

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



115th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-1991

Legislative Document

No. 1122

H.P. 790

House of Representatives, March 13, 1991

Reference to the Committee on Human Resources suggested and ordered printed.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ed Pert".

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk

Presented by Representative MANNING of Portland.

Cosponsored by Representative GWADOSKY of Fairfield and Senator THERIAULT of Aroostook.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD
NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY-ONE

An Act to Encourage Medical Cost Containment Measures by Enabling
the Establishment of Preferred Provider Arrangements.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2
4 Sec. 1. 24-A MRSA §2673, sub-§§2 and 3, as repealed and replaced by PL 1989, c. 588, Pt. A, §49, are amended to read:

6 2. Terms governing preferred provider arrangements and
8 assuring access or availability. Policies, agreements or
10 arrangements issued under this chapter provision may not contain
12 terms or conditions that will operate unreasonably to restrict
14 the access and availability of health care services. The
16 superintendent--shall--adopt--rules--setting--forth--criteria--for
18 determining--when--a--term--or--condition--operates--unreasonably--to
20 restrict--access--and--availability--of--health--care--services.---The
22 rules--shall--include--criteria--for--evaluating--the--reasonableness--of
24 the--distance--to--be--travelled--by--insureds--or--beneficiaries--for
26 particular--services--and--may--prohibit--the--insurer--or--administrator
 from--applying--a--benefit--level--differential--to--individual--insureds
 or--beneficiaries--who--must--travel--an--unreasonable--distance--to
 obtain--the--service.---The--criteria--shall--also--include--the--effect
 of--the--arrangement--on--noninsureds--and--nonbeneficiaries--in--the
 communities--affected--by--the--arrangement,--including,--but--not
 limited--to,--the--ability--of--nonpreferred--providers--to--continue--to
 provide--health--care--services--if--all--nonemergency--services--were
 provided--by--a--preferred--provider. However, no restrictions may
 result in a required payment to a nonpreferred provider at a
 benefit level equal to that of a preferred provider, unless
 specified in this chapter.

28 A. The superintendent shall adopt rules relating to
30 capitated preferred provider arrangements, to require filing
32 of the following information:

34 (1) The name and business address used for the
36 arrangement or of any separate organization that
 administers an arrangement;

38 (2) A general statement of the health care services
40 offered, including the method of program marketing and
 the geographic area being served;

42 (3) The names and addresses of all providers
 participating in an arrangement;

44 (4) Prototype copies of any contracts used with
46 employers or other purchasers, providers and
 administrators;

48 (5) Procedures, if any, for referral of covered
50 persons to nonpreferred providers by a preferred
52 provider or by the health service organization, insurer
 or administrator and the conditions under which
 referral occurs;

2 (6) Procedures for assuring reasonable availability of
4 health care services, maintaining and monitoring
6 quality health care and for controlling cost of health
8 care services and utilization of services including an
10 explanation of the provisions holding covered persons
12 financially harmless for payment denials;

14 (7) Copies of booklet certificates or contracts to be
16 given to the covered persons;

18 (8) Rates charged to employers or other purchasers
20 relating to the preferred provider arrangement;

22 (9) A description of benefit differentials; and

24 (10) Procedures to resolve complaints and grievances
26 in a timely manner.

28 B. The superintendent shall adopt rules relating to
30 noncapitated preferred provider arrangements to require
32 filing of the following information:

34 (1) The name and business address used for the
36 arrangement or of any separate organization that
38 administers an arrangement;

40 (2) The names and addresses of all providers
42 participating in an arrangement;

44 (3) Prototype copies of any contracts used with
46 employers or other purchasers, providers and
48 administrators;

50 (4) Procedures for controlling cost of health care
 services and utilization of services;

(5) Copies of booklet certificates or contracts to be
 given to the covered persons;

(6) A description of benefit differentials; and

(7) Procedures to resolve complaints and grievances in
 a timely manner.

3. Length of contract. Contracts for preferred provider
arrangements shall must not exceed a term of 3 years. A
preferred-provider-arrangement-for-all-insureds-or-beneficiaries
of-an-insurer-must-be-awarded-on-the-basis-of-an-open-bidding
process-after-invitation-to-all-providers-of-that-service-in-the
State.---Each---preferred---provider---arrangement---affecting---all

insureds--and--beneficiaries--must--be--bid--and--contracted--for--as
separate--services.--Each--service--on--the--list--set--forth--in--section
2677--shall--constitute--a--separate--service.

Sec. 2. 24-A MRSA §2675, sub-§1-A, ¶A, as enacted by PL 1989,
c. 588, Pt. A, §51, is amended to read:

A. The superintendent shall disapprove any arrangement if
it contains any unjust, unfair or inequitable provisions or
fails to meet the standards set forth in under section 2673,
or those set forth in established by rules adopted pursuant
to section 2673. ~~The superintendent shall also adopt rules
setting forth the criteria to be used in determining what
constitutes an unjust, unfair or inequitable provision.~~

Sec. 3. 24-A MRSA §2676, as repealed and replaced by PL 1989,
c. 588, Pt. A, §53, is amended to read:

§2676. Risk sharing

Preferred provider arrangements may embody risk sharing by
providers. Capitation is one form of permitted risk sharing and
may be based upon a fixed per member per month payment or
percentage of premium payment pursuant for which the provider
assumes the full risk for the cost of contracted services without
regard to the type, value or frequency of services provided.

Sec. 4. 24-A MRSA §2678, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 1985, c.
704, §4, is amended to read:

2. Utilization experience in aggregate dollars spent for
the following categories: ~~Hospitalization~~ hospitalization;
ambulatory surgical or other outpatient services; and
professional services. ~~Utilization of professional services is to
be listed by specialty.~~

Sec. 5. 24-A MRSA §2679, sub-§1, ¶¶A and B, as enacted by PL
1987, c. 168, §3, are amended to read:

A. The number and type of evaluations performed.

(1) For the purposes of this section, the term "type
of evaluations" means the following preutilization
review categories: presurgical inpatient days; setting
of medical service, such as inpatient or outpatient
services; and the number of days of service;

~~B. The result of the evaluation, such as whether the
medical necessity of the level of service contemplated by
the patient's physician was agreed to or benefits paid for
the service were reduced by the administrator or insurer;~~

2

STATEMENT OF FACT

4

6 This bill enables the use of managed care techniques as a
8 means to contain medical costs. Specifically, the bill amends
10 current law to differentiate between types of preferred provider
12 arrangements, simple reimbursement mechanisms as contrasted to
 capitated arrangements that may be based upon a fixed per member
 per month payment structure. As proposed, capitated arrangements
 are required to file more detailed information with the Bureau of
 Insurance than is required of a simple reimbursement plan.