



115th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1991

Legislative Document

No. 1675

H.P. 1150

House of Representatives, April 24, 1991

Reference to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources suggested and ordered printed.

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk

Presented by Representative JACQUES of Waterville. Cosponsored by Representative ANDERSON of Woodland.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY-ONE

An Act to Clarify the Laws Pertaining to Underground Oil Storage Tanks.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2

Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §562-A, sub-§7-A is enacted to read:

4 7-A. Environmental assessment. "Environmental assessment" means determining the extent to which a prohibited discharge may б have affected the soil in and around the facility, including a plan based on a realistic assessment of the potential exposure 8 and adverse effects upon humans, wildlife or ecological systems as well as a consideration of economic reasonableness and 10 engineering feasibility, to mitigate the impact of that 12 discharge, if that discharge has occurred. Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §563-A, sub-§1, as affected by PL 1989, c. 14 890, Pt. A, $\S40$ and amended by Pt. B, $\S136$ and c. 926, $\S2$, is repealed and the following enacted in its place: 16 18 1. Compliance schedule. Except as provided in subsections 1-A and 1-B, a person may not operate, maintain or store oil in a registered underground oil storage facility or tank that is not 20 constructed of fiberglass, cathodically protected steel or other noncorrosive material approved by the department after: 22 A. October 1, 1989, if that facility or tank is more than 24 15 years old and is located in a sensitive geological area; 26 B. October 1, 1991, if that facility or tank is more than 25 years old or if that facility or tank is more than 15 28 years old and is located in a sensitive geological area; 30 C. October 1, 1994, if that facility or tank is more than 32 20 years old or if that facility or tank is more than 15 years old and is located in a sensitive geological area; and 34 D. October 1, 1997. 36 If a person who is otherwise required to remove an underground oil storage facility or tank in accordance with the time period 38 prescribed by paragraphs A to D is unable to secure financing for 40 that removal or is unable to obtain the services of a certified underground oil storage tank installer or remover as required 42 under section 566-A, the deadlines for removing underground oil storage tanks and facilities contained in paragraphs A to D must 44 be waived or extended for as long as necessary to obtain the financing or services. 46 Sec. 3. 38 MRSA §568, as amended by PL 1989, c. 865, §14 and affected by c. 890, Pt. A, §40 and amended by Pt. B, §146, is 48 repealed and the following enacted in its place: 50

<u>§568. Cleanup and removal of prohibited discharges</u>

Page 1-LR2071(1) L.D.1675

2 1. Removal. Any person discharging or suffering a discharge of oil to ground water in the manner prohibited by section 543 and any responsible party shall immediately undertake 4 to remove that discharge to the commissioner's satisfaction. Notwithstanding this requirement, the commissioner may order the б removal of that discharge pursuant to subsection 3, or may undertake the removal of that discharge and retain agents and 8 contractors for that purpose who shall operate under the 10 direction of the commissioner. Any unexplained discharge of oil to ground water within state jurisdiction must be removed by or under the direction of the commissioner. Any expenses involved 12 in the removal of discharges, whether by the person causing the discharge, the person reporting the discharge, the commissioner 14 or the commissioner's agents or contractors, may be paid in the first instance from the Ground Water Oil Clean-up Fund, including 16 any expenses incurred by the State under subsection 3, and any reimbursements due that fund must be collected in accordance with 18 section 569.

2. Restoration of water supplies. The commissioner may clean up any discharge of oil and take temporary and permanent remedial actions at locations threatened or affected by the discharge of oil, including restoring or replacing water supplies contaminated or threatened by oil with alternatives the commissioner finds are cost effective, technologically feasible and reliable and that effectively mitigate or minimize damage to and provide adequate protection of the public health, welfare and the environment. When the remedial action taken includes the installation of a public water supply, the fund may be used to pay costs of operation, maintenance and depreciation of the water supply for a period not exceeding 20 years. The commissioner shall consult with the affected party prior to selecting the alternative to be implemented.

