## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

| 2  | L.D. 1780  |
|----|--|
| -  | (Filing No. H- 899)  |
| 4  |  |
| 6  |  |
|    | STATE OF MAINE   |
| 8  | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES   |
| 10 | 115TH LEGISLATURE SECOND REGULAR SESSION   |
|    |  |
| 12 | COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1222, L.D. 1780, Bill, "An   |
| 14 | Act to Provide More Effective Recovery of Child Support"   |
|    |  |
| 16 | Amend the bill by striking out everything after the title and before the statement of fact and inserting in its place the        |
| 18 | following:   |
|    |  |
| 20 | 'Emergency preamble. Whereas, Acts of the Legislature do not become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted     |
| 22 | as emergencies; and  |
|    |  |
| 24 | Whereas, under current law, the Department of Human Services   |
| 26 | has no authority to distribute support payments to ensure a fair share to each family of children, a key issue currently pending |
|    | before the Federal Court in Maine; and   |
| 28 | Whoreas and a surrout law the Beautiful of Victoria  |
| 30 | Whereas, under current law, the Department of Human Services does not have authority to administratively order responsible       |
|    | parents in nonwelfare cases to pay for past necessary expenses   |
| 32 | and out-of-pocket medical costs, therefore limiting the  |
| 34 | effectiveness of the expedited paternity process enacted last year; and  |
|    | 7001, unu  |
| 36 | Whereas, under current law, a responsible parent's past debt   |
| 38 | due the Department of Human Services for public assistance paid is the amount of public assistance paid, even if the responsible |
|    | parent's past earning capacity could not provide that level of   |
| 40 | support; and   |

Whereas, quicker and more efficient processing of all child support cases is especially important in times when the State is

reducing resources available to families, thus making the securing of child support for those families even more crucial;

42

44

46

and

| 2  | Whereas, the more efficient processing of these cases will enable the State to monitor state compliance with federal audit                                  |
|----|---|
| 4. | standards; and  |
| 6  | Whereas, the changes will result in a net increase in revenue by increasing the reimbursement for state aid to families                                     |
| 8  | with dependent children payments; and   |
| 10 | Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within the meaning of the Constitution of                                      |
| 12 | Maine and require the following legislation as immediately necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and                                   |
| 14 | safety; now, therefore,   |
| 16 | Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:   |
| 18 | Sec. 1. 19 MRSA §280-C is enacted to read:  |
| 20 | §280-C. Presumption of legitimacy not applicable  |
| 22 | 1. Presumption not applicable. The presumption of   |
| 24 | <pre>legitimacy provided in the Maine Rules of Evidence, Rule 302 does not apply if:</pre>  |
| 26 | A. The experts conclude that reliable blood or tissue tests   |
| 28 | <pre>show that the presumed father is not the biological parent of the child: or</pre>  |
| 30 | B. The experts conclude that reliable blood or tissue tests show that the alleged father is not excluded and that the                                       |
| 32 | probability of the alleged father's paternity is 97% or higher.   |
| 34 | Sec. 2. 19 MRSA §314, sub-§1, ¶D, as enacted by PL 1989, c.   |
| 36 | 834, Pt. A, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:   |
| 38 | D. If a party fails to comply with this subsection, the court may, in its discretion:   |
| 40 |   |
| 42 | (1) Impose economic sanctions; or   |
| 44 | (2) Presume for the purpose of determining a current support obligation that the party has an earning   |
| 46 | capacity equal to the average weekly wage of a worker within this State as determined by the most recent pepartment of Labor statistics. A different annual |

