## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



	L.D. 952												
2	DATE: June 15, 1995 (Filing No. s- 275)												
4													
6	NATURAL RESOURCES												
8	Reported by: The Majority of the Committee.												
10	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Secretary of the Senate.												
12	STATE OF MAINE												
14 16	SENATE 117TH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION												
	TIRST REGULAR SESSION												
18 20	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 347, L.D. 952, Bill, "An Act to Ensure Consistency Between State and Federal Environmental												
22	Requirements"												
24	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following:												
26 28	'Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §341-D, sub-§1, as amended by PL 1993, c. 328, §1, is further amended to read:												
30	<ol> <li>Rulemaking. Subject to the Maine Administrative</li> </ol>												
32	Procedure Act, the board shall adopt, amend or repeal reasonable												
	rules and emergency rules necessary for the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of any provision of law that the												
34	department is charged with administering. The board shall also adopt, amend and repeal rules as necessary for the conduct of its												
36	business.												
38	The department shall identify in its regulatory agenda, where when feasible, a proposed rule or provision of a proposed rule												
40	that is anticipated to be more stringent than the federal standard statute or regulation, if an applicable federal standard												
42	statute or regulation exists.												
44	During the consideration of any proposed rule by the board, where												
46	when feasible, and using information available to it, the department shall identify provisions of the proposed rule that												
48	the department believes would impose a regulatory burden more stringent than the burden imposed by the <u>corresponding</u> federal standard statute or regulation, if such a federal standard												

Page 1-LR1396(2)

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

2.2

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

### COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 347, L.D. 952

- statute or regulation exists, and shall explain in a separate section of the basis statement the justification for the difference between the agency rule and the federal standard statute or regulation.
- 6 This subsection is repealed January 1, 1998.

#### Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §341-D, sub-§§1-A and 1-B are enacted to read:

1-A. Stay. Except to the extent the department determines that a proposed rule implements a state law that is more stringent than the corresponding federal statute or regulation, any provision of the proposed rule that is determined by the department to be more stringent than the corresponding federal statute or regulation must be stayed for 60 days following adoption. During this 60-day period, interested persons may petition the board to have the Legislature review those provisions of the proposed rule that have been determined to be more stringent. The filing with the board of petitions from 5 or more interested persons stays the effective date of those provisions of the rule until 60 days after the filing, if the Legislature is then in session. If the Legislature is not then in session and is not scheduled to convene within the next 60 days, then those provisions of the rule that have been determined to be more stringent are stayed for 60 days after filing of the petitions to permit consultation between the legislative committee of jurisdiction, the department and other interested persons. Copies of the petitions that are filed, along with a statement from the department outlining the provisions of the rule that have been determined to be more stringent and the accompanying basis statement, must be submitted by the department to the Executive Director of the Legislative Council pursuant to Title 5, section 8053-A, subsection 3 upon receipt of the petitions. This subsection applies to new rules that are adopted by the board after the effective date of this subsection.

This subsection is repealed January 1, 1998.

1-B. Rulemaking. Subject to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, the board shall adopt, amend or repeal reasonable rules and emergency rules necessary for the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of any provision of law that the department is charged with administering. The board shall also adopt, amend and repeal rules as necessary for the conduct of its business.

The department shall identify in its regulatory agenda, when feasible, a proposed rule or provision of a proposed rule that is



### COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 347, L.D. 952

	anticipated	l to	<u>be</u>	more	stri	ngent	than	the	federal	standard,	<u>if</u>	aņ
2	applicable	<u>fede</u>	<u>ral</u>	<u>stan</u>	dard	exist	S.					

- During the consideration of any proposed rule by the board, when feasible, and using information available to it, the department shall identify provisions of the proposed rule that the department believes would impose a regulatory burden more stringent than the burden imposed by the federal standard, if such a federal standard exists, and shall explain in a separate section of the basis statement the justification for the
- 10 <u>section of the basis statement the justification for the difference between the agency rule and the federal standard.</u>

This subsection takes effect January 1, 1998.

Sec. 3. 38 MRSA §480-H, first  $\P$ , as repealed and replaced by PL 1991, c. 66, Pt. A, §16, is amended to read:

In fulfilling its responsibilities to adopt rules pursuant to section 341-D, subsection-1, the board, to the extent practicable, shall adopt performance and use standards for activities regulated by this article. These standards at a minimum must include:'

Further amend the bill by inserting at the end before the statement of fact the following:

FISCAL NOTE

28

30

32

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

The Legislature will incur some minor additional costs to review certain rules adopted by the Board of Environmental Protection. These costs can be absorbed within the Legislature's existing budgeted resources.'

34

#### STATEMENT OF FACT

**36** 

38

40

42

44

46

48

50

This amendment replaces the bill. It applies only to rules adopted by the Board of Environmental Protection and it requires a comparison of state rules with federal laws and regulations only if a corresponding federal law or regulation exists. If no corresponding federal law or regulation exists, or if the state rule implements a state law that is more stringent than the corresponding federal law or regulation, the amendment does not affect the rulemaking process.

A provision of a state rule that is more stringent than a corresponding federal law or regulation is stayed for 60 days after its adoption, to allow interested persons a chance to petition the Board of Environmental Protection to have the Legislature review the more stringent provision. If 5 petitions

Page 3-LR1396(2)

# COMMITTEE AMENDMENT



### COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 347, L.D. 952

are filed at any time within the 60-day period, the more stringent provision is stayed 60 days from the filing of the petitions.

4

6

8

10

2

During the 60-day stay period after filing of the petitions, the Legislature has an opportunity to review the proposed rule, to confer with the Department of Environmental Protection and interested parties and to take whatever action it finds necessary. The legislative committee of jurisdiction may choose to urge the Board of Environmental Protection to take whatever course the committee considers advisable or to introduce legislation to invalidate the rule.

12 14

If petitions are filed seeking legislative review, the department will send to the Legislature an outline of the more stringent rule provisions, a copy of the basis statement for the rule and copies of the petitions requesting review.

18

20

22

16

This process is repealed January 1, 1998 and the current law is reinstated. Current law requires the department to identify proposed state rules that it believes to be more stringent than federal law or regulations and to include in the basis statement for the rule a justification for the more stringent state rule.

24

The amendment also adds a fiscal note to the bill.

Page 4-LR1396(2)

# COMMITTEE AMENDMENT