MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



117th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1995

Legislative Document

No. 844

S.P. 305

In Senate, March 14, 1995

An Act to Improve Efficiency in Contracting and Program Evaluation for Social Services.

(EMERGENCY)

Reference to the Committee on Human Resources suggested and ordered printed.

MAY M. ROSS Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator PENDEXTER of Cumberland.

Cosponsored by Senators: CLEVELAND of Androscoggin, HARRIMAN of Cumberland, Representatives: BRENNAN of Portland, DAGGETT of Augusta, MAYO of Bath.

	Emergency preamble. Whereas, Acts of the Legislature do not
2	become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and
4	Whereas, current laws mandating a request-for-proposal
6	procedure for social service contracts awarded by the State are overly burdensome as state agencies have struggled to develop
8	appropriate request-for-proposal procedures by administrative rulemaking; and
10	Whereas, current laws governing requests for proposals in
12	the social services are overly burdensome on providers by deflecting valuable human and fiscal resources from the provision
14	of services to citizens of the State; and
16	Whereas, the national and statewide trend in the evaluation of community service providers is towards performance-based
18	contracts; and
20	Whereas, the request-for-proposal procedure unnecessarily duplicates the service evaluation function carried out through
22	performance-based contracting; and
24	Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within the meaning of the Constitution of
26	Maine and require the following legislation as immediately
28	necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety; now, therefore,
30	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
32	Sec. 1. 5 MRSA §20005, sub-§6, as amended by PL 1993, c. 349,
34	§21, is further amended to read:
36	6. Contracts and licensing. Through the director:
38	A. Administer all contracts with community service providers for the delivery of alcohol and drug abuse
• •	services;
40	B. Establish operating and treatment standards and inspect
42	and issue certificates of approval for approved treatment facilities, drug abuse treatment facilities or programs,
44	including residential treatment centers, and community-based service providers pursuant to section 20024 and subchapter
46	V; and
48	C. Ensure community participation by funding regional alcohol councils to:
50	
52	(1) Assist in the development of comprehensive state plans, the review of the effectiveness of existing
	Page 1-LR1521(1)

policies and services, and the identification of unmet 2 needs: (2) Review comment on proposed grants and and contracts; 6 Increase public awareness and participation; 8 Supply general reference information; and 10 Advocate for individuals in need of assistance. 12 The director shall ensure that councils are funded in a manner that recognizes local differences in cost and travel 14 distances and allows equal provision of services in each geographic area to the extent that funds are available 16 within the office for this purpose. 18 The director may delegate contract and licensing duties under this subsection to the Department of Human Services, 20 Department of Corrections or the Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation as long as that delegation ensures that 22 contracting for alcohol and other drug abuse services provided in 24 community settings are consolidated within the Department of Human Services, that contracting for alcohol and other drug abuse 26 correctional services delivered within facilities consolidated within the Department of Corrections and that 28 contracting for alcohol and other drug abuse services delivered within mental health and mental retardation facilities are 30 consolidated within the Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation. 32 The director may not delegate contract and licensing duties if 34 that delegation results in increased administrative costs. 36 The - director - may - not - issue - requests - for - proposals - for - existing contract -- services -- until -- the -- director -- has -- adopted -- rules -- in 38 accordance-with-the-Maine-Administrative-Procedure-Act-to-ensure that-the-reasons-for-which-existing-services-are-placed-out-for 40 bid-and-the-performance-standards-and-manner-in-which-compliance is-evaluated-are-specified-and-that-any-change-in-provider-is 42 accomplished -- in -- a--manner -- that - fully -- protects -- the -- consumer -- of services. 44 Any-new-contract-must-be-awarded-through-a-request-for-proposal 46 precedure-and-1/3-of-the-contracts-of-\$100,000-per-year-or-mere that-are-renewed-must-be-awarded-through-a-request-for-proposal 48 procedure-at-least-every-2-years. 50 The-director-shall-establish-a-procedure-to-obtain-assistance-and advice-from-consumers-of-alcohol-and-other-drug-abuse-services 52 regarding -- the --selection -- of -- contractors -- when -- requests -- for proposals-are-issued;

