## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

-	DKS	,,
	B. of	

42

L	.D		6	8	7
---	----	--	---	---	---

2	
	(Filing No. H-365)
4	
6	
	STATE OF MAINE
8	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10	116TH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION
	1
12	$\mathcal{H}$
14	HOUSE AMENDMENT "//" to S.P. 216, L.D. 687, Bill, "An Act to Amend the Occupational Disease Law"
14	Amend the Occupational Disease Law
16	Amend the bill by inserting before the statement of fact the
3.0	following:
18	'Sec. 7. Retroactivity; application. This Act applies
20	retroactively to October 24, 1992 and applies to medical expenses
	incurred by an employee on or after that date, regardless of the
22	date on which the occupational disease was originally diagnosed. Notwithstanding the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 1, section 302,
24	this Act applies to actions and proceedings pending at the time
	of passage of this Act.'
26	Further aread the hill by assumbasing the continue to seed
28	Further amend the bill by renumbering the sections to read consecutively.
30	STATEMENT OF FACT
32	SIAIEMENI OF FACI
	This amendment provides for retroactive application of the
34	new occupational disease law provisions back to October 24, 1992,
36	the day after the Law Court decision that the bill is intended to overturn. It clarifies that the intent of the bill is to make
50	compensable all medical expenses associated with an occupational
38	disease and that are incurred on or after that date, including
40	expenses incurred by employees who were originally diagnosed as
40	having an occupational disease before that date or before the effective date of this bill. The amendment also clarifies that

Page 1-LR2014(3)

the general savings clause does not apply to this legislation and

that the new provisions apply to actions and proceedings pending

R. of S. P. 216, L.D. 687

at the time of passage. The intent of this amendment is to ensure that the effects of the Law Court's decision in Manzo v.

Great Northern Paper Company, 615 A.2d 605 (Me. 1992), are limited to the greatest extent possible.

6

Filed by Rep. Ruhlin of Brewer
Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the
House
(Filing No. H-365)