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

50

3. Issuance of clean-up orders. The commissioner may investigate and sample sites where an oil discharge has or may have occurred to identify the source and extent of the discharge. During the course of the investigation, the commissioner may require submission of information or documents that relate or may relate to the discharge under investigation from any person who the commissioner has reason to believe may be a responsible party. If the commissioner finds, after investigation, that a discharge of oil has occurred and may create a threat to public health or the environment, including, but not limited to, contamination of a water supply, the commissioner may issue a clean-up order requiring the responsible party to cease the discharge immediately or to take action to prevent further discharge and to mitigate or terminate the threat of human exposure to contamination or to explosive vapors. In addition to other actions, the commissioner may, as part of any

clean-up order, require the responsible party to provide temporary drinking water and water treatment systems approved by the commissioner, to sample and analyze wells and to compensate 3rd-party damages resulting from the discharge. The commissioner may also order that the responsible party take temporary and permanent remedial actions at locations threatened or affected by the discharge of oil, including a requirement that the responsible party restore or replace water supplies contaminated with oil with water supplies the commissioner finds are cost effective, technologically feasible and reliable and that effectively mitigate or minimize damage to, and provide adequate protection of, the public health, welfare and the environment. Clean-up orders may be issued only in compliance with the following procedures.

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

50

A. Any orders issued under this section must contain findings of fact describing the manner and extent of oil contamination, the site of the discharge and the threat to the public health or environment.

B. A responsible party to whom such an order is directed may apply to the board for a hearing on the order if the application is made within 10 working days after receipt of the order by a responsible party. The board shall appoint an independent hearing examiner to hold a hearing as soon as possible after receipt of the application. The nature of the hearing must be an appeal. At the hearing, all witnesses must be sworn and the commissioner shall first establish the basis for the order and for naming the person to whom the order was directed. The burden of going forward then shifts to the person appealing to demonstrate, based upon a preponderance of evidence, that the order should be modified or rescinded. Within 7 days after the hearing, the hearing examiner shall make findings of fact. The board shall vote to accept, reject or modify the findings of the hearing examiner at the next regularly scheduled board meeting and shall continue, revoke or modify the commissioner's order. The decision of the board may be appealed to the Superior Court in accordance with the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter VII.

C. Upon completion of the clean-up activity, the department shall issue a letter to the responsible party or parties, indicating that the clean-up order has been complied with and that no further remedial action is required. When more than one parcel of land has been affected by a discharge, the department shall issue a letter of compliance for each parcel regardless of further remedial action continuing to take place on adjacent parcels. 4. Enforcement; penalties; punitive damages. Enforcement, penalties and punitive damages are as follows.

2.

4

б

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

- 24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

50

A. Any person who causes, or is responsible for, a discharge to ground water in violation of section 543 is not subject to any fines or penalties for a violation of that section for the discharge if that person promptly reports and removes that discharge in accordance with the rules and orders of the department.

B. Any responsible party who fails without sufficient cause to undertake removal or remedial action promptly in accordance with a clean-up order issued pursuant to subsection 3 is not eligible for coverage under the fund pursuant to section 568-A, subsection 1, and may be liable to the State for punitive damages in an amount at least equal to, and not more than 3 times, the amount of any sums expended from the fund in addition to reasonable attorney's fees as a result of failure to take prompt action.

C. Notwithstanding paragraphs A and B, a person who violates any laws or rules administered by the department under this subchapter is subject to the fines and penalties in section 349.

5. Land acquisition. Upon approval of the board by 2/3 majority vote, the department may acquire by purchase, lease, condemnation, donation or otherwise, any real property or any interest in real property, to undertake remedial actions in response to a discharge of oil, including, but not limited to:

A. Actions to prevent further discharge and to mitigate or terminate the threat of a discharge of oil;

B. Actions to clean up and remove oil from the site; and

<u>C. Replacement of water supplies contaminated by or at significant risk of contamination by a discharge of oil.</u>

The department may exercise the right of eminent domain in the manner described in Title 35-A, chapter 65, to take and hold real property to provide drinking water supplies to replace those contaminated by a discharge and to undertake soil and ground water remediation to protect water supplies that are at significant risk of contamination. The department may transfer or convey to any person real property or any interest in real property once acquired.