2	Sec. 2. 5 MRSA $\S20005$ -A, sub- $\S2$, as enacted by PL 1993, c. 737, $\S1$, is amended to read:
4	2. Performance-based contract. The director shall ensure that all agreements to purchase alcohol or other drug abuse
6	services entered into on or after July-1,1995 January 1, 1995 are performance-based contracts.
8	Sec. 3. 5 MRSA §20005-A, sub-§§4 to 9 are enacted to read:
10	
12	4. Contract term. Unless good cause is shown, all performance-based contracts have a minimum term of 3 years. The director shall develop an implementation schedule to ensure that
14	all contracts do not terminate in the same year.
16	5. Requests for proposals. The principal method for evaluating community service providers is through the system of
18	performance-based contracting. However, the director may award contracts through a request-for-proposal procedure in the
20	following circumstances:
22	A. A service contract that is valued at \$500,000 per year or more and is new or has been held by one agency for 8
24	years or more;
26	B. The director determines that the provider has breached the existing contract;
30	C. The provider has failed to correct deficiencies cited by the director;
32	D. The director determines that the provider is inefficient
34	or ineffective in the delivery of services and is unable or unwilling to improve its performance within a reasonable
36	time; or
38	E. The provider can not or does not respond to a reconfiguration of service delivery requested by the director.
40	
42	6. Request-for-proposal guidelines. The director shall adhere to the following guidelines when developing or modifying
44	rules for the request-for-proposal procedure.
46	A. Unless good cause is shown, the director shall publicly announce in newspapers of general circulation throughout the
48	State the intent to rebid a contract one year in advance of its termination date.
50	B. The director shall require any interested party to
5 2	submit a notice of intent to bid at least 3 months before

submitting a formal bid. The notice of intent must contain minimal requirements that demonstrate a prospective bidder's competence and ability to comply with the requirements of the contract.

2

4

32

34

36

3.8

40

48

50

C. The director shall hold at least one informational meeting at least 3 months before the due date for submission of the notice of intent pursuant to paragraph B. An informational meeting must be advertised in newspapers of general circulation with the location, date, time and purpose of the meeting. At the meeting the director shall provide detailed information to any interested party about the contract to be rebid pursuant to this subsection, highlight anticipated major changes from the previous contract and respond to questions.

D. If only one community service provider submits a notice of intent to bid, the director shall enter into a negotiated contract with that provider in accordance with the procedures established for performance-based contracts.

- 7. Relationship with community service providers. The director and the community providers awarded contracts pursuant to this section shall establish a cooperative relationship that enables the director to ensure that citizens of the State receive quality services while allowing providers to deliver those services in the most efficient and cost-effective manner. The director shall maintain oversight over community service providers to ensure the quality of services provided and allow the providers substantial discretion in the daily operation of their agencies.
 - 8. Reporting requirements. In determining the amount and type of data to be gathered by community service providers, the director shall consider the costs to community service providers associated with gathering data and reporting it to the State. Unless good cause is shown, the director shall require annual fiscal reports from providers. The director may require staff reports if a provider has experienced a change in staffing level.
- 9. Decision-making time frame. The director shall consider
 the costs to community service providers associated with delays
 in decision making by the director's office staff and endeavor to
 respond to the questions and requests of providers in a manner
 that minimizes the costs of delay.

 46
 - Sec. 4. 22 MRSA §12-A, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 1993, c. 737,
 §2, is amended to read:
 - 2. Performance-based contract. The commissioner shall ensure that all agreements to purchase social services entered

2	into on or after Julyl,1997 <u>January 1, 1995</u> are performance-based contracts.
4	Sec. 5. 22 MRSA §12-A, sub-§§4 to 9 are enacted to read:
6	4. Contract term. Unless good cause is shown, all performance-based contracts have a minimum term of 3 years. The
8	commissioner shall develop an implementation schedule to ensure that all contracts do not terminate in the same year.
10	5. Requests for proposals. The principal method for
12	evaluating community service providers is through the system of performance-based contracting. However, the commissioner may
14	award contracts through a request-for-proposal procedure in the following circumstances:
16 18	A. A service contract that is valued at \$500,000 per year or more and is new or has been held by one agency for 8
20	<pre>years or more;</pre>
22	B. The commissioner determines that the provider has breached the existing contract;
24 26	C. The provider has failed to correct deficiencies cited by the commissioner;
28 30	D. The commissioner determines that the provider is inefficient or ineffective in the delivery of services and is unable or unwilling to improve its performance within a reasonable time; or
32	E. The provider can not or does not respond to a reconfiguration of service delivery requested by the
34	commissioner.
36 38	6. Request-for-proposal guidelines. The commissioner shall adhere to the following guidelines when developing or modifying rules for the request-for-proposal procedure.
40	A. Unless good cause is shown, the commissioner shall
42	publicly announce in newspapers of general circulation throughout the State the intent to rebid a contract one year in advance of its termination date.
44	
46	B. The commissioner shall require any interested party to submit a notice of intent to bid at least 3 months before the date bids will be accepted as a precondition to
48	submitting a formal bid. The notice of intent must contain minimal requirements that demonstrate a prospective bidder's
50	competence and ability to comply with the requirements of the contract.

- C. The commissioner shall hold at least one informational meeting at least 3 months before the due date for submission of the notice of intent pursuant to paragraph B. An informational meeting must be advertised in newspapers of general circulation with the location, date, time and purpose of the meeting. At the meeting the commissioner shall provide detailed information to any interested party about the contract to be rebid pursuant to this subsection, highlight anticipated major changes from the previous contract and respond to questions.
 - D. If only one community service provider submits a notice of intent to bid, the commissioner shall enter into a negotiated contract with that provider in accordance with the procedures established for performance-based contracts.
 - 7. Relationship with community service providers. The commissioner and the community service providers awarded contracts pursuant to this section shall establish a cooperative relationship that enables the commissioner to ensure that citizens of the State receive quality services while allowing providers to deliver those services in the most efficient and cost-effective manner. The commissioner shall maintain oversight over community service providers to ensure the quality of services provided and allow the providers substantial discretion in the daily operation of their agencies.
 - 8. Reporting requirements. The commissioner shall consider the costs to community service providers associated with gathering data and reporting it to the State. Unless good cause is shown, the commissioner shall require annual fiscal reports from providers. The commissioner may require staff reports if a provider has experienced a change in staffing level.
 - 9. Decision—making time frame. The commissioner shall consider the costs to community service providers associated with delays in decision making by the commissioner's office staff and endeavor to respond to the questions and requests of providers in a manner that minimizes the costs of delay.
 - Sec. 6. 34-B MRSA §1208-A, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 1993, c. 737, §3, is amended to read:
- 2. Performance-based contract. The-commissioner-shall ensure-that-any-agreement-with-the-board-of-the-regional authority-for-Region-V-established-pursuant-te-Public-Law-1991, ehapter-781,-Part-C-entered-into-on-or-after-July-1,-1994-is-a performance-based-contract. The commissioner shall ensure that all agreements to purchase human services entered into on or after July-1,--1997 January 1, 1995 are performance-based contracts.

2.2

2	Sec. 7. 34-B MRSA §1208-A, Sub-§§4 to 9 are enacted to read:
2	4. Contract term. Unless good cause is shown, all
4	performance-based contracts have a minimum term of 3 years. The
-	commissioner shall develop an implementation schedule to ensure
6	that all contracts do not terminate in the same year.
8	5. Requests for proposals. The principal method for evaluating community service providers is through the system of
10	performance-based contracting. However, the commissioner may award contracts through a request-for-proposal procedure in the
12	following circumstances:
12	torrowing circumstances.
14	A. A service contract that is valued at \$500,000 per year or more and is new or has been held by one agency for 8
16	years or more;
18	B. The commissioner determines that the provider has breached the existing contract;
20	
22	C. The provider has failed to correct deficiencies cited by the commissioner;
24	D. The commissioner determines that the provider is inefficient or ineffective in the delivery of services and
26	is unable or unwilling to improve its performance within a
28	reasonable time; or
20	E. The provider can not or does not respond to a
30	reconfiguration of service delivery requested by the
•	commissioner.
32	
	6. Request-for-proposal guidelines. The commissioner shall
34	adhere to the following guidelines when developing or modifying rules for the request-for-proposal procedure.
36	
38	A. Unless good cause is shown, the commissioner shall publicly announce in newspapers of general circulation throughout the State the intent to rebid a contract one year
40	in advance of its termination date.
42	B. The commissioner shall require any interested party to submit a notice of intent to bid at least 3 months before
44	the date bids will be accepted as a precondition to submitting a formal bid. The notice of intent must contain
46	minimal requirements that demonstrate a prospective bidder's competence and ability to comply with the requirements of
48	the contract.
50	C. The commissioner shall hold at least one informational meeting at least 3 months before the due date for submission
52	of the notice of intent pursuant to paragraph B. An

	informational meeting must be advertised in newspapers of
2	general circulation with the location, date, time and
4	purpose of the meeting. At the meeting the commissioner
4	shall provide detailed information to any interest party
6	about the contract to be rebid pursuant to this subsection, highlight anticipated major changes from the previous
O	contract and respond to questions.
8	concrace and respond to questions.
ŭ	D. If only one community service provider submits a notice
10	of intent to bid, the commissioner shall enter into a
	negotiated contract with that provider in accordance with
12	the procedures established for performance-based contracts.
14	7. Relationship with community service providers. The
7.0	commissioner and the community service providers awarded
16	contracts pursuant to this section shall establish a cooperative
18	relationship that enables the commissioner to ensure that citizens of the State receive quality services while allowing
10	providers to deliver those services in the most efficient and
20	cost-effective manner. The commissioner shall maintain oversight
	over community service providers to ensure the quality of
22	services provided and allow the providers substantial discretion
	in the daily operation of their agencies.
24	
	8. Reporting requirements. The commissioner shall consider
26	the costs to community service providers associated with
28	gathering data and reporting it to the State. Unless good cause
20	is shown, the commissioner shall require annual fiscal reports from providers. The commissioner may require staff reports if a
30	provider has experienced a change in staffing level.
30	provider has experienced a endinge in scarring rever-
32	9. Decision-making time frame. The commissioner shall
	consider the costs to community service providers associated with
34	delays in decision making by the commissioner's office staff and
	endeavor to respond to questions and requests of providers in a
36	manner that minimizes the costs of delay.
2.0	Coo 9 24 D MDCA 92604 gub 92 4E
38	Sec. 8. 34-B MRSA §3604, sub-§3, ¶E, as amended by PL 1993, c. 624, §1, is repealed.
40	024, gr, is repeated.
	Sec. 9. 34-B MRSA §3604, sub-§3, ¶F, as enacted by PL 1991, c.
42	452, §1, is repealed.
44	Sec. 10. 34-B MRSA §3604, sub-§3, ¶G, as enacted by PL 1993, c.
4.6	n .
46	624, §2, is repealed.
4.8	Sec. 11. 34-B MRSA §6203, sub-§1, ¶H, as amended by PL 1991, c.
48	

H. Ensure that rules are adopted that specify the procedures by which a parent or guardian of a child in need of treatment may appeal decisions made relative to services

provided by the bureau; and

50

52

2	Sec. 12. 34-B MRSA $\S6203$, sub- $\S1$, \PI , as amended by PL 1991, c. 452, $\S3$, is further amended to read:
4 6	I. Provide a comprehensive system of support services, including respite care, to families with children in need of treatment.
8	Sec. 13. 34-B MRSA §6203, sub-§1, ¶¶J and K, as amended by PL
10	1993, c. 624, §3, are repealed.
12	Sec. 14. 34-B MRSA §6203, sub-§1, ¶L, as enacted by PL 1993, c. 624, §4, is repealed.
14	Sec. 15. Retroactivity. This Act takes effect retroactively to January 1, 1995.
16	Emergency clause. In view of the emergency cited in the
18	preamble, this Act takes effect when approved.
20	STATEMENT OF FACT
22	
24	This bill eliminates the mandatory request-for-proposal procedure for social service contracts between community service providers and the Department of Human Services, the Department of
26	Mental Health and Mental Retardation and the Office of Substance Abuse. It directs the agencies to ensure that all agreements to
28	purchase services from community service providers are