MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

6.42.	

L.D. 546
(Filing No. H- 158)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
STATE OF MAINE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 116TH LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION
Δ
COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " to H.P. 427, L.D. 546, Bill, "A
Act Relating to the Theft of Utility Services"
Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting
clause and inserting in its place the following:
'Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §357, sub-§5 is enacted to read:
Sec. 1. 17-A WINDA 9557, Sub-95 is enacted to read:
5. Proof that utility services have been improperly
diverted or that devices belonging to the utility and installed
for the delivery, regulation or measurement of utility services
have been interfered with constitutes prima facie evidence that
the person to whom the utility service is being delivered or diverted knowingly created or caused to be created the improper
diversion or interference with the devices of the utility.
This inference does not apply unless the person to whom the
utility service is being delivered has been furnished the service
for at least 30 days.
Sec. 2. 17-A MRSA §357-A, as enacted by PL 1981, c. 668, §1,
is repealed.
Sec. 3. 35-A, §2705, first ¶, as enacted by PL 1987, c. 141, Pt.
A, §6, is amended to read:

40

42

44

Any A person who commits-any-of-the-acts obtains utility services as prohibited in Title 17-A, section 357-A 357, or who otherwise damages, destroys or tampers with property of a utility as provided in Title 17-A, section 805 or 806, is liable in a civil action to the utility owning the property affected. This liability shall-be is for all damages suffered by the utility including:'

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "H" to H.P. 427, L.D. 546

STATEMENT OF FACT

This amendment replaces the bill. It enacts into the provision governing theft of services in general specific language currently found in the provision defining a separate crime of theft of utility services. The crime of theft of services is currently worded broadly enough to encompass the crime of theft of utility services, so the latter crime is repealed by this amendment.

The new provision this amendment adds to the crime of theft of services is based on the current language that aids in proving the crime of theft of utility services. Current law provides that proof that the service was improperly diverted or the service device was tampered with creates an inference that the person receiving the service knew the condition existed or knowingly created it. To protect new subscribers to utility service, the law currently provides that the inference applies only if the diversion or tampered condition exists for one billing cycle. The bill proposed slightly different wording to cover people who divert their meters for periods shorter than an entire billing cycle. This amendment attempts to cover those same people by providing that the inference applies only to those people to whom the utility service has been delivered for at least 30 days.

Reported by the Committee on Judiciary
Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the
House
4/13/93 (Filing No. H-158)