|     | income may be used if there is sufficient reliable  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | evidence to conclude reasonably that the noncomplying   |
|     | party earns a greater or lesser actual income.  |
| 4   | C 2 10 D/DCA \$405 arch \$51 ard 2  |
| _   | Sec. 3. 19 MRSA §405, sub-§§1 and 2, as amended by PL 1971, c.  |
| 6   | 393, §14, are further amended to read:  |
| 8   | 1. Recognizance. To require the obligor to furnish  |
| •   | recognizance in the form of a cash deposit or bond of such a  |
| 10  | character and in such an amount as the court may-deem determines  |
|     | proper to assure ensure payment of any amount required to be paid                                       |
| 12  | by the obligor -:   |
|     |   |
| 14  | 2. Payments. To require the obligor to make payments at   |
| 1.0 | specified intervals to the clerk of the court and to report   |
| 16  | personally to such the clerk at such times as may be deemed determined necessary.                       |
| 18  | decermined necessary+1  |
|     | Sec. 4. 19 MRSA §405, sub-§3, as amended by PL 1985, c. 652,  |
| 20  | §15, is further amended to read:  |
|     |   |
| 22  | 3. Violations. To punish under the power of contempt the  |
|     | obligor who violates any order of the court, or to issue any  |
| 24  | order pursuant to chapter 14-A. When the obligor is committed to  |
|     | jail for contempt, as provided, the county having jurisdiction of                                       |
| 26  | the process shall bear the expense of his the obligor's support   |
| 28  | and commitment and he the obligor may be discharged in the same manner as provided by section 722-: and |
| 40  | manner as provided by section /224, and   |
| 30  | Sec. 5. 19 MRSA §405, sub-§4 is enacted to read:  |
|     | ·   |
| 32  | 4. Blood tests. When this State is the responding state   |
|     | and the petition from the initiating state contains an allegation                                       |
| 34  | of paternity that is denied by the respondent, the court, on its  |
|     | own motion or the motion of a party, shall order the parties to   |
| 36  | submit to blood tests pursuant to sections 272 to 280-B.  |
| 20  | Sec. 6. 19 MRSA §448-A, sub-§§7 and 8 are enacted to read:  |
| 38  | Sec. v. 17 MADA 3-40-A, Sub-337 and o are enacted to read:  |
| 40  | 7. State's role in support enforcement cases. In any child  |
|     | support action brought by the department under this Title or  |
| 42  | Title 22, the department or prosecuting attorney represents   |
|     | solely the interest of the State in providing child support   |
| 44  | enforcement services under federal law. Nothing in this section   |
|     | may be construed to modify statutory mandate, authority or  |
| 46  | confidentiality required of any governmental agency, nor does   |

Page 3-LR2469(2)

attorney-client relationship between the attorney and any party, other than the State.

For the purpose of this subsection, "prosecuting attorney" means an assistant attorney general, an assistant district attorney, an attorney under contract or an attorney in the employ of the department.

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

38

6

2

- 8. Obligation established. The current support obligations in cases brought in accordance with this section are established pursuant to subchapter I-A. An obligation for past necessary support due is established by application of the most current child support scale to the responsible parent's income for the time period in which the applicant was entitled to support payments and may include reimbursement for past medical expenses. In the absence of sufficient reliable information to calculate a responsible parent's past income, it is presumed that the responsible parent had an earning capacity equal to the average weekly wage of a worker within this State as determined by the Department of Labor statistics for the applicable years. A different annual income may be used if there is sufficient reliable evidence to conclude reasonably that the responsible parent earned a greater or lesser actual income. A present disability to pay child support, legal or otherwise, does not operate to bar a determination of past debt due the applicant for any relevant period in which the disability did not exist.
- If the responsible parent defaults or otherwise fails to appear, and no court or administrative order of support has been established, the court or administrative hearing officer shall presume that the responsible parent has an earning capacity equal to the average weekly wage of a worker within this State as determined by the Department of Labor statistics for the applicable years. A different annual income may be used if there is sufficient reliable evidence to conclude reasonably that the responsible parent earned a greater or lesser actual income.
  - Sec. 7. 19 MRSA §495, sub-§1, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1981, c. 657, §4, is amended to read:

A. When no court order of support has been established, a

42 payment of public assistance for the benefit of the
dependent child creates a debt due the department from the

44 responsible parent in-the-amount-of-public-assistance-paid.

The amount of debt due the department is established by

46 application of the most current child support scale to the
responsible parent's income for the time period in which the

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

46

department was entitled to support payments. In the absence of sufficient reliable information to calculate a responsible parent's past income, it is presumed that the responsible parent had an earning capacity equal to the average weekly wage of a worker within this State as determined by the Department of Labor statistics for the applicable years. A different annual income may be used if there is sufficient reliable evidence to conclude reasonably that the responsible parent earned a greater or lesser actual income. A present disability to pay child support, legal or otherwise, does not operate to bar a determination of past debt due the department for any relevant period in which the disability did not exist. When a periodic support payment has been established under section 498, the debt shall-be is limited to the amount stated in the decision.

#### Sec. 8. 19 MRSA §495, sub-§1-B is enacted to read:

1-B. Default judgment. If the responsible parent defaults or otherwise fails to appear, and no court or administrative order of support has been established, the court or administrative hearing officer shall presume that the responsible parent has an earning capacity equal to the average weekly wage of a worker within this State as determined by the Department of Labor statistics for the applicable years. A different annual income than the one specified by this subsection may be used if there is sufficient reliable evidence to conclude reasonably that the responsible parent earned a greater or lesser actual income.