Sec. 4. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is amended to read:

2	A. The applicant must submit within 90 days of reporting the discharge, a written request to the commissioner to be
4	covered by the fund. The request must include:
6	(1) A description of the discharge and the locations threatened or affected by the discharge, to the extent
8	known;
10	(2) An agreement that the applicant shall pay the initial costs of cleanup and 3rd-party damage claims up
12	to the deductible amount specified in subsection 2; and
14	(3) Documentation that the applicant is in substantial compliance with the requirementsofparagraphB.
16	<u>following_requirements:</u>
18	(a) The compliance schedule in section 563-A for nonconforming facilities except that those
20	<u>facilities or tanks required to be removed by</u> <u>October 1, 1989 have until October 1, 1991 to be</u>
22	<u>removed before they are considered out of compliance, unless the compliance schedule is</u>
24	waived or extended pursuant to section 563-A, subsection 1;
26	(b) Any outstanding concent agreement or gloop up
28	(b) Any outstanding consent agreement or clean-up order issued by the commissioner under section 568, subsection 3, regarding violations of this
30	subchapter;
32	(c) Any outstanding court order or consent decree regarding violations of this subchapter;
34	
36	(d) For motor fuel storage and marketing and retail facilities:
38	(i) Applicable design and installation or retrofitting requirements for leak detection
40	as covered by section 564, subsections 1 and 1-A;
42	
44	(ii) Overfill and spill prevention equipment under section 564, subsection 1-B, and any
46	rules adopted pursuant to that subsection; and
48	<u>(iii) Under section 564, subsection 2, paragraphs B to H, not including paragraph F,</u>
50	and any rules adopted pursuant to that subsection;

