and clerical assistance to the commission can be absorbed by the Legislature utilizing existing budgeted resources.'

STATEMENT OF FACT

This amendment replaces the study commission with the study commission established in the minority committee amendment.

The major differences are as follows:

1. The number of members is reduced from 20 to 9;

The duties are more specifically enumerated in the
 minority study commission structure, and more extensive,
 including determining the fiscal impact of inverse condemnation
 and whether attorney's fees should be provided;

3. Concomitantly, the scope of the recommendations is increased; and

4. The allocation for the minority study commission is less than that for the majority committee report's study commission.

26 28 SPONSORED BY: 30 (Senator CASSIDY) 32 COUNTY: Washington

34

4

6

8

10

12

14

20

22

24

Page 6-LR0109(6)