



115th MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION-1992

Legislative Document

No. 2351

H.P. 1675

House of Representatives, February 18, 1992

Reported by Representative MELENDY for the Commission to Study State Permitting and Reporting Requirements pursuant to Public Law 1991, chapter 606.

Reference to the Joint Standing Committee on Energy and Natural Resources suggested and printing ordered under Joint Rule 18.

F

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY-TWO

An Act to Improve the Environmental Permitting Process.

Printed on recycled paper

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2

46

Sec. 1. 12 MRSA §685-B, sub-\$1, \PC , as repealed and replaced by PL 1989, c. 878, Pt. A, \$31, is amended to read:

- C. No <u>A</u> person may <u>not</u> commence any construction or operation of any development without a permit issued by the commission.
- 10 The commission may waive the requirement of a hearing for any person having received approval by the Board of 12 Environmental Protection pursuant to the Site-Location-of Development-Law <u>site location of development laws</u>, Title 38, 14 sections 481 to 488.
- 16 Approval by the commission that the proposed development meets the requirements of subsection 4_{τ} and of the land use standards and rules adopted by the commission shall-be is a 18 sufficient basis to support, but shall does not require, a 20 finding by the administering agency that the development meets the requirements of the Site-Location-of-Development 22 Law site location of development laws, Title 38, sections 481 to 488; the Minimum-Lot-Size-Law minimum lot size laws, sections 4807 to 4807-G; or the natural resource protection 24 laws, Title 38, chapter 3, subchapter I, article 5-A; and 26 the rules adopted with respect to any of such statutes laws, as any of such statutes, laws or rules or-regulations may 28 Disapproval by the commission shall--be is a apply. sufficient basis to support, but shall does not require, a 30 finding by the administering agency that the proposed development does not meet the requirements of the Site 32 Location-of-Development--Law site location of development laws, Title 38, sections 481 to 488; the Minimum-Lot-Size 34 Law minimum lot size laws, sections 4807 to 4807-G; or the natural resource protection laws, Title 38, chapter 3, 36 subchapter I, article 5-A; and the rules adopted with respect to any of such statutes laws, as any of such 38 statutes, laws or rules er-regulations may apply.
- 40 may standards The commission establish within which authority may be delegated to its staff, to approve with 42 conditions or reasonable deny applications submitted hereunder. Any person aggrieved by a decision of the staff 44 shall-have has the right to a review of that decision by the commission members.
- The commission shall establish coordination and assistance procedures for all land use permits issued by agencies of the State for proposed development within the unorganized townships and plantations. Those procedures shall must, to the extent practicable, ensure: the availability to the public of necessary information concerning those land use

L.D.2351

permits; the provision of assistance to applicants in obtaining those permits from state agencies; the coordination of application procedures, time schedules, application forms and similar requirements so as to reduce delay and duplication of effort by applicants and the issuing agencies. State permit issuing agencies shall cooperate with the commission in the development and effectuation of coordination and assistance procedures.

10 When requesting review of an application by another state agency, the commission or staff with delegated authority to 12 approve or deny applications shall indicate in writing the information requested and the amount of time allowed for the 14 agency to respond. When an agency fails to respond to a request in the allotted time, the commission or staff shall 16 decide upon the application without input from that agency unless the input is required for compliance with federal law or regulation.

20 Approval by the Board of Environmental Protection of a proposed development under Title 38, chapter 13, article 3, shall-be is a sufficient basis to support, but shall does 22 not require, a finding by the commission that the proposed 24 development meets the requirements of subsection 4_7 and of the land use standards and rules adopted by the commission. 26 Disapproval by the Board of Environmental Protection of a proposed development under Title 38, chapter 13, article 3, 28 shall-be is a sufficient basis to support, but shall does not require, a finding by the commission that the proposed 30 development does not meet the requirements of subsection 4, and of the land use standards and rules adopted by the commission. 32

34

2

4

6

8

Sec. 2. 12 MRSA §685-B, sub-§6-A is enacted to read:

 36 <u>6-A. Reports on final actions.</u> The Director of the Maine Land Use Regulation Commission shall develop reports that
 38 categorize final actions on all permit applications. The reports must clearly present in tabular form the number of applications
 40 receiving final action, by time period, by type of permit sought and by final action. Final action information must distinguish
 42 between decisions made by staff and made by the commission.

44 The first report generated must present information for all applications receiving final action between January 1, 1990 and
46 December 31, 1991. The director may choose time intervals within this period most appropriate for consistent data presentation.
48 The director shall submit this report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over energy and
50 natural resources matters by January 1, 1993 and shall make copies of this report available to the public upon request.

52

2	<u>Subsequent reports must be developed for all final actions in a calendar year beginning with 1992. The director shall submit a</u>
6	report of the previous year's final action activity to the joint
4	standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over
б	<u>energy and natural resources matters by March 1st of each year</u> and shall make copies of this report available to the public upon
8	request.
	Sec.3. 38 MRSA §341-A, sub-§5 is enacted to read:
10	5. Permit applications. The department shall provide to an
12	applicant for a permit, at time of application, an opportunity to state when a decision on the application is desired.
14	
16	Sec. 4. 38 MRSA §342, sub-§8-A is enacted to read:
	8-A. Reports on final actions. The commissioner shall
18	develop reports that categorize final actions on all license and permit applications. The reports must clearly present in tabular
20	form the number of applications receiving final action, by time
22	<u>period, by type of permit or license sought and by final action.</u> Final action information must distinguish between action by the
	board and action by the commissioner.
24	The first report concreted must present information for all
26	The first report generated must present information for all applications receiving final action between January 1, 1990 and
28	<u>December 31, 1991. The department may choose time intervals</u> within this period most appropriate for consistent data
20	presentation. The commissioner shall submit this report to the
30	joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction
	over energy and natural resources matters by January 1, 1993 and
32	shall make copies of this report available to the public upon
34	request.
51	Subsequent reports must be developed for all final actions in a
36	calendar year beginning with 1992. The commissioner shall submit
	a report of the previous year's final action activity to the
38	joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over energy and natural resources matters by March 1st of each
40	year and shall make copies of this report available to the public
	upon request.
42	
	Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §344, sub-§2-A, ¶¶A to C, as enacted by PL
44	1989, c. 890, Pt. A, \S 22 and affected by \S 40, are repealed and the following enacted in their place:
46	
	A. For each permit for which a fee is charged the
48	department shall establish a time schedule for action by the
50	<u>department on that permit. The schedules may be based on the lengths of time appropriate for different categories of</u>
	permit applications. When the department determines, based
52	on size, novelty, complexity or technical difficulty, that

.

)

Page 3-LR3644(1) L.D.2351 the amount of work required by the department in processing a permit application will exceed by a factor of 2 or more the amount of work assumed as the basis in establishing a permit application fee and can not be completed within the schedule for timely action applicable to that permit application, the department may establish alternate fee and time schedules. In setting up the time schedules, the department shall consider as a desirable goal, but is not required to adopt, the processing of 60% of applications within 90 days, 75% within 120 days, 85% within 150 days and 97% within 220 days.

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

44

46

B. Within 14 working days from receipt of an application, the commissioner shall inform the applicant whether the permit meets the permit by rule provisions under subsection 7 and within 30 days from receipt of the application shall inform the applicant whether the application gualifies for the department's established fee and time schedule. If the application does not gualify for either program, within an additional 30 days the department shall inform the applicant of the application fees and scheduled decision date for the applicant's project.

C. If the applicant has provided a desired decision date, the department shall inform the applicant of the additional fees that would be required to meet the desired date or, if the date is less than 90 days from acceptance of the application and can not be met, the fees required to meet the earliest possible decision date. Notwithstanding section 352, if the applicant wishes a date earlier than the schedule for timely action, the commissioner and the applicant shall agree on a fee and a time schedule. The commissioner may not achieve such accelerated processing by delaying the processing of applications for which accelerated processing was not requested but shall utilize additional resources, if necessary, to meet the accelerated dates. Persons who feel that their petitions have been delayed may appeal under the provisions of section 346.

 40 If the commissioner does not respond within the time periods specified in paragraphs B and C, the applicant may appeal to
 42 the Fee and Time Schedule Advisory Committee, which shall investigate and mediate the appeal.

Sec. 6. 38 MRSA §344, sub-§2-A, ¶¶D to F are enacted to read:

D.If the commissioner fails to meet a time schedule in48rule or agreed to with the applicant, the commissioner shall
return the applicant's fee and continue to work on the50application. The commissioner shall pay the applicant \$100
a day for each day that the decision on the application

fails to meet the agreed upon date. The commissioner may not disapprove an application solely to avoid the provisions of this paragraph. A person who feels that the commissioner has violated this provision may appeal under the provisions of section 346.

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

24

26

34

36

44

E. The commissioner shall appoint a Fee and Time Schedule Advisory Committee and shall consult with the committee on proposed fees and time schedules. The committee must consist of representatives of industrial, commercial and small business organizations, municipalities and environmental organizations. The members serve without compensation.

F. When requesting review of an application by another state agency, the board or the commissioner shall indicate in writing the information requested and the amount of time allowed for the agency to respond. When an agency fails to respond to a request in the allotted time, the commissioner or board shall decide upon the application without input from that agency unless the input is required for compliance with federal law or regulation.

Sec. 7. 38 MRSA §352, sub-§1, as affected by PL 1989, c. 890, Pt. A, §40 and amended by Pt. B, §10, is further amended to read:

Fees established. The commissioner shall establish
 procedures to charge applicants for costs incurred in reviewing
 license and permit applications. For the purposes of this
 subchapter, costs are those necessary to carry out the purposes
 of this chapter and may include, but are not limited to,
 personnel costs, travel, supplies, legal and computer services.

Sec. 8. 38 MRSA 352, sub-2, A, as amended by PL 1991, c. 384, 1 and affected by 16, is further amended to read:

A. Except for those fees assessed under section 353-A,
 processing fees must be assessed for costs incurred in determining the acceptability of an application for processing and in processing an application to determine whether it meets statutory and regulatory criteria. <u>These</u>
 <u>costs include the costs of performing and analyzing any environmental monitoring necessary to act on the application.</u>

Sec. 9. 38 MRSA \$480-Q, sub-\$11, as amended by PL 1991, c. 240, \$2, is further amended to read:

48 11. Soil evaluation. Borings taken to evaluate soil conditions in or adjacent to a great pond, river, stream or
 50 brook, coastal wetland, freshwater wetland or sand dune are

exempt from the provisions of this article provided that no area of wetland vegetation is destroyed or permanently removed; and

Sec. 10. 38 MRSA §480-Q, sub-§12, ¶E, as enacted by PL 1991, c. 240, §3, is amended to read:

E. A notice of intent to maintain, repair or reconstruct the access way and the description of the work to be completed are submitted to the commissioner and to the municipal reviewing authority at least 20 days before the work is performed.; and

Sec. 11. 38 MRSA §480-Q, sub-§13 is enacted to read:

13. Maine Land Use Regulation Commission jurisdiction. Any activity subject to review by the Maine Land Use Regulation <u>Commission.</u>

Sec. 12. 38 MRSA §489-A, as affected by PL 1989, c. 890, Pt. 20 A, §40 and amended by Pt. B, §§97 to 102, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:

22

24

2

4

б

8

10

12

14

18

<u> §489-A. Exempt developments</u>

 Conditions for exemption. A development is exempt from
 the permitting requirements of section 485-A if the development is reviewed and issued a permit by a municipal reviewing
 authority pursuant to Title 30-A, chapter 185, subchapter I or Title 30-A, chapter 187, subchapter IV and the following
 conditions are met:

 32 <u>A. The municipality issuing the permit possesses a</u> certificate of consistency in accordance with Title 30-A,
 34 <u>chapter 187, subchapter II at the time the permit is issued;</u>

36 <u>B. The proposed development is not a mining activity or</u> <u>hazardous activity as defined in section 482; and</u>

<u>C. The department does not exert state jurisdiction</u> pursuant to subsection 3.

2. Review by department. When the municipal reviewing authority accepts as complete an application under this section:

44 46

48

38

40

42

A. The municipality shall submit to the commissioner within 14 days of acceptance by the municipal reviewing authority one copy of the project application and one copy of the notification form provided by the commissioner; and

50 <u>B. The commissioner shall review the application and,</u> within 45 days of application acceptance by the municipal

> Page 6-LR3644(1) L.D.2351

reviewing authority, notify the municipality if the department intends to exercise jurisdiction under subsection 2 З. 4 If the department does not act within the 45-day period, this inaction constitutes approval by the department and the 6 municipal permits are effective upon issuance. 8 3. State jurisdiction. The department shall review projects for municipalities certified under Title 30-A, chapter 10 187 and may exert state jurisdiction if: 12 A. The local reviewing authority in which the project is located petitions the commissioner in writing; 14 The local reviewing authority, in a municipality 16 в. adjoining the municipality in which a project is located, petitions the commissioner in writing; or 18 20 C. The proposed project is located in more than one municipality. 22 State jurisdiction must be exerted within 45 days of the acceptance of the application as complete by the municipal 24 reviewing authority. The commissioner exerts jurisdiction by notifying the municipal reviewing authority in writing of the 26 department's decision to review the application under this 28 subsection. 30 Appeal of decision by commissioner to review. An 4. aggrieved party may appeal to the board the decision by the commissioner to exert or not exert state jurisdiction over the 32 proposed project. Review and actions taken by the department are 34 subject to appeal procedures governing the department under section 341-D, subsections 4 and 5. 36 5. Joint enforcement. Any person who violates any permit 38 issued under this section is subject to the provisions of section 349 in addition to any penalties that the municipality may 40 impose. Any permits issued or conditions imposed by a local authority must be enforced by the commissioner and the municipality that issued the permit. 42 Sec. 13. Maine Land and Water Resources Council study. 44 In its responsibility of keeping with evaluating Maine's 46 environmental regulatory system, the Maine Land and Water Resources Council, as established by Executive Order 9FY 80/81, 48 shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over energy and natural resources matters and 50 the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over housing and economic development matters by 52 January 1993 concerning 1,

Page 7-LR3644(1)

L.D.2351

methods of simplifying the environmental permitting process in 2 order to make it less time consuming for the applicant. 4 In preparation of this report the council shall review 1. pertinent portions of the following documents: б The Report of the Special Commission on Governmental Α. 8 Restructuring, 1991; 10 в. Report of the Governor's Business Task Force, October 1990: 12 C. Maine Department of Environmental Protection Management 14 Study, Peat, Marwick, Main, February 1988; 16 Report on the Environmental Regulation Process by the D. Maine Development Foundation, January 1983; and 18 Ε. Report to the Governor by the Citizens Commission to 20 Evaluate the Department of Environmental Protection, January 1982. 22 2. The council shall consult with the following agencies or organizations: 24 26 Α. The Maine Business Advisory Council; 28 The Maine Chamber of Commerce; в. 30 С. The Maine Audubon Society; 32 The Natural Resources Council of Maine; D. 34 Е. The Maine Development Foundation; 36 The Ecology and Economics Forum (Eco-Eco); and F. 38 The Environmental and Economic Council of Maine. G. 40 3. The council shall include, but is not limited to, the following in its study: 42 A. Extending permit by rule; 44 Extending the use of performance standards rather than Β. design standards; 46 Whether specificity and clarity in environmental laws 48 C. and rules should be increased and the issue of permit 50 flexibility in this regard;

> Page 8-LR3644(1) L.D.2351

- Requiring agencies to adhere to specific deadlines for D. permit processing, including the following issues: 2 (1)Whether deadlines should be set by the 4 Legislature, the commissioner or an advisory committee; 6 Whether these deadlines should vary by type of (2) 8 permit; Whether these deadlines should be for all permits 10 (3) or a certain percent of permits; for example, 85% in 90 12 days; 14 (4) How to prevent the rejection of permits in order to meet deadline requirements; and 16 What should be the penalties for failure to meet (5) deadlines; for example, fines, refunded fees, approval 18 without permit or approval under permit by rule; 20 Increasing cross-media regulation and enforcement; and Ε. 22 F. Utilizing economic incentives. 24 26 STATEMENT OF FACT 28 This bill represents a majority recommendation of the Commission to Study State Permitting and Reporting Requirements. 30 It is one of 4 bills and one resolution being submitted by this 32 commission. 34 The bill requires the Department of Environmental Protection and the Maine Land Use Regulation Commission to develop annual reports categorizing final permit actions. The bill directs the 36 Department of Environmental Protection and the Land and Water 38 Resources Council to act upon a permit application without input from other agencies if those agencies have failed to respond to a written request for review within the designated time. 40 42 The bill requires that the Department of Environmental Protection develop a time schedule for the various steps required 44 in processing a permit. The bill requires the department to
- appoint a Fee and Time Schedule Advisory Committee to advise in
 the setting of these schedules. It provides guidelines on the
 percentage of permits that should be processed within various
 time periods. The bill requires that the department provide to
 applicants that wish an earlier completion date an indication of
 the additional fee that this would require. It requires that any
 application be processed within 90 days if a special fee is paid.

52

Page 9-LR3644(1)

L.D.2351

If the department fails to meet a scheduled or agreed-upon time for completion, the department is required to refund the application fee, continue to process the permit and pay the applicant a \$100-a-day fine for each day that processing exceeds the agreed completion date.

2

4

6

8

10

16

20

The bill exempts activities reviewed by the Maine Land Use Regulation Commission from permitting under the Natural Resources Protection Act.

The bill abolishes duplicate review of projects under site 12 law when the municipality reviewing the project has a comprehensive plan certified by the Office of Comprehensive Land 14 Use Planning and the Department of Environmental Protection does not act to exert state jurisdiction.

The bill reasserts the authority of the Commissioner of 18 Environmental Protection to charge application fees sufficient to cover all cost of processing permit applications.

The bill requires the Maine Land and Water Resources Council to develop recommendations for the Joint Standing Committee on Energy and Natural Resources concerning methods of simplifying the environmental permitting process in order to make it less time-consuming for the applicant. This council was established by Executive Order 9FY 80/81, March 24, 1981.

Page 10-LR3644(1)