Sec. 9. 19 MRSA §498, sub-§4, ¶B, as amended by PL 1989, c. 337, §3, is further amended to read:

The decision shall must include a statement of the responsibility of the alleged responsible statement of the periodic support payment constituting the current support obligation, the amount of public-assistance the debt assesued due the department as established under section 495, subsection 1, paragraph A and the obligation of the responsible parent to maintain health insurance coverage for any dependent children and to provide payment for other medical expenses and shall must require the responsible parent to provide written proof to the department of the existence of the required health insurance coverage within 15 days of that parent's receipt of the decision. A copy of the decision shall must be served upon the responsible parent. The department shall provide written notice to the responsible parent with its decision of that parent's right

Page 5-LR2469(2)

to administrative review of the decision within the department or review of the decision by the courts and of the action required and the time within which the action shall must be taken to exercise the right of administrative or judicial review. A review of the decision within the department, except pursuant to subsection 3, is limited to a review of the record generated by the original hearing.

2

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

Sec. 10. 19 MRSA §498-A, first ¶, as repealed and replaced by PL 1989, c. 337, §4, is amended to read:

If no court order of support exists, the department by hearing on behalf of any individual or governmental applicant for services under section 448-A, or on behalf of any person entitled by federal statute to support enforcement services as a former recipient of public assistance, may establish a periodic payment to satisfy the responsible parent's current support obligation sestions -- 442 -- and -- 443 section 443-A, establish the responsible parent's debt accrued under sections 273 and 443-A and establish the responsible parent's obligation to maintain health insurance coverage for any dependent children and to provide payment for other medical expenses incurred on behalf of the dependent children by the other parent of the children or by any other person with whom the children reside. The department on behalf of another state or another instrumentality or a person residing in another state shall senstitutes good cause within the meaning of Title 5, section 9057, subsection 5. Notwithstanding seetiens-442-and-443 section 443-A, after January 1, 1990, the responsible parent's support obligation shall--continues beyond the child's 18th birthday if the child is attending secondary school as defined in Title 20-A, section 1, until the child graduates, withdraws or is expelled from secondary school or attains the age of 19, whichever first occurs.

Sec. 11. 19 MRSA §498-A, sub-§4, ¶B, as repealed and replaced by PL 1989, c. 337, §4, is amended to read:

38

40

42

44

46

36

B. The decision shall must include a statement of the responsibility of the responsible parent, a statement of the periodic support payment constituting the current support obligation, the debt due the applicant as established under section 448-A, subsection 8 and the obligation of the responsible parent to maintain health insurance coverage for any dependent children and to provide payment for other medical expenses and shall must require the responsible parent to provide written proof to the department of the

existence of the required health insurance coverage within 15 days of that parent's receipt of the decision. A copy of the decision shall must be served upon the responsible parent. The department shall provide written notice to the responsible parent with its decision of the parent's right to an administrative review of the decision within the department or review of the decision by the courts and of the action required and the time within which the action shall must be taken to exercise the right of an administrative review. A review of the decision within the department, except pursuant to subsection 3, shall must be limited to a review of the record generated by the original hearing.

#### Sec. 12. 19 MRSA §498-D is enacted to read:

#### \$498-D. Discovery of past income

The responsible parent has an obligation to supply evidence regarding past income in order to calculate the debt owed the department or an applicant for services under section 446-A if the evidence is reasonably available. The responsible parent has 30 days to supply evidence of past income if requested to do so by the department. A request for evidence regarding past income may be made through an administrative form developed by the department.

Failure to provide the evidence, absent a showing of good cause for failure to do so or notification to the department of good faith attempts to secure the information, allows the administrative hearing officer to draw any reasonable inference from the evidence available, including an inference that the responsible parent had a greater earning capacity than the average weekly wage of a worker within this State as defined by the most recent Department of Labor statistics.

Sec. 13. 19 MRSA §513, as enacted by PL 1975, c. 532, §3, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:

#### §513. Distribution of proceeds

1. Pro rata distribution when insufficient funds received. The following provisions apply when a responsible parent is under court or administrative orders of support for more than one family of children and at least one family of children is either a recipient of public assistance or a beneficiary under section 448-A. For purposes of this subsection, a "family of children"

