MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



114th MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION - 1990

Legislative Document

No. 2296

S.P. 902

In Senate, February 7, 1990

Submitted by the Department of the Attorney General pursuant to Joint Rule 24.

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator HOBBINS of York.
Cosponsored by Representative COTE of Auburn, Representative FARNSWORTH of Hallowell and Representative HASTINGS of Fryeburg.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY

An Act Addressing Consecutive Terms of Imprisonment Involving Probation.



Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §1202, sub-§4 is enacted to read:

4. Any justice, in order to comply with section 1256, subsection 8, may terminate a period of probation that would delay commencement of a consecutive unsuspended term of imprisonment. Any judge may also do so if that judge has jurisdiction over each of the sentences involved.

ļ0

Sec. 2. 17-A MRSA §1256, sub-§§8 and 9 are enacted to read:

8. No court may impose a sentence of imprisonment, not wholly suspended, to be served consecutively to any split sentence previously imposed or imposed on the same date, if the net result, even with the options made available by subsections 5 and 9 of this section and section 1202, subsection 4, would be to have the person released from physical confinement on probation on the first sentence and thereafter be required to serve an unsuspended term of imprisonment on the 2nd sentence.

9. Any justice imposing a sentence of imprisonment to be served consecutively to any other previously imposed sentence that the person has not yet commenced, in order to comply with subsection 8, may rearrange the order in which the sentences are to be served. Any judge may also do so if that judge has jurisdiction over each of the sentences involved.

STATEMENT OF FACT

This bill clarifies present law by prohibiting any court from imposing consecutive sentence combinations involving probation that would result in a person at liberty on probation having to serve a consecutive term of imprisonment. Under existing language the court has inferred the prohibition in the following cases and statutes: State v. Parks, 544 A.2d 1269, 1271-1272 (Me. 1988); State v. Whitmore, 540 A.2d 465, 467 (Me. 1988); and the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, section 1256, subsection 5. A sentence of actual incarceration with concurrent probation is not illegal and may well be appropriate to encourage participation in treatment programs, for example, or to discourage behavior problems during incarceration.

In addition, this bill expressly provides for 2 new devices that, along with current law, give the sentencing court greater flexibility to avoid the imposition of consecutive sentences involving probation that would otherwise violate the prohibition in section 2 of the bill. The 2 new devices are particularly useful in the situation when the new conviction is for criminal

conduct that did not occur during the period of probation on the previously imposed sentence.

Finally, this bill gives the sentencing court the authority to terminate the probation imposed on a previous sentence. The termination does not relieve the person of the obligation to perform or complete any aspect of the previously imposed sentence except probation. For example, a requirement to make restitution, pay a fine or pay a county jail reimbursement fee imposed as part of a sentence, exists independently of the term of probation and can be enforced independently.

12

10

2

8