



## 114th MAINE LEGISLATURE

## FIRST REGULAR SESSION - 1989

Legislative Document

No. 855

S.P. 318

In Senate, March 21, 1989

Reference to the Committee on Labor suggested and ordered printed.

Bren

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator GAUVREAU of Androscoggin. Cosponsored by Senator MATTHEWS of Kennebec, Representative RUHLIN of Brewer and Representative RAND of Portland.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-NINE

An Act Relating to Notice of Injury for Purposes of Workers' Compensation and Occupational Disease Claims.

1	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
3	Sec. 1. 39 MRSA §63-A is enacted to read:
5	<u>§63-A. Notice of injury for occupational injury claims</u>
7	<u>An employee or person acting on the employee's behalf shall give notice of an injury or death in respect of which</u>
9	compensation may be payable under this Act within 30 days after the date of that injury or death or 30 days after the employee or
11 13	person acting on the employee's behalf is aware or, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have been aware, of a relationship between the injury or death and the employment.
15	1. Lack of timely notice. Failure to provide notice within the 30-day period shall not be a bar to a claim for benefits
17 19	<u>under this Act, unless the lack of timely notice results in actual prejudice to the employer.</u>
21	2. Actual prejudice defined. Actual prejudice includes, but is not limited to:
23	A. A showing that the claimant's injury was aggravated by the employer's inability to provide early diagnosis and
25	treatment; and
27 29	B. A showing that the employer was hampered in investigation of the claim and preparation of a defense due to lack of timely notice.
31	3. Burden of proof. The burden of proof on notice rests
33	with the employee or person acting on the employee's behalf after the employer has made a preliminary showing that the
35	notice-of-law requirements were not complied with by the employee or a person on the employee's behalf.
37	Sec. 2. 39 MRSA §187-A is enacted to read:
39	<u>§187-A. Notice of injury for purposes of occupational disease</u> <u>claims</u>
41	An employee or person acting on the employee's behalf shall
43	give notice of an occupational disease or death in respect of which compensation is payable under this Act within 30 days after
45	the date of that incapacity or death or 30 days after the employee or person acting on the employee's behalf is aware or,
47	in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have been aware, of a relationship between the occupational disease or death and
49	the employment.

1

1

•

## Page 1-LR1865(1)

3	<b>1. Lack of timely</b> notice. Failure to provide notice within the <u>30-day period</u> shall not be a bar to a claim for benefits
	under the Act, unless the lack of timely notice results in actual
5	prejudice to the employer.
7	2. Actual prejudice defined. Actual prejudice includes, but is not limited to:
9	
	A. A showing that the claimant's incapacity was aggravated
11	<u>by the employer's inability to provide early diagnosis and treatment; and </u>
13	
	B. A showing that the employer was hampered in
15	<u>investigation of the claim and preparation of a defense due</u> to late notice.
17	to rate notice.
	3. Burden of proof. The burden of proof on notice rests
19	with the employee or person acting on the employee's behalf after the employer has made a preliminary showing that the
21	notice-of-law requirements were not complied with by the employee
23	or a person on the employee's behalf.
25	
	STATEMENT OF FACT
27	
2.0	An employee's claim for workers' compensation and
29	occupational disease benefits is subject to 2 strict procedural requirements. The employee must properly notify the employee's
	redurrements. The emproyee must properly noticly the emproyee s
31	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its
31 33	
	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years.
	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws.
33 35	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance
33	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the
33 35 37	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise
33 35	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's
33 35 37 39	<pre>employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even</pre>
33 35 37	<pre>employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in</pre>
33 35 37 39	<pre>employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in</pre>
33 35 37 39 41	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in notice. In this situation a basic injustice occurs. The purposes of the notice requirement are to allow employers an opportunity to promptly diagnose and treat worker injuries, thus
33 35 37 39 41	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in notice. In this situation a basic injustice occurs. The purposes of the notice requirement are to allow employers an opportunity to promptly diagnose and treat worker injuries, thus reducing the severity of the injury and the number of work days
<ol> <li>33</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> <li>41</li> <li>43</li> <li>45</li> </ol>	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in notice. In this situation a basic injustice occurs. The purposes of the notice requirement are to allow employers an opportunity to promptly diagnose and treat worker injuries, thus reducing the severity of the injury and the number of work days lost; allow the employer to reasonably investigate the worker's
<ul> <li>33</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> <li>41</li> <li>43</li> </ul>	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in notice. In this situation a basic injustice occurs. The purposes of the notice requirement are to allow employers an opportunity to promptly diagnose and treat worker injuries, thus reducing the severity of the injury and the number of work days lost; allow the employer to reasonably investigate the worker's claim and prepare a defense; and allow employers to institute
<ul> <li>33</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> <li>41</li> <li>43</li> <li>45</li> <li>47</li> </ul>	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in notice. In this situation a basic injustice occurs. The purposes of the notice requirement are to allow employers an opportunity to promptly diagnose and treat worker injuries, thus reducing the severity of the injury and the number of work days lost; allow the employer to reasonably investigate the worker's claim and prepare a defense; and allow employers to institute safety procedures to reduce the likelihood of future similar
<ol> <li>33</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> <li>41</li> <li>43</li> <li>45</li> </ol>	employer of the injury or disease within 30 days after its occurrence and must file a claim for benefits within 2 years. This bill pertains solely to the notice provisions of the workers' compensation and occupational disease laws. Under present law, an employee must establish compliance with the 30-day notice provision once the employer has raised the issue of notice as a defense. Occasionally, an otherwise compensable claim is denied as the result of the employee's failure to follow the strict notice requirements of the Act, even though the employer was in no way prejudiced by the delay in notice. In this situation a basic injustice occurs. The purposes of the notice requirement are to allow employers an opportunity to promptly diagnose and treat worker injuries, thus reducing the severity of the injury and the number of work days lost; allow the employer to reasonably investigate the worker's claim and prepare a defense; and allow employers to institute

 $\bigcap$ 

(

## Page 2-LR1865(1)

The purposes of the Workers' Compensation Act are not advanced by allowing an employer to insulate itself from liability on the mere technicality of late notice. In such a case the general public, rather than the employer, is required to support the injured worker through welfare benefits, even though the employer was actually responsible for the worker's injury. 1

1