

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

R. of S.

L.D. 1801

(Filing No. S-190)

2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32

STATE OF MAINE
SENATE
115TH LEGISLATURE
FIRST REGULAR SESSION

34
36
38
40
42
44
46
48

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " A " to S.P. 679, L.D. 1801, Bill, "An Act to Clarify the Termination of Intensive Supervision"

Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the following:

'17-A MRSA §1262, sub-§4-A is enacted to read:

4-A. If, while on the Intensive Supervision Program, a prisoner is convicted of another crime, that person is no longer suitable for participation in the Intensive Supervision Program and the Department of Corrections shall petition the court to require the prisoner to serve in institutional confinement the remaining portion of the unsuspended term that was to have been served in the Intensive Supervision Program.

30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46
48

FISCAL NOTE

This bill clarifies the termination of intensive supervision. The costs associated with the department petitioning the court and with an offender serving the balance of intensive supervision which is terminated in institutional confinement will be absorbed within the budgeted resources of the Department of Corrections. The cost per day for a client in the intensive supervision program is \$10.84 while the average cost per day for an adult in a correctional institution is \$58.'

STATEMENT OF FACT

This amendment clarifies the original bill. It does not change the bill substantively.

The amendment also adds a fiscal note to the bill.

Reported by Senator Bustin for the Joint Select Committee on Corrections.
Reproduced and Distributed Pursuant to Senate Rule 12.

(5/21/91)

(Filing No. S-190)