

	$\sim 20 \mu$
	L.D. 940
2	(Filing No. $s-160$ )
<del>4</del> 6	
8	STATE OF MAINE SENATE
10	116TH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION
12 14	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " $\mathcal{B}$ " to S.P. 307, L.D. 940, Bill, "An Act to Minimize Electric Rates"
16	Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its
18	place the following:
20 22	'Sec. 1. 35-A MRSA $3152$ , sub- $1, \mathbb{B}$ , as amended by PL 1991, c. 253, $2$ , is further amended to read:
24	B. Encourage the commission to set electric rates to promote the maximum efficient utilization of natural energy resources existing in the State in order to promote the use
26 28	of indigenous energy resources to the extent that this will reduce overall electric costs. In making any determination under this chapter, the commission shall consider the impact
30	on rates; and Soc 2 25 A MARSA \$2152 A cub \$1
32	Sec. 2. 35-A MRSA §3153-A, sub-§1, as amended by PL 1991, c. 253, §4, is further amended to read:
34 36	1. Proposals and programs developed. The commission, as it determines appropriate, shall order electric utilities to develop and submit specific rate design proposals and related programs
38	for implementing energy conservation <u>and energy efficiency</u> techniques and innovations, either in conjunction with or
10	independent of any rate-making proceeding pending before the commission. The proposals, as the commission determines, must be
12	designed to encourage energy conservation, minimize the need for new electrical generating capacity, minimize costs of electricity
14	to consumers and take into account the needs of low-income customers, and must include, but are not limited to, proposals that provide for the development and implementation of:

Pr. os.

¢

Page 1-LR1731(3)

# COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " $\mathcal{B}$ " to S.P. 307, L.D. 940

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

46

R. 015.

#### A. Load management techniques;

B. Rates that reflect marginal costs of services at different voltages, times of day or seasons of the year, including long-run marginal costs associated with the construction of new electric generating facilities;

C. Policies that encourage economic use of fuel and the maximum efficient utilization of natural energy resources indigenous to the State;

D. Rates or other regulatory policies that encourage electric utility system reliability;

Electric utility financing or subsidization of capital Ε. undertaken by ratepayers improvements to conserve electricity used by the ratepayers in the future. The commission may approve and allow cost recovery for proposals that result in savings in fuel other than electricity. This paragraph applies to future programs for utility financing of energy conservation or load management and to such programs that the commission has already approved prior to September 29, 1987;

F. As defined by the commission by rule, cost-effective conversions of electric space heat systems to systems relying on other fuels and other techniques for enabling homeowners and tenants to replace on-peak, winter period electric usage with less expensive sources of heat; and

32 G. bill payment assistance programs for Rates or residential customers who have been certified eligible for 34 state or federal fuel assistance that take into account the difficulty these customers have paying in full for electric service or that target assistance to these customers in the 36 most efficient manner, taking into account the necessity of maintaining electric service -; and 38

40 <u>H. Rates that allow incremental use or maintenance of existing use when those rates serve to minimize rate levels</u>
42 <u>for all electric customers.</u>

44 <u>In determining whether to approve any proposal under this</u> <u>section, the commission shall consider the impact on rates.</u>

Sec. 3. Construction. Nothing in this Act is intended or may be construed to discourage energy conservation and demand management programs or to encourage continued use of electric baseboard heating systems. Nothing in this Act may be construed

Page 2-LR1731(3)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " $\mathcal{B}$ " to s.p. 307, l.d. 940

to encourage or discourage the development or implementation of any particular rate design.

### **FISCAL NOTE**

The Public Utilities Commission will incur some minor 8 additional costs to revise its existing framework for the consideration of rate design proposals from electric utilities. 10 These costs can be absorbed within the commission's existing budgeted resources.'

#### STATEMENT OF FACT

16

18

12

14

2

4

6

This is the minority report of the Joint Standing Committee on Utilities.

Current law requires the Public Utilities Commission to order electric utilities to submit rate design proposals. Under current law, the proposals are required to be designed to encourage energy conservation, minimize the need for new generating capacity, minimize costs and take into account the needs of low-income customers.

26 The bill requires that the proposals be designed to minimize rates. This amendment strikes that requirement. Under this 28 amendment, the Electric Rate Reform Act is amended to require the commission to consider the impact on rates when making a 30 determination regarding rate design.

32 This amendment also adds an unallocated section to the bill to clarify the intent of the bill as amended. This provision is not to be 34 makes it clear that the bill, as amended, interpreted to discourage energy conservation and demand management programs or to encourage continued or additional use 36 of electric baseboard resistance heating systems. It also makes 38 clear that the bill, as amended, is not to be construed to encourage or discourage the development or implementation of any 40 particular rate design.

42

The amendment also adds a fiscal note.

Reported by the Minority for the Committee on Utilities. Reproduced and Distributed Pursuant to Senate Rule 12. (5/18/93) (Filing No. S-160)

Page 3-LR1731(3)

## COMMITTEE AMENDMENT