MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



115th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1991

Legislative Document

No. 1832

H.P. 1263

House of Representatives, May 9, 1991

Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 27. Reference to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources suggested and ordered printed.

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk

Presented by Representative LORD of Waterboro.

Cosponsored by Representative ANDERSON of Woodland, Representative JACQUES of Waterville and Senator TITCOMB of Cumberland.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY-ONE

An Act Allowing Zoning Boards of Appeal to Grant Dimensional Variances Based On Practical Difficulty.

(AFTER DEADLINE)

Comment of the commen

Вe	it enacted	by the	People of	the State	of Maine	as follows:

	Be it enacted by the reopte of the State of Maine as follows:
2	30-A MRSA §4353, sub-§4-B is enacted to read:
4	
6	4-B. Dimensional variances permitted. Notwithstanding subsection 4, the board may grant a dimensional variance from any area, setback, frontage, height or bulk requirement of an
8	ordinance if strict application of the requirement to the petitioner's property causes practical difficulty. The board may
10	grant a dimensional variance for practical difficulty only if:
12	A. Strict application of the ordinance prevents the petitioner from using the property for a purpose otherwise
14	allowed;
16	B. Granting a variance does not substantially reduce the value or impair the use of abutting property; and
18	C. Cooption of manipus does not morelist with the money.
20	C. Granting a variance does not conflict with the general purpose of the ordinance, protects the public safety and
	welfare and does not conflict with the municipality's
22	comprehensive plan.
24	The board may not grant a variance under this section that is more permissive than necessary to mitigate the petitioner's
26	practical difficulty. If the board determines that a variance that is less permissive than that requested by the petitioner
28	mitigates the petitioner's practical difficulty, only then may
30	such a less permissive variance be granted by the board.
30	en grande de la companya de la comp
32	STATEMENT OF FACT
34	Current law strictly limits the authority of a municipal zoning board of appeals to grant variances. A zoning board may
36	grant a variance only if strict application of an ordinance causes undue hardship to the property owner. To prove undue
38	hardship, a property owner must show that the ordinance prevents the property owner from realizing any reasonable return on the
40	property.
42	This bill allows municipal zoning boards of appeals to grant dimensional variances if the petitioner can demonstrate
44	"practical difficulty." To meet the practical difficulty standard in the bill, the property owner must show that strict
46	application of an ordinance prevents the owner from using the
48	property for a purpose otherwise allowed. The property owner must also show that granting the variance does not reduce the value or impair the use of abutting property in the zone and does

not conflict with the general purpose of the ordinance, that the public safety and welfare is protected and that the variance does

not conflict with the municipality's comprehensive plan.

50

52