## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



## 117th MAINE LEGISLATURE

## FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1995

Legislative Document

No. 546

S.P. 203

In Senate, February 14, 1995

An Act to Change the Law That Determines When a Sentence in Excess of 20 Years May Be Imposed for a Class A Crime.

Reported by Senator MILLS of Somerset for the Criminal Law Advisory Commission pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, section 1354, subsection 2.

Reference to the Committee on Criminal Justice suggested and ordered printed pursuant to Joint Rule 20.

MAY M. ROSS

Secretary of the Senate

Be	it	enacted	bv	the	Peop	le of	the	State of	of i	Maine	as i	follows:

Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §1252, sub-§2, ¶A, as amended by PL 1987, c. 808, §§1 and 3, is further amended to read:

A. In the case of a Class A crime, the court shall set a definite period not to exceed 40 years. The court may consider a serious criminal history of the defendant and impose a maximum period of incarceration in excess of 20 years based on either the nature and seriousness of the crime alone or on the nature and seriousness of the crime coupled with the serious criminal history of the defendant;

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

8

10

12

## STATEMENT OF FACT

Criminal Code This bill amends the Maine to consideration of serious criminal histories when determining whether sentences of imprisonment for Class A crimes may exceed The purpose of this change is to separate the 20 years. statutory language from past legislative history that has been interpreted to prohibit the consideration of a defendant's serious criminal history in determining whether the sentence for a Class A crime may exceed 20 years. As used in this bill, "criminal history" normally refers to criminal convictions, but it could under less common circumstances include uncharged criminal conduct or criminal conduct initially charged but ultimately disposed of as part of a broader plea agreement.

30

32

34

36

38

In <u>State v. Lewis</u>, 590 A.2d 149, 151 (Me. 1991), the Law Court construed the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, section 1252, subsection 2, paragraph A, amended by Public Law 1987, chapter 808, section 1, effective July 1, 1989, as authorizing a sentence in the 20-year to 40-year range only in those situations involving the "most heinous and violent crimes committed against a person." The commendable purpose behind this interpretation was to provide some limiting principle for application of the 20-year to 40-year range.

40

42

44

46

Although commendable in purpose, the interpretation has proven somewhat inflexible in practice when applied to a recidivist whose underlying criminal conduct is not the most heinous and violent but is, when coupled with the prior serious criminal history, sufficiently intolerable to justify extended separation from society.

In <u>State v. Hawkins</u>, 633 A.2d 78, 79 (Me. 1993), the Law Court held that such recidivism could not serve to elevate a sentence from the lower to the upper range: "[A] <u>maximum</u> period

of incarceration exceeding twenty years can be imposed only if a period in excess of twenty years can be justified as a <u>basic</u> period of incarceration. Circumstances of the offender, or other circumstances unrelated to the nature and seriousness of the offense, cannot elevate the <u>maximum</u> period of incarceration beyond twenty years when the crime itself is not within the extended range of Class A crimes."

8

10

12

14

16

18

6

2

The terms "basic" and "maximum" refer to the 3-step sentencing process established by the Law Court in State v. Hewey, 622 A.2d 1151, 1154-55 (Me. 1993). The 3 separate determinations that must be made by a sentencing court are: (1) the basic period of incarceration; (2) the maximum period of incarceration; and (3) the final sentence. [Id.; see also State v. Roberts, 641 A.2d 177 (Me. 1994); State v. Bolduc, 638 A.2d 725, 727 (Me. 1994)]. The basic period of incarceration must be based solely on the nature and seriousness of the offense, without regard to factors peculiar to the offender such as a history of prior convictions.

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

The bill overrules State v. Hawkins and its progeny [State v. Shackelford, 634 A.2d 1292, 1295-96 (Me. 1993)] to the extent that these cases hold that determination of whether a term of imprisonment can exceed 20 years is limited solely to a consideration of the nature and seriousness of the offense (the basic period of incarceration). The court is now authorized to consider the serious criminal history of a recidivist defendant and to impose a maximum period of incarceration in excess of 20 years for a Class A offense that, based solely on the nature and seriousness of that offense, would not justify a basic period of incarceration in excess of 20 years. It is anticipated that this change in the law will apply only to a small number of cases in which the offender's prior serious criminal history, coupled with the offense for which the sentence is imposed, demonstrates a need to separate the offender from society for a more extended period of time than the offense for which the offender is being sentenced would otherwise justify.

38