MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



52

| _ | L.D. 819 |
|------------|---|
| 2 | DATE: 6/15/95 (Filing No. H-492) |
| 4 | MAJORITY |
| 6 | NATURAL RESOURCES |
| 8 | |
| 10 | Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House. |
| 12 | |
| 14 | STATE OF MAINE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 117TH LEGISLATURE |
| 16 | FIRST REGULAR SESSION |
| 18 | Λ_{\angle} |
| 10 | COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " \mathcal{H} " to H.P. 609, L.D. 819, Bill, "An |
| 20 | Act to Require Notification to the Landowner When Land Is Being Considered for Placement in a Resource Protection Zone" |
| 22 | long the hill be shalling out something often the hills |
| 24 | Amend the bill by striking out everything after the title and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following: |
| 26 | |
| 28 | 'Mandate preamble. This measure requires one or more local units of government to expand or modify activities so as to necessitate additional expenditures from local revenues but does |
| 30 | not provide funding for at least 90% of those expenditures. Pursuant to the Constitution of Maine, Article IX, Section 21, |
| 32 | two thirds of all of the members elected to each House have determined it necessary to enact this measure. |
| 34 | De 'A succeed by the Decale of the Cante of Maine of Colleges |
| 36 | Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows: |
| 30 | Sec. 1. 30-A MRSA §4352, sub-§10, as enacted by PL 1993, c. |
| 38 | 374, §4, is amended to read: |
| 40 | 10. Additional notice; limited areas. Notice must be given |
| | in accordance with this subsection and subsection 9 when a |
| 42 | municipality has proposed an amendment to an existing zoning |
| 44 | ordinance or map that, within a geographically specific portion of the municipality, has the effect of either prohibiting all |
| | industrial, commercial or retail uses where any of these uses is |
| 4 6 | permitted or permitting any industrial, commercial or retail uses where any of these uses is prohibited. |
| 48 | where any or these uses is prohibited. |
| | A. The notice must contain a copy of a map indicating the |
| 50 | portion of the municipality affected by the proposed |

Page 1-LR1730(3)



COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " to H.P. 609, L.D. 819

B. For each parcel within the municipality that is in or abutting the portion of the municipality affected by the proposed amendment, the notice must be mailed by first class mail at least 14 days before the public hearing to the last known address of the person to whom property tax on each The-municipal-officers-shall-prepare parcel is assessed. and--file--with--the--municipal--clork--a-written--certificate indicating-those-persons-to-whom-the-notice-was-mailed-and at-what-addresses,-when-it-was-mailed,-by-whom-it-was-mailed and--from--what--location--it--was--mailed --- This--eertificate constitutes -- prima - facie--evidence -- that -- notice--was - sent -- to these--persons--named--in--the--certificate-Notice is not required under this paragraph for any type of zoning ordinance adopted under the laws governing growth management contained in chapter 187, subchapter II or the governing shoreland zoning contained in Title 38, chapter 3, subchapter I, article 2-B.

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

Any action challenging the validity of an amendment to a zoning ordinance or map based on a municipality's failure to comply with paragraph B must be brought in Superior Court within 30 days after the adoption of the amended ordinance or map. The-Superier Gourt-may-invalidate-an-amended ordinance or map-if-the-appellant demonstrates-that-the-appellant-was-entitled-to-receive-a-notice under-paragraph-Br-that-the-municipality-failed-to-send-the notice-as-requiredr-that-the-appellant-had-no-knowledge-of-the proposed-amendment-to-the-ordinance-or-map-and-that-the-appellant was-materially-prejudiced-by-that-lack-of-knowledge. Nothing in this subsection alters the right of a person to challenge the validity of any ordinance based on the failure of the municipality to provide notice as required in paragraph A and subsection 9.

Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §438-A, sub-§1-B is enacted to read:

1-B. Notification to landowners. In addition to notice required in Title 30-A, section 4352, subsection 9, a municipality shall provide written notification to a landowner in that municipality whose property is being considered by the municipality or by the board for placement in a resource protection zone. Notification to the landowner must be made by first class mail to the last known address of the person to whom property tax on each parcel is assessed.

44

46

48

50

42

A. If the municipality is considering placement of the property in a resource protection zone, the municipality must send notice not less than 14 days before the planning board first considers adoption or amendment of a zoning ordinance or map that places the landowner's property in the resource protection zone.

Page 2-LR1730(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " to H.P. 609, L.D. 819

| 2 | B. If the board is considering placement of the property in |
|---|--|
| | a resource protection zone, the municipality must send |
| 4 | notice not later than 30 days before the close of the public |
| | comment period prior to formal consideration of placement of |
| 6 | the property in a resource protection zone by the board. |
| | |

Any action challenging the validity of an ordinance based on the municipality's failure to comply with this subsection must be brought in Superior Court within 30 days after adoption or amendment of the ordinance or map. Nothing in this subsection alters the right of a person to challenge the validity of any ordinance or map based on the failure of the municipality to provide notice as required in Title 30-A, section 4352, subsection 9.

16

Further amend the bill by inserting at the end before the statement of fact the following:

20

18

10

12

14

FISCAL NOTE

22

24

26

28

This bill requires certain municipalities that have properties that are being placed in a resource protection zone to notify the property owners. The additional costs of this state mandate may be significant. Pursuant to the Mandate Preamble, the two-thirds vote of all members elected to each House exempts the State from the constitutional requirement to fund 90% of the additional local costs.

30

32

34

The Board of Environmental Protection will incur some minor additional costs to adopt certain rules pertaining to written notification to a landowner whose property is being considered for placement in a resource protection zone. These costs can be absorbed within the board's existing budgeted resources.'

36

38

STATEMENT OF FACT

The amendment replaces the bill. It specifies that the municipality is the notifying agent, whether the shoreland zoning ordinance is proposed by the municipality or by the Board of Environmental Protection. It also removes the requirement that notice be given by certified mail, and requires that notice be sent by first class mail, which may be certified mail with return receipt requested at the option of the municipality. The amendment specifies the timing of the notice and adds a municipal mandate preamble.

Page 3-LR1730(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT



6

10

12

14

16

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " to H.P. 609, L.D. 819

It requires that any challenge to the validity of an ordinance or map based on failure to give individual notice to landowners be brought in Superior Court within 30 days after the ordinance or map is adopted or amended.

The amendment also changes the law requiring a municipality to provide individual notice to landowners regarding changes in zoning ordinances relating to industrial, commercial and retail uses. It strikes language requiring municipal officers to record information regarding notices they sent and providing that the list of such information is prima facie evidence that the notice was actually sent. It strikes language requiring that a landowner challenging the validity of an ordinance based on the municipality's failure to provide individual noticedemonstrate to the court that the landowner did not receive the required notice, had no independent knowledge of the ordinance, and was materially prejudiced by the lack of notice or knowledge.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT