# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

# (New Draft of S.P. 384, L.D. 1161) FIRST REGULAR SESSION

# ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE

Legislative Document

No. 1849

Ş.P. 628

11

12

read:

In Senate, June 12, 1987

Reported by Senator Brannigan of Cumberland for the Committee on Judiciary and printed under Joint Rule 2. Original Bill sponsored by Senator Gauvreau of Androscoggin. Cosponsored by: Representative Paradis of Augusta, Representative ellsworth, Senator Black of Cumberland.

JOY J. O'BRIEN, Secretary of the Senate

#### STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN

1 AN ACT to Clarify the Freedom of Access Law. 2 3 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as 4 follows: 5 Sec. 1. 1 MRSA §402, sub-§2, ¶C, as amended by 6 PL 1977, c. 164, §1, is further amended to read: 7 C. Any board, commission, agency or authority of 8 any county, municipality, school district or any 9 regional or other political or administrative 10 subdivision.

Sec. 2. 1 MRSA §405, sub