contaminatedwithhazardousconstituents12compliancewithrulesadoptedbythehoar14(i)Design and installation, if applicable;16(ii)Retrofittingofleakdetectionar18corrosion protection, if applicable;20(iii)Overfill and spill prevention;21(iv)Monitoringofcathodicprotectic22(iv)Monitoringofcathodicprotectic24(v)Testingrequirementsfortanksar26pipingonevidenceofa leakar30(vi)Maintenanceofa leakdetectionsystem34is onthedepartment.Thecompliancesill makewritte36paragraph.Thesefindings aresubject tojudicialrevies38pursuant to theMaineAdministrativeProcedureAct.Title 5s38pursuant to theMaineAdministrativeProcedureAct.Title 5s38pursuant to subscitor1,paragraphA issue an orderapplicant's requestFailureti44applicant's request for coverageby the fundsubmitteautomatiadd44approving or denying theapplicant's requestfailure tiissue an orderautomati46approval of the applicant's request for c	4		(i) Section 565, subsection 1, i
(ii) Section 565, subsection 2; and 6 (f) For waste oil and heavy oil and airpoon hydrant facilities with discharges that are not contaminated with hazardous constituents 10 hydrant facilities with discharges that are not contaminated with hazardous constituents 12 compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 14 (i) Design and installation, if applicable; 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable; 18 corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection systems; 22 (iv) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vi) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make written is on the department. The commissioner shall nake written board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revise pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act. Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's reguest for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order within this period constitutes an automati fapproval of the applicant's reguest for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order sithin this period constitutes an automati fapproval of the applicant's reguest for coverage by the fund submitte p			applicable; and
8 (f) For waste oil and heavy oil and airpord hydrant facilities with discharges that are not contaminated with hazardous constituents compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 12 compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 14 (i) Design and installation, if applicable: 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable: 18 corrosion protection, if applicable: 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection systems; 22 (iv) Monitoring of a leak detection system and 30 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writte findings of fact when making a determination under thi board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revise gursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 Chapter 375, subchapter VII. 44 applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an orde approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte function of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte function of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte function of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte functio	6		
(f) For waste oil and heavy oil and airpor hydrant facilities with discharges that are no contaminated with heardous constituents 12 compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 14 (i) Design and installation, if applicable; 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection are corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection systems; 24 (v) Testing requirements for tanks are piping on evidence of a leak; 26 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vi) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writte findings of fact when making a determination under thi board. The board's decision is subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revise pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 44 applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund approval of	0		(ii) Section 565, subsection 2; and
10 hydrant facilities with discharges that are no contaminated with hazardous constituents compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 12 compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 14 (i) Design and installation, if applicable; 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable; 18 corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protectic systems; 22 (iv) Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak; 28 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writter findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to judicial reviews 38 pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 41 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund spine approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund app	0		(f) For waste oil and heavy oil and airpo
12 compliance with rules adopted by the boar regarding: 14 (i) Design and installation, if applicable; 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable; 18 corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection system; 22 (iv) Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak detection system and 30 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance of findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to judicial revies chapter 375, subchapter VII. 38 pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 46 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-\$1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 50 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed.	10		hydrant facilities with discharges that are no
14 (i) Design and installation, if applicable; 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protectic systems; 22 (iv) Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak; 23 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writte findings of fact when making a determination under thi board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revie board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revie pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte furstion or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 46 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed.	12	•	compliance with rules adopted by the boar
 16 (ii) Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 21 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection systems; 22 (iv) Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak; 28 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies chapter 375, subchapter VII. 43 44 43 44 43 56 515 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	14	•	
(ii)Retrofitting of leak detection ar corrosion protection, if applicable;20(iii)Overfill and spill prevention;22(iv)Monitoring of cathodic protection systems;24(v)Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak;28(vi)Maintenance of a leak detection system and30(vii)Reporting leaks.32The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance findings of fact when making a determination under thi board. The board's decision is subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII.40The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund48Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed.	16		(i) Design and installation, if applicable;
18 corrosion protection, if applicable; 20 (iii) Overfill and spill prevention; 22 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection systems; 24 (v) Testing requirements for tanks are piping on evidence of a leak; 28 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writtee findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revie Schapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed.	TO	1.1.1	(ii) Retrofitting of leak detection an
 (iv) Monitoring of cathodic protection systems: (v) Testing requirements for tanks are piping on evidence of a leak: (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and (vii) Maintenance of a leak detection system and (vii) Reporting leaks. The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance findings of fact when making a determination under thin paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	18		
systems: 24 (v) Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak; 28 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writte findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order 44 approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati 46 approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-\$1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed.	20		(iii) Overfill and spill prevention;
 (v) Testing requirements for tanks ar piping on evidence of a leak; (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and (vii) Reporting leaks. The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writte findings of fact when making a determination under thi board. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	22	·	(iv) Monitoring of cathodic protectic
 26 piping on evidence of a leak; 28 (vi) Maintenance of a leak detection system and 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make written findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial reviee pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submittee pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §24 and 25, is repealed. 	24		
and (vii) Reporting leaks. The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writter findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act. Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitter pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure to issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed.	26		
 30 (vii) Reporting leaks. 32 34 36 is on the department. The commissioner shall make written findings of fact when making a determination under this paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial reviee pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 40 41 42 44 45 44 46 44 47 46 48 48 50 	28		
(vii) Reporting leaks.3234343636373637373839393930303132333435363737383930303132333435363737393939393939393939393939393939303031031131131231331431431531531631731831931931031103111311131213121312131213121 <td< td=""><td>30</td><td></td><td>and</td></td<>	30		and
 The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance is on the department. The commissioner shall make writter findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitter pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	50		(vii) Reporting leaks.
 is on the department. The commissioner shall make writtee findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of th applicant's request for coverage by the fund submittee pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	32	•	
 findings of fact when making a determination under thi paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act. Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. The commissioner shall, within 30 days of receipt of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure to issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 		· · · ·	The burden of proof to show a lack of substantial compliance
 36 paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to the board. The board's decision is subject to judicial revies pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 40 42 applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	34		
 38 pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5 chapter 375, subchapter VII. 40 40 42 applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an orde approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure t issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	36		paragraph. These findings are subject to appeal to th
 40 41 42 42 42 44 44 44 44 45 46 46 47 47 48 48 48 50 50 	38		pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedure Act, Title 5
 42 applicant's request for coverage by the fund submittee pursuant to subsection 1, paragraph A issue an order 44 approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure to issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, compared to a flocted by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	40		
 44 <u>approving or denying the applicant's request. Failure to issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund</u> 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, co 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 50 	42		applicant's request for coverage by the fund submitte
 issue an order within this period constitutes an automati approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 	44		
 46 <u>approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund</u> 48 Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c 865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 50 			
865, §15 and affected by §§24 and 25, is repealed. 50	46		approval of the applicant's request for coverage by the fund
50	48	865	Sec. 5. 38 MRSA §568-A, sub-§1, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1989, c
	50	003,	

L.D.1675

The Ground Water Oil Clean-up Fund is established to be used by the department as a nonlapsing, revolving fund for carrying out the purposes of this subchapter. The fund must be limited to \$15,000,000. When the fund reaches \$15,000,000 the collection of fees, as prescribed under subsections 4 and 4-A, abates until the fund balance is reduced to \$12,500,000, at which point those fees must be reimposed until the fund reaches \$15,000,000. To this fund are credited all registration fees, fees for late payment or failure to register, penalties, transfer fees, reimbursements, assessments and other fees and charges related to this To this fund are charged any and all expenses of the subchapter. department related to this subchapter, including administrative expenses, payment of 3rd-party damages covered by this subchapter, costs of removal of discharges of oil and costs of cleanup of discharges, including, but not limited to, restoration of water supplies and any obligations of the State pursuant to Title 10, section 1024, subsection 1.

Sec. 7. 38 MRSA §569, sub-§4-A, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 865, §16 and affected by §§24 and 25, is further amended to read:

Until January 1, 1994, and after January 1, 1998, a fee Α. is assessed of 44¢ per barrel of gasoline; 25¢ per barrel of refined petroleum products and their by-products other than gasoline, liquid asphalt and #6 fuel oil, including #2 fuel oil, kerosene, jet fuel and diesel fuel; and 10¢ per barrel of #6 fuel oil. The fee is assessed on the first intrastate transfer of those products by oil terminal facility licensees, as defined in section 542, subsection 7, and on a person required to register with the commissioner under section 545-B, who first transports oil in the State. These fees must be paid monthly on the basis of records certified to the commissioner. This subsection does not apply to waste oil transported into the State in any motor vehicle that has a valid license issued by the department for the transportation of waste oil pursuant to section 1319-0 and which is subject to fees established under section 1319-I.

40

2

4

б

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

Sec. 8. 38 MRSA §569, sub-§4-C is enacted to read:

 42 <u>4-C. Reimbursement for fees imposed on intrastate</u> transfers. Any person who, prior to the effective date of this
 44 <u>section, has paid an import fee that was assessed on an</u> interstate transfer pursuant to subsection 4 or 4-A must be
 46 <u>reimbursed by the department upon presentation of documentation</u> of that payment and transfer.

STATEMENT OF FACT

This bill:

б

2

л

1. Defines environmental assessment;

8 2. Provides for a waiver or extension of the deadline for removal of tanks when the owner is unable to secure financing or
 10 the services of an underground tank installer or remover;

Requires the Department of Environmental Protection to issue a letter indicating compliance with a clean-up order once
 the cleanup has been completed;

16 4. Clarifies the standards for eligibility for the Ground Water Protection Fund;

18 20

24

5. Makes technical changes in the codification of the law;

6. Provides a deadline by which the department must render22 its determination of an applicant's eligibility for fund coverage;

7. Provides for a cap on the Ground Water Oil Clean-up Fund;

8. Clarifies that the assessment of the import fee applies only to the first intrastate and not interstate transfers of oil;
 and

30 9. Provides for reimbursement of import fees paid on interstate transfers of oil.

32