

L.D. 1932

(Filing No. H- 662)

б STATE OF MAINE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 8 **115TH LEGISLATURE** FIRST REGULAR SESSION 10 12 COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "/" to H.P. 1340, L.D. 1932, Bill, "An Act to Correct a Conflict in the Law Relating to Sentencing 14 Considerations and Appellate Review" 16 Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting 18 clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following: 20 'Sec. 1. 15 MRSA §2154, sub-§1, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 218, 22 §5, is repealed and the following enacted in its place: 24 1. Sentence correction. To provide for the correction of sentences imposed without due regard for the sentencing factors 26 set forth in this chapter: Sec. 2. 15 MRSA §2155, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 218, §5, is 28 amended to read: 30 §2155. Factors to be considered by Supreme Judicial Court 32 In reviewing a criminal sentence, the Supreme Judicial Court is-autherised-te shall consider: 34 36 1. Propriety of sentence. The propriety of the sentence, having regard to the nature of the offense, the character of the 38 offender, and the protection of the public interest, the effect of the offense on the victim and any other relevant sentencing 40 factors recognized under law; and Manner in which sentence was imposed. The manner in 42 2. which the sentence was imposed, including the sufficiency and accuracy of the information on which it was based. 44 46 Sec. 3. 15 MRSA §2156, sub-§1, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 218, §5, is repealed.

2

4

Page 1-LR2726(2)

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "" to H.P. 1340, L.D. 1932

Sec. 4. 15 MRSA §2156, sub-§1-A is enacted to read:

1-A. Remand. If the Supreme Judicial Court determines that relief should be granted, it must remand the case to the court that imposed the sentence for any further proceedings that could have been conducted prior to the imposition of the sentence under review and for resentencing on the basis of such further proceedings provided that the sentence is not more severe than the sentence appealed.

Emergency clause. In view of the emergency cited in the preamble, this Act takes effect when approved.

FISCAL NOTE

This bill requires the Supreme Judicial Court to remand a case to the sentencing court for resentencing if relief from the original sentence is necessary. The additional work load and administrative costs associated with additional remanded sentencing hearings will be absorbed within the budgeted resources of the Judicial Department.'

STATEMENT OF FACT

This amendment replaces the text of the bill but retains the emergency preamble.

30 The purpose of this amendment is to modify the sentencing review factors in light of certain recent decisions of the Maine 32 Supreme Judicial Court. Decisions such as State v. Lewis, No.___ (Me., April 19, 1991), State v. Michaud, No.__ (Me. May 2, 1991), 34 and State v. Clark, No.__(Me. May 13, 1991), reveal the conflict between the sentencing criteria in the Maine Criminal Code and 36 the appellate review provisions in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 15, chapter 306-A. The Title 17-A provisions contain a 38 number of criteria to be considered by the sentencing court in every criminal case and a conflict occurs because different 40 factors are indicated in the Title 15 review process. This amendment resolves the apparent conflict that has arisen as a 42 result of the different statutory mandates.

44 This amendment includes factors that a sentencing judge considers as the factors the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as a reviewing court, is required to consider. In addition to the 46 nature of the offense, the character of the offender and the 48 protection of the public interest, this amendment requires the court to consider the effect of the offense on the victim and any 50 other relevant sentencing factors recognized under law. The term "law" is used to refer to not only statutorily required factors,

16 18

20

22

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

- 24
- 26
- 28

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " β " to H.P. 1340, L.D. 1932

2

4

24

but also considerations that have been developed through case law. The court is required to consider the criminal record of the defendant by the current law inclusion of "the character of the offender" as a factor.

6 This amendment requires the Supreme Judicial Court to remand the case to the sentencing court for resentencing if relief from the original sentence is necessary. The Supreme Judicial Court 8 is not authorized to substitute its own sentence for the trial court sentence. The sentencing court has had the opportunity to 10 view the defendant through the course of a trial or other court 12 proceeding and has had the opportunity to view the victim of the defendant's crime. The Maine Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 14 40A already addresses which judge or justice conducts proceedings or resentences on remand. Rule 40A provides that the Law Court 16 may specifically direct the judge or justice who imposed the original sentence to handle the case on remand, that the Law 18 Court may specifically direct a judge or justice other than the one who imposed the original sentence to handle the case on remand or, if the Law Court does not specifically direct a 20 particular judge or justice to conduct proceedings or resentence 22 on remand, any judge or justice of the court to which the case is remanded may handle the case.

Reported by the Committee on Judicairy Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House (6/12/91) (Filing No. H-662)