



116th MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION-1994

Legislative Document

No. 1934

H.P. 1420

House of Representatives, February 24, 1994

An Act to Limit the Use of Force against Law Enforcement Officers Engaged in Searches or Seizures.

(AFTER DEADLINE)

(EMERGENCY)

Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 27. Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

OSEPH W. MAYO, Clerk

Presented by Representative PLOWMAN of Hampden.

Cosponsored by Representatives: AULT of Wayne, BAILEY of Township 27, BENNETT of Norway, CLUKEY of Houlton, CROSS of Dover-Foxcroft, DONNELLY of Presque Isle, GREENLAW of Standish, LIBBY of Kennebunk, LINDAHL of Northport, MARSH of West Gardiner, PENDEXTER of Scarborough, TUFTS of Stockton Springs.

Emergency preamble. Whereas, Acts of the Legislature do not become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and

Whereas, it is vitally important that the law be clarified concerning the use of force against law enforcement officers who are conducting searches and seizures in good faith without using unlawful force; and

10 Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within the meaning of the Constitution of 12 Maine and require the following legislation as immediately necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and 14 safety; now, therefore,

16

4

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

18

Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §104, sub-§§1 and 3, as enacted by PL 1975, c. 740, §26, are amended to read:

20

 A Except as provided in section 104-A, a person in possession or control of premises or a person who is licensed or privileged to be thereon is justified in using nondeadly force upon another when and to the extent that he reasonably believes it necessary to prevent or terminate the commission of a criminal trespass by such other in or upon such premises.

3. A <u>Except as provided in section 104-A, a person in possession or control of a dwelling place or a person who is licensed or privileged to be therein is justified in using deadly force upon another:</u>

32

34

A. Under the circumstances enumerated in section 108; or

B. When he reasonably believes that deadly force is
 necessary to prevent or terminate the commission of a criminal trespass by such other person, who he reasonably
 believes:

40 (1) Has entered or is attempting to enter the dwelling place or has surreptitiously remained within the
42 dwelling place without a license or privilege to do so; and

- (2) Is committing or is likely to commit some other 46 crime within the dwelling place.
- 48

Sec. 2. 17-A MRSA §104-A is enacted to read:

Page 1-LR3225(1) L.D.1934

And Elimery Sector Products

- §104-A. Limitation on the use of force against a law enforcement officer engaged in a search or a seizure
- A person is not justified in using force against a law enforcement officer engaged in a search or a seizure if:
- 6 8

10

12

14

16

18

20

30

2

4

A. The officer has made reasonable efforts to advise the person that the officer is a law enforcement officer acting in accordance with that officer's duty;

<u>B. The person knows or has reason to know that the officer</u> is a law enforcement officer acting in accordance with that officer's duty;

C. The officer acts in good faith; and

<u>D. The officer does not use unlawful force in carrying out the search or seizure.</u>

COMMENT

This section is designed to limit the use of permissible force against a law enforcement officer when that officer is engaged in the performance of his or her official duties in conducting a search or a seizure. More specifically, it is intended to overrule the result in State v. Clisham, 614 A.2d 1297 (Me. 1992), and a reading of section 104 that allows for a violent response by a private citizen to a nonconsensual search by a police officer.

(1)(A) of this section, like subsection Subsection 107(2)(b)(1), contains a requirement that the officer make 32 reasonable efforts to advise the person that he or she is a law enforcement officer acting in accordance with his or her official 34 duties. If the person subject to the officer's authority knows or has reason to know that this is in fact the case, that person 36 would be denied a defense under section 104 or 105 if he or she threatened to use, or actually used, force against the officer. 38 Under subsection (1)(C) the officer must have acted in good faith 40 in initiating the search or seizure.

The statute leaves intact, in subsection (1)(D), the right of self-defense allowed a citizen under section 108 against
unlawful or excessive force used by the officer. The person takes his or her chances, however, on later being able to show
that the officer was not justified under section 107. State v. Austin, 381 A.2d 652 (Me. 1978).

Emergency clause. In view of the emergency cited in the preamble, this Act takes effect when approved.

Page 2-LR3225(1) L.D.1934

동안전 문화 전자

52

48

STATEMENT OF FACT

This bill enacts a new provision in the Maine Criminal Code that provides that a person is not justified in using force 4 against a law enforcement officer engaged in a search or a seizure if certain circumstances are met. 6

> . 1.5

10

8

2

12

. 1

10 No. 19 N.

turation esc

This document has not yet been reviewed to determine the need for cross-reference, stylistic and other technical 14amendments to conform existing law to current drafting standards.

> Page 3-LR3225(1) L.D.1934

an an Mitan Alexington a

and the state of the state of the