

	L.D. 224
2	DATE: 4/13/95 (Filing No. H- 108)
4	
6	NATURAL RESOURCES
8	
10	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.
12	
14	STATE OF MAINE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 117TH LEGISLATURE
16	FIRST REGULAR SESSION
18	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " $\mathcal{A}$ " to H.P. 176, L.D. 224, Bill, "An
20	Act to Clarify the Laws Regarding the Location of Transfer Stations on Islands"
22	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting
24	clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following:
26	'Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §1310-N, sub-§2-D, ¶A, as repealed and
28	replaced by PL 1993, c. 680, Pt. A, §37, is repealed and the
30	following enacted in its place:
32	A. For a transfer station on an island that is not connected to the mainland by a road, the department shall establish setback distances on a case-specific basis in
34	accordance with this paragraph:
36	(1) No predetermined minimum setback from a property boundary, residence or public road established in
38	statute or rule applies. A proposed setback from such a location must be reasonable and compatible with the
40	abutting land use. If all abutting landowners give written approval to the location of the handling site,
42	the department shall find that the proposed setback to
44	a property boundary, residence or public road is reasonable and compatible with abutting land use. If
46	<u>all abutting landowners do not give written approval,</u> the department shall make an independent determination
48	of the reasonableness and the compatibility of the setback to a property boundary, residence or public
50	road.

.

Page 1-LR0360(3)

## **COMMITTEE AMENDMENT**

## COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 176, L.D. 224

2	<u>(2) No predetermined minimum setback from an active or closed landfill established in statute or rule</u>
4	applies. The proposed setback from an active or closed landfill must be reasonable and compatible with the
	abutting land use. The department shall make an
б	<u>independent determination of the reasonableness and</u> compatibility of the proposed setback to an active or
8	closed landfill.
10	(3) To the fullest extent possible, the department
12	<u>shall ensure that the handling site of a transfer</u> <u>station on an island is located in a manner that</u>
14	minimizes any adverse impact on the island residents.
14	Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §1310-N, sub-§2-D, ¶B, as repealed and
16	replaced by PL 1993, c. 680, Pt. A, $\S37$ , is amended to read:
18	B. For all other transfer stations, the handling site may
20	not be within 250 feet of any abutting property boundary, unless:
22	(1) The department finds the <u>use of the</u> abutting
24	property to be a <del>conforming</del> use <u>compatible with the</u> operation of a transfer station on the proposed
67	location. If the department finds an use of the
26	abutting property to be a <del>conforming</del> -use <u>compatible</u> , the handling site may be within 250 feet of the
28	boundary but not within 250 feet of any permanent
30	structure on that abutting property; or
	(2) The municipality obtains the written permission of
32	all property owners within 250 feet of the proposed handling site.
34	See 3 Pulse De Jacobie 1, 1006 the December of
36	Sec. 3. Rules. By January 1, 1996, the Department of Environmental Protection shall adopt or amend rules as necessary
38	to define a compatible use under section 2 of this Act.'
40	STATEMENT OF FACT
42	The amendment further clarifies the setback requirement for
	the handling site of a transfer station on an island and provides
44	that the Department of Environmental Protection must find setbacks from property boundaries, residences and public roads to
46	be reasonable and compatible if all abutting property owners
48	provide written approval of the location of the handling site. The department would continue to make an independent evaluation

The department would continue to make an independent evaluation of the reasonableness of setbacks from active or closed landfills.

Page 2-LR0360(3)

-

## COMMITTEE AMENDMENT