MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



117th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1995

Legislative Document

No. 178

H.P. 130

House of Representatives, January 20, 1995

An Act to Amend the Misconduct Provisions of the Unemployment Compensation Law.

Reference to the Committee on Labor suggested and ordered printed.

OSEPH W. MAYO, Clerk

Presented by Representative CHASE of China.

Cosponsored by Representatives: BERRY of Livermore, JOSEPH of Waterville, LUTHER of Mexico, THOMPSON of Naples, Senators: RAND of Cumberland, RUHLIN of Penobscot.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follow	Be i	it	enacted	by	the	People	of	the	State	of	Maine as	follow
---	------	----	---------	----	-----	--------	----	-----	-------	----	----------	--------

2

б

8

10

12

14

16

Sec. 1. 26 MRSA §1043, sub-§23, as enacted by PL 1965, c. 381,
§5, is amended to read:

23. Misconduct. "Misconduct" means conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interests as is found in deliberate violations or disregard of standards of behavior which that the employer has a right to expect of his an employee, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree or recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to his the employer. Any single act of negligence must rise to the level of gross negligence to be considered misconduct within the meaning of this chapter.

18

STATEMENT OF FACT

20

22

2.4

This bill provides that a single act of negligence may not be considered misconduct under the Employment Security Law unless that act rises to the level of gross negligence. This bill is intended to overrule the Law Court's decision in Forbes-Lilley v.Maine Unemployment Insurance Commission, 643 A.2d 377 (1994).