



## 115th MAINE LEGISLATURE

## FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1991

Legislative Document

No. 1508

H.P. 1035

House of Representatives, April 16, 1991

Submitted by the Department of the Attorney General pursuant to Joint Rule 24. Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk

Presented by Representative PARADIS of Augusta. Cosponsored by Senator GAUVREAU of Androscoggin.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY-ONE

An Act to Clarify the Scope of Review Obtainable by the State When the Defendant Has Appealed to the Law Court in a Criminal Case.

## Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

15 MRSA §2115-A, sub-§3, as amended by PL 1979, c. 541, Pt. B, §22, is further amended to read:

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

2

4

3. When defendant appeals. When the defendant appeals from a judgment of conviction, it is not necessary for the State to appeal. It may argue that error in the proceedings at trial in fact supports the judgment. The State may also establish that error harmful to it was committed <u>prior to trial or</u> in the trial resulting in the conviction from which the defendant has appealed, which <u>error</u> should be corrected in the event that the law court reverses on a claim of error by the defendant and remands the case for a new trial. If the case is so reversed and remanded, the law court shall also order correction of the error established by the State.

## STATEMENT OF FACT

22 The Maine Revised Statutes, Title 15, section 2115-A, subsection 3 currently speaks only in terms of errors committed "at trial" or "in the trial." The commonly recognized treatise 24 on Maine Criminal Law indicates that a court would likely find that the State is not authorized to challenge harmful error 26 committed prior to trial. Cluchey & Seitzinger, Maine Criminal 28 Practice, §37B.5. This bill clarifies that when the defendant appeals from a judgment of conviction, the State may challenge 30 any pretrial court determination that constitutes harmful error without having to cross-appeal and any error harmful to it committed during the trial itself. Since the defendant is free 32 to raise harmful pretrial error in the defendant's appeal to the 34 law court, it is appropriate to allow the State the same opportunity to challenge any harmful pretrial court orders, including those that could have been appealed by the State prior 36 to trial under Title 15, section 2115-A, subsection 1.

38