



## 114th MAINE LEGISLATURE

## **SECOND REGULAR SESSION - 1990**

**Legislative Document** 

No. 1846

H.P. 1329

House of Representatives, December 8, 1989

Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 26.

Received by the Clerk of the House on December 8, 1989. Referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and 1600 ordered printed pursuant to Joint Rule 14.

2d Put

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk

Presented by Representative RICHARDS of Hampden.

Cosponsored by Representative STEVENS of Bangor, Representative TUPPER of Orrington and Senator TITCOMB of Cumberland.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINETY

An Act to Provide for Increased Penalities for Land Use Violations within Certain Resource Protection Zones.

	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
2 4	Sec. 1. 30-A MRSA §4452, sub-§3, as enacted by PL 1989, c. 104, Pt. A, §45 and Pt. C, §10, is amended to read:
6	3. Civil penalties. The following provisions apply to
8	violations of the laws and ordinances set forth in subsection 5, <u>except for paragraph Q</u> . All monetary penalties are civil
10	penalties.
12	A. The minimum penalty for starting construction or undertaking a land use activity without a required permit is \$100, and the maximum penalty is \$2,500.
14	
16	B. The minimum penalty for a specific violation is \$100, and the maximum penalty is \$2,500.
18	C. The violator may be ordered to correct or abate the violations. When the court finds that the violation was
20	willful, the violator shall be ordered to correct or abate the violation unless the abatement or correction will:
22	(1) Result in a threat or hazard to public health or
24	safety;
26	(2) Result in substantial environmental damage; or
28	(3) Result in a substantial injustice.
30	D. If the municipality is the prevailing party, it shall be awarded reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees and
32	costs, unless the court finds that special circumstances make the award of these fees and costs unjust. If the
34	defendant is the prevailing party, the defendant may be awarded reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees and
36	costs as provided by court rule.
38	E. In setting a penalty, the court shall consider, but is not limited to, the following:
40	<ol> <li>Prior violations by the same party;</li> </ol>
. 42	
44	(2) The degree of environmental damage that cannot be abated or corrected;
46	(3) The extent to which the violation continued following a municipal order to stop; and
48	(4) The extent to which the municipality contributed
50	to the violation by providing the violator with incorrect information or by failing to take timely
52	action.

· X. Ji

()

2	F. The maximum penalty may exceed \$2,500, but may not exceed \$25,000, when it is shown that there has been a
4	previous conviction of the same party within the past 2 years for a violation of the same law or ordinance.
6	years for a violation of the same fam of ordinance.
	G. The penalties for violations of waste discharge licenses
8	issued by the municipality pursuant to Title 38, section 413, subsection 8, is as prescribed in Title 38, section 349.
10	· ·
1.0	Sec.2. 30-A MRSA §4452, sub-§3-A is enacted to read:
12	3-A. Civil penalties. The following provisions apply to
14	violations of the laws and ordinances set forth in subsection 5,
7.4	paragraph Q. All monetary penalties are civil penalties.
16	paragraph of Air Monecary penarcico are crvir penarcico.
	A. The minimum penalty for starting construction or
18	undertaking a land use activity without a required permit is
	\$5,000, and the maximum penalty is \$10,000.
20	
	B. The minimum penalty for a specific violation is \$5,000,
22	and the maximum penalty is \$10,000.
24	<u>C. The violator may be ordered to correct or abate the</u>
	violations. When the court finds that the violation was
26	willful, the violator shall be ordered to correct or abate
	the violation unless the abatement or correction will:
28	
	(1) Result in a threat or hazard to public health or
30	<u>safety;</u>
32	(2) Result in substantial environmental damage; or
34	(3) Result in a substantial injustice.
36	D. If the municipality is the prevailing party, it shall be
	awarded reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees and
38	costs, unless the court finds that special circumstances
	make the award of these fees and costs unjust. If the
40	defendant is the prevailing party, the defendant may be
4.2	awarded reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees and
42	costs as provided by court rule.
44	The solution a new line the sound shall sound but 's
44	E. In setting a penalty, the court shall consider, but is
46	not limited to, the following:
ŦŪ	(1) Prior violations by the same party;
48	(1) FILOI VIOLACIONS DY CHE Same parcy;
ŦŪ	(2) The degree of environmental damage that cannot be
50	<u>abated or corrected;</u>
50	abaced of corrected

 $\zeta_{\ast}$ 

(3) The extent to which the violation continued following a municipal order to stop; and

(4) The extent to which the municipality contributed to the violation by providing the violator with incorrect information or by failing to take timely action.

F. The maximum penalty may exceed \$2,500, but may not exceed \$25,000, when it is shown that there has been a previous conviction of the same party within the past 2 years for a violation of the same law or ordinance.

G. The penalties for violations of waste discharge licenses issued by the municipality pursuant to Title 38, section 413, subsection 8, is as prescribed in Title 38, section 349.

2

4

6

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

24

## STATEMENT OF FACT

Current law provides for a fine of up to \$2,500 for land use violations within certain resource protection zones. This bill increases the maximum fine to \$10,000 for each violation.