Page 7-LR2469(2)

|                 | consists or all prood-feraced and adobted cuttofen or the  |
|-----------------|--|
| 2               | responsible parent that reside apart from any other children that  |
|                 | the responsible parent is under a court or administrative order  |
| 4               | to support.  |
|                 |  |
| 6               | A. If the department fails to receive sufficient funds to  |
| •               | meet the responsible parent's current support obligation to  |
| 8               | all of the children of all of the families, the department   |
| J               | shall distribute pro rata the funds received so that each  |
| LO              | family of children receives the percentage of the funds  |
| r.O             | received that represents that family's share of current  |
| 12              | support when calculated from the responsible parent's total  |
| L &             | current support obligation for all families.   |
| 14              | current support obligation for all lamilies.   |
| L <del>'4</del> | B. Bren if the managinia around makes a designation of   |
|                 | B. Even if the responsible parent makes a designation or   |
| L6              | otherwise directs a distribution to the families of  |
|                 | children, the department shall distribute the funds received   |
| 18              | as provided in paragraph A if the designation or other   |
|                 | direction would result in a distribution not in compliance   |
| 20              | with paragraph A.  |
| -               | O man december to the destruction of the first of the fir |
| 22              | C. The department shall distribute the funds received as   |
|                 | provided in paragraph A regardless of the source of the  |
| 24              | collection of the funds.   |
|                 | D Who december much be held become as to sure alsies of  |
| 26              | D. The department must be held harmless as to any claim of   |
| 3.0             | the responsible parent for its distribution of funds   |
| 28              | received as provided in paragraph A.   |
| 30              | 2. Reduction of debt under section 495. Any money realized   |
| 30              | by the department by proceedings under this subchapter reduces   |
|                 |  |
| 32              | the debt of a responsible parent under section 495 and must be paid to the recipient of assistance for the express benefit of  |
|                 |  |
| 34              | the dependent children to the extent permissible by federal law  |
|                 | and regulations.   |
| 36              | Con 14 10 B/D CA 9777 D  |
|                 | Sec. 14. 19 MRSA §777-B is enacted to read:  |
| 38              | Cwar n nt  |
|                 | \$777-B. Discovery of past income in department support  |
| 40              | enforcement cases  |
|                 |  |
| 42              | The responsible parent has an obligation to supply evidence  |
|                 | regarding past income in order to calculate the debt owed the  |
| 44              | department or an applicant for services under section 448-A if   |
|                 | the evidence is reasonably available. A request for evidence   |
| 46              | regarding past income may be made through a document request   |
|                 | pursuant to the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 34  |

| 2   | rallure to provide the evidence in the time period set fort  |
|-----|--|
|     | in the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 34, absent a showing   |
| 4   | of good cause for failure to do so or notification to the  |
|     | department of good faith attempts to secure the information  |
| 6   | allows the court to draw any reasonable inference from the   |
| ,   | evidence available, including an inference that the responsible  |
| 8   | parent had a greater earning capacity than the average weekly  |
|     | wage of a worker within this State as defined by the most recent   |
| 10  | Department of Labor statistics. This remedy is in addition to  |
|     | remedies available under rules of discovery.   |
| 12  |  |
|     | Emergency clause. In view of the emergency cited in the  |
| 14  | preamble, this Act takes effect when approved.   |
| ,   |  |
| 16  |  |
|     | FISCAL NOTE  |
| 18  |  |
|     | The strengthening of the laws concerning the collection of   |
| 20  | child support obligations may increase dedicated revenue to the  |
|     | Department of Human Services. A portion of this additional   |
| 22  | dedicated revenue could be used to offset General Fund   |
|     | appropriations to Aid to Families with Dependent Children.   |
| 24  |  |
|     |  |
| 26  |  |
|     | STATEMENT OF FACT  |
| 28  |  |
|     | This amendment replaces the bill but retains the central   |
| 30  | purpose of the original bill, which is to strengthen the laws  |
|     | concerning collection of child support.  |
| 32  | The state of the s |
| -   | The collection of adequate child support for families in   |
| 34  | need has become very important to both the State Government and  |
| -   | the Federal Government. The amendment addresses several areas  |
| 36  | that should enhance and expedite the collection of child support   |
|     | by the State.  |
| 38  | by the beate.  |
| , 0 | The amendment makes the following changes.   |
| 10  | The amendment makes the following thandes.   |
| • • | 1. It changes the way past child support is calculated when  |
| 12  | there is no prior child support order. In the past, the State  |
| - ~ | emero is no bito: curic subbotc order. in cue basc' cue scace  |

Page 9-LR2469(2)

was entitled to a judgment for the full amount of Aid to Families with Dependent Children, or AFDC, payments. This was true even if the father showed that his prior income was very low and thus would never have been ordered to pay anywhere near the amount of a monthly AFDC payment. The amendment adopts a version of the

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

child support scale that is now being used successfully to determine current support. In short, the absent parent turns over information regarding past years' incomes and the current child support scale is utilized to determine what the child support payment should have been during past years in which the absent parent was liable for support. This amount becomes a judgment for past debt. This mechanism is simple, fair and is required by federal law.

