

	L.D. 178
2	DATE: 3/27/95 (Filing No. H-51)
4	MAJORITY
6	LABOR
8	
10	Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.
12	STATE OF MAINE
14	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 117TH LEGISLATURE
16	FIRST REGULAR SESSION
18	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 130, L.D. 178, Bill, "An
20	Act to Amend the Misconduct Provisions of the Unemployment Compensation Law"
22	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting
24	clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following:
26	'Sec. 1. 26 MRSA §1043, sub-§23, as enacted by PL 1965, c.
28	381, $\S5$, is amended to read:
30	23. Misconduct. "Misconduct" means conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interests as is
32	found in deliberate violations or disregard of standards of behavior which that the employer has a right to expect of his an
34	employee, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree or recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or
36	evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employees <u>employee's</u> duties
38	and obligations to his the employer. One act of simple negligence is not misconduct within the meaning of this chapter.'
40	
42	STATEMENT OF FACT
44	This amendment replaces the bill and provides that a single act of simple negligence may not be considered misconduct under
46	the Employment Security Law. The intention of this amendment is to clarify and reinforce that a single instance of mere
48	carelessness or inattentiveness is not misconduct under current
50	law and that the Law Court's decision in <u>Forbes-Lilley v. Maine</u> <u>Unemployment Insurance Commission</u> , 643 A.2d 377 (1994) does not permit denial of benefits for one act of simple negligence.

Page 1-LR1645(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT