

L.D. 2254

(Filing No. S-648 )

### STATE OF MAINE SENATE **115TH LEGISLATURE** SECOND REGULAR SESSION

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A " to S.P. 882, L.D. 2254, Bill, "An Act to Facilitate Cooperative Agreements among Maine Hospitals" 14

Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting 1.6 clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following: 18

'Sec. 1. 22 MRSA c. 405-D is enacted to read:

#### CHAPTER 405-D

#### HOSPITAL COOPERATION ACT

#### §1881. Short title 26

This chapter may be known and cited as the "Hospital Cooperation Act of 1992."

§1882. Definitions

32

36

38

40

42

44

46

30

2

4

б

8

10

12

20

22

24

28

As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings. 34

1. Cooperative agreement. "Cooperative agreement" means an agreement among 2 or more hospitals for the sharing, allocation or referral of patients, personnel, instructional programs, support services and facilities or medical, diagnostic or laboratory facilities or procedures or other services traditionally offered by hospitals.

2. Hospital. "Hospital" means:

A. Any acute care institution required to be licensed as a hospital under section 1811; or

Page 1-LR3286(2)

2

4

б

8

10

12

14

42

44

B. Any nonprofit parent of a hospital, hospital subsidiary or hospital affiliate that provides medical or medically related diagnostic and laboratory services or engages in ancillary activities supporting those services.
§1883. Certification for cooperative agreements
1. Authority. A hospital may negotiate and enter into cooperative agreements with other hospitals in the State if the likely benefits resulting from the agreements outweigh any disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition that may result from the agreements.
2. Application for certificate. Parties to a cooperative agreement may apply to the department for a certificate of public advantage governing that cooperative agreement. The application must include an executed written copy of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperative agreement agreement and scope of the cooperative agreement agreement agreement a

16 advantage governing that cooperative agreement. The application must include an executed written copy of the cooperative 18 agreement and describe the nature and scope of the cooperation in the agreement and any consideration passing to any party under 20 the agreement. A copy of the application and copies of all additional related materials must be submitted to the Attorney 22 General and to the department at the same time.

3. Procedure for department review. The department shall review the application in accordance with the standards set forth in subsection 4 and may hold a public hearing in accordance with rules adopted by the department. The department shall grant or deny the application within 90 days of the date of filing of the application and that decision must be in writing and set forth the basis for the decision. The department shall furnish a copy of the decision to the applicants, the Attorney General and any intervenor.

34 <u>4. Standards for certification.</u> The department shall issue a certificate of public advantage for a cooperative agreement if 36 it determines that the applicants have demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that the likely benefits resulting from the 38 agreement outweigh any disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition that may result from the agreement. 40

A. In evaluating the potential benefits of a cooperative agreement, the department shall consider whether one or more of the following benefits may result from the cooperative agreement:

46 (1) Enhancement of the quality of hospital and hospital-related care provided to Maine citizens; 48

(2)Preservationofhospitalfacilitiesin50geographicalproximitytothecommunitiestraditionallyservedbythosefacilities;

Page 2-LR3286(2)

, vo to

2

4

б

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

(3) Gains in the cost efficiency of services provided by the hospitals involved; (4) Improvements in the utilization of hospital resources and equipment; and (5) Avoidance of duplication of hospital resources. The department's evaluation of any disadvantages Β. attributable to any reduction in competition likely to result from the agreement may include, but need not be limited to, the following factors: (1) The extent of any likely adverse impact on the ability of health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations, managed health care service agents or other health care payors to negotiate optimal payment and service arrangements with hospitals, physicians, allied health care professionals or other health care providers; (2) The extent of any reduction in competition among physicians, allied health professionals, other health care providers or other persons furnishing goods or services to, or in competition with, hospitals that is likely to result directly or indirectly from the hospital cooperative agreement; (3) The extent of any likely adverse impact on patients in the quality, availability and price of health care services; and (4) The availability of arrangements that are less restrictive to competition and achieve the same benefits or a more favorable balance of benefits over disadvantages attributable to any reduction in competition likely to result from the agreement. Review by Attorney General. The department shall 5. consult with the Attorney General regarding its evaluation of any potential reduction in competition resulting from a cooperative agreement.

6. Certificate termination. If the department determines
 that the likely benefits resulting from a certified agreement no
 longer outweigh any disadvantages attributable to any potential
 reduction in competition resulting from the agreement, the
 department may initiate proceedings to terminate the certificate
 of public advantage in accordance with Title 5, chapter 375,
 subchapter IV.

Page 3-LR3286(2)

7. Recordkeeping. The department shall maintain on file all cooperative agreements for which certificates of public advantage remain in effect. Any party to a cooperative agreement who terminates the agreement shall file a notice of termination with the department within 30 days after termination.

#### <u>§1884. Judicial review of department action</u>

10

12

14

48

50

2

4

6

8

P. Of.

> Any applicant or intervenor aggrieved by a decision of the department in granting or denying an application, refusing to act on an application or terminating a certificate is entitled to judicial review of the decision in accordance with the Maine Administrative Procedure Act.

#### 16 §1885. Attorney General authority

1. Investigative powers. The Attorney General, at any time 18 after an application is filed under section 1883, subsection 2, may require by subpoena the attendance and testimony of witnesses 20 and the production of documents in Kennebec County or the county in which the applicants are located for the purpose of 22 investigating whether the cooperative agreement satisfies the standards set forth in section 1883, subsection 4. All documents 24 produced and testimony given to the Attorney General are 26 investigative records under Title 5, section 200-D. The Attorney General may seek an order from the Superior Court compelling compliance with a subpoena issued under this section. 28

30 2. Court action; time limits. The Attorney General may seek to enjoin the operation of a cooperative agreement for which 32 an application for certificate of public advantage has been filed by filing suit against the parties to the cooperative agreement 34 in Superior Court. The Attorney General may file an action before or after the department acts on the application for a 36 certificate but, except as provided in subsection 5, the action must be brought no later than 40 days following the department's 38 approval of an application for a certificate of public advantage.

3. Automatic stay. Upon the filing of the complaint in an action under subsection 2, the department's certification, if
previously issued, must be stayed and the cooperative agreement is of no further force unless the court orders otherwise or until
the action is concluded. The Attorney General may apply to the court for any ancillary temporary or preliminary relief necessary to stay the cooperative agreement pending final disposition of the case.

**<u>4.</u>** Standard for adjudication. In any action brought under subsection 2, the applicants for a certificate bear the burden of

Page 4-LR3286(2)

2

4

6

8

10

22

24

26

28

42

establishing by clear and convincing evidence that, in accordance with section 1883, subsection 4, the likely benefits resulting from the cooperative agreement outweigh any disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition that may result from the agreement. In assessing disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition likely to result from the agreement, the court may draw upon the determinations of federal and Maine courts concerning unreasonable restraint of trade under 15 United States Code, Sections 1 and 2 and Title 10, sections 1101 and 1102.

12 5. Change of circumstances. If, at any time following the 40-day period specified in subsection 2, the Attorney General 14 determines that as a result of changed circumstances the benefits resulting from a certified agreement no longer outweigh any 16 disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition resulting from the agreement, the Attorney General may file suit 18 in the Superior Court seeking to cancel the certificate of public advantage. The standard for adjudication for an action brought 20 under this subsection is as follows.

A. Except as provided in paragraph B, in any action brought under this subsection the Attorney General has the burden of establishing by a preponderance of the evidence that, as a result of changed circumstances, the benefits resulting from the agreement and the unavoidable costs of canceling the agreement are outweighed by disadvantages attributable to a reduction in competition resulting from the agreement.

30 B. In any action under this subsection, if the Attorney General first establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the department's certification was obtained as a result 32 of material misrepresentation to the department or the Attorney General or as the result of coercion, threats or 34 intimidation toward any party to the cooperative agreement, 36 then the parties to the agreement bear the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that the benefits resulting from the agreement and the unavoidable 38 costs of canceling the agreement are outweighed by disadvantages attributable to any reduction in competition 40 resulting from the agreement.

6. Fees and costs. If the Attorney General prevails in an action under this section, the Department of the Attorney General is entitled to an award of the reasonable costs of deposition transcripts incurred in the course of the investigation or litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, expert witness fees and court costs incurred in litigation.

Page 5-LR3286(2)

7. Resolution by consent decree. The Superior Court may resolve any action brought by the Attorney General under this chapter by entering an order that with the consent of the parties, modifies the cooperative agreement. Upon the entry of such an order, the parties to the cooperative agreement have the protection specified in section 1886 and the cooperative agreement has the effectiveness specified in section 1886.

#### <u>§1886. Effect of certification; applicability</u>

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

ż.

4

б

8

4) Or Or

> 1. Validity of certified cooperative agreements. Notwithstanding Title 10, section 1101 or any other provision of law, a cooperative agreement for which a certificate of public advantage has been issued is a lawful agreement. Notwithstanding Title 10, section 1102 or any other provision of law, if the parties to a cooperative agreement file an application for a certificate of public advantage governing the agreement with the department, the conduct of the parties in negotiating and entering into a cooperative agreement is lawful conduct. Nothing in this subsection immunizes any person for conduct in negotiating and entering into a cooperative agreement for which an application for a certificate of public advantage is not filed.

> 2. Validity of cooperative agreements determined not in public interest. If the department or, in any action by the Attorney General, the Superior Court determines that the applicants have not established by clear and convincing evidence that the likely benefits resulting from a cooperative agreement outweigh any disadvantages attributable to any potential reduction in competition resulting from the agreement, the agreement is invalid and has no further force or effect.

3. Other laws specifically regulating hospitals. Nothing in this chapter exempts hospitals or other health care providers from compliance with laws governing certificates of need or hospital cost reimbursement.

4. Mergers and consolidations involving licensed hospitals. The provisions of this chapter do not apply to any agreement among hospitals by which ownership or control over substantially all of the stock, assets or activities of one or more previously licensed and operating hospitals is placed under the control of another licensed hospital or hospitals.

44

46

48

5. Contract disputes. Any dispute among the parties to a cooperative agreement concerning its meaning or terms is governed by normal principles of contract law.

#### §1887. Assessment

2

8

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

Except for state-operated mental health hospitals, all hospitals licensed by the department are subject to an annual 4 assessment under this chapter. The department shall establish the amount of the assessment. The amount established by the 6 department must be based upon each hospital's gross patient service revenue. For any fiscal year, the aggregate amount raised by the assessment may not be greater than the amount allocated to carry out the purposes of this chapter in that 10 fiscal year. The department shall deposit funds raised under this section into a dedicated revenue account. Funds remaining 12 in the account at the end of each fiscal year do not lapse, but carry forward into subsequent years. Funds deposited into the 14 account must be allocated to carry out the purposes of this 16 <u>chapter</u>.

#### <u>§1888. Review</u> 18

The department may not accept any application under this chapter after June 30, 1995. By January 1, 1995, the Attorney General and the department shall submit recommendations, along with any necessary legislation, to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over human resources matters regarding whether this chapter should be amended.

Sec. 2. Legislative findings. The Legislature finds as follows.

Technological and scientific developments in hospital 1. care have enhanced the prospects for further improvement in the quality of care provided by Maine hospitals to Maine citizens.

The cost of improved technology and improved scientific 2. methods for the provision of hospital care is in significant part responsible for the increasing cost of hospital care. Cost increases make it increasingly difficult for hospitals in rural parts of Maine to offer care.

Changes in federal and state regulations governing 3. hospital operation and reimbursement have constrained the ability of hospitals to acquire and develop new and improved machinery and methods for the provision of hospital and hospital-related care.

Cooperative agreements among hospitals in the provision 4. of hospital and hospital-related services may foster further improvements in the quality of health care for Maine citizens, moderate increases in cost, improve access to needed services in

Page 7-LR3286(2)

rural parts of Maine and enhance the likelihood that smaller hospitals in Maine will remain open in service to their communities.

5. Hospitals are in the best position to identify and structure voluntary cooperative arrangements that enhance quality of care, improve access and achieve cost-efficiency in the provision of care.

6. Because competition is important to the health care sector and some cooperative agreements may have anticompetitive
effects that would operate to the detriment of the public, regulatory and judicial oversight of cooperative agreements is
necessary to ensure that the benefits of agreements outweigh any disadvantages attributable to any reduction in competition likely
to result from the agreements.

Sec. 3. Allocation. The following funds are allocated from Other Special Revenue funds to carry out the purposes of this Act.

1992-93

### ATTORNEY GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF THE

#### Administration - Attorney General

Positions - Other Count (0.5) 28 Personal Services \$24,257 All Other 2,000 30 Provides for the allocation of funds for one 32 part-time Assistant Attorney General

position and related costs to provide legal 34 services under the Hospital Cooperation Act of 1992.

- DEPARTMENT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL38TOTAL\$26,257
- 40 HUMAN SERVICES, DEPARTMENT OF
- 42 Health Planning and Development

44	Positions - Other Count	(1.0)
	Personal Services	\$32,885
46	All Other	1,000
48	Provides for the allocation of funds for one part-time Comprehensive Health Planner II	
50	position and one part-time Clerk Typist II	

Page 8-LR3286(2)

2 4

6

8

18

20

22

24

26

36

	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to S.P. 882, L.D. 2254	
2	position to carry out responsibilities under the Hospital Cooperation Act of 1992.	
4	DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES	
-	TOTAL	\$33,885
б	TOTAL ALLOCATIONS	\$60,142
8		
.10	FISCAL NOTE	1992-93
12	APPROPRIATIONS/ALLOCATIONS	
14		
	Other Funds	\$60,142

N

REVENUES

16

18

20

26

32

34

36

38

40

. . . .

#### Other Funds

\$60,142

This bill provides an allocation of dedicated revenue in fiscal year 1992-93 to the Department of Human Services in the amount of \$33,885 and to the Department of the Attorney General in the amount of \$26,257 for costs associated with certification for cooperative agreements.

The collection of a hospital assessment to pay for required services will increase dedicated revenue in an amount that may not be greater than the amount allocated. The assessment for fiscal year 1992-93 will be \$60,142.

The additional workload and administrative costs associated with the minimal number of new cases filed in the court system can be absorbed within the budgeted resources of the Judicial Department.'

#### **STATEMENT OF FACT**

42

The amendment makes the following changes to the original bill.

Hureau" is replaced with "department" throughout the bill to correct an erroneous reference to the Bureau of Health
 Planning.

Language is added to require the parties to cooperative agreement proposals to submit copies of their proposals to the
 Attorney General when submitting them to the Department of Human Services.

Page 9-LR3286(2)

3. A hospital assessment is created to pay for the services required under the Hospital Cooperation Act of 1992.

4. A sunset review is added.

5. Several technical changes are made to clarify language.

8 . 10

12

14

16

2

4

б

*لا.* کې

6. An allocation section and fiscal note are added to the bill.

As with the original bill, the Legislature intends through this amendment that a cooperative agreement for which a certificate of advantage has been issued receive the full benefit of state action immunity under federal antitrust laws.

Reported by Senator Gill for the Committee on Human Resources. Reproduced and Distributed Pursuant to Senate Rule 12. (3/19/92) (Filing No. S-648)