- The Maine Rules of Evidence, Rule 302 presumes that a man married to a woman when a child is conceived or born is the father of the child and the child is legitimate. To rebut that presumption, a person must produce evidence and persuade the trier of fact beyond a reasonable doubt of the illegitimacy. amendment provides that this presumption does not apply if, in a paternity action, the experts conclude that reliable blood and tissue tests show that the man who is presumed to be the father that is, the husband of the mother when the child is conceived or born - is not the biological parent. The amendment also provides that this presumption does not apply if the experts conclude that when an "alleged father," a man other than the husband when the child was conceived or born, is not excluded as the biological father and that the probability of the alleged father being the biological parent of the child is 97% or higher. provision helps establish that an alleged father is probably the biological father when the otherwise-presumed father is not available for blood and tissue tests.
- 3. It authorizes the court to presume an earning capacity for a party in a child support action who fails to comply with the requirement to supply information about income to establish child support awards under the child support guidelines. The presumed income is the average weekly wage of a worker within the State as determined by the Department of Labor statistics. The court may presume a different income if there is sufficient reliable information to conclude reasonably that the actual income was greater or lesser than a worker's average weekly wage.
- 4. It clarifies that blood tests must be ordered as an integral part of the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act.
  - 5. It adopts law from numerous other states regarding the role of "state" attorneys in support cases and makes clear that the state attorney's client is the State.

- 6. It adds a new subsection to the Maine Revised Statutes,
  2 Title 19, section 448-A that delineates how obligations for current and past necessary support due are established in
  4 nonwelfare cases. The child support guidelines are applied to the actual past and current income, or the Department of Labor statistics may be used if the actual income figures are not available. A different annual income may be used if there is sufficient reliable evidence. A present disability to pay child support does not bar a determination of past debt due for any time the disability did not exist. The obligation for past necessary support may include reimbursement for past medical expenses.
- It amends current law regarding the creation of a debt 14 due the Department of Human Services for payment of public assistance for the benefit of a dependent child. The amount of 16 debt due the department is established by applying the most 18 current child support guidelines to the responsible parent's past Department of Labor statistics may be used, or a income. 20 different income may be used if there is sufficient reliable evidence. A present disability to pay child support is not a 22 determination for past debt due for any time the disability did not exist.
- 8. It eliminates a problem the department has had in establishing and collecting the debt based on past AFDC payments. Under current staffing levels, the department is able to produce records on past AFDC payments for no more than 36 months in the past, thus eliminating the department's ability to establish the responsible parent's debt for any payments made before that time and eliminating the possibility of reimbursement for those past payments.

24

- 9. It provides for a presumption of earning capacity in court and administrative hearing cases in which the responsible
   parent defaults or otherwise fails to appear.
- 10. It amends the administrative hearing provisions for public assistance support cases to refer to the revised method for calculating the debt due the department.
- 11. It authorizes the department to establish the responsible parent's debt for past necessary support in nonwelfare cases in an administrative hearing. Because the calculation process is simplified, there is no reason to limit this determination to the judicial process.

Page 11-LR2469(2)

. 5

4

- 12. It amends the administrative hearing provisions for nonwelfare support cases to refer to the revised method for calculating the debt due the recipient of support.
- 13. It provides incentive for responsible parents to provide accurate and complete information regarding past income. This applies to administrative hearings in both public assistance and nonwelfare support cases and to court proceedings. If the responsible parent fails to provide the evidence, absent a showing of good cause or notification of good faith attempts to obtain the information, the hearing officer or the court may draw the negative inference that the responsible parent had a greater earning capacity than the average weekly wage of a worker in this State as established by Department of Labor statistics.
- 16 Current law does not address how money should be 14. distributed when a responsible parent does not send sufficient 18 child support to cover multiple child support orders. amendment requires the department to more equitably distribute 20 child support payments among these multiple families. department shall distribute the money received to each family in 22 the same proportion as each family's support order bears to the total of all support orders. While this may mean that some 24 families may on occasion receive less money than their court or administrative order directs them to receive, this establishes the policy of the State to distribute whatever money is received 26 so that all families receive some benefit from that child support 28 This addresses one of the key issues in litigation currently pending in federal court. 30

Reported by the Committee on Judiciary
Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the
House
2/6/92 (Filing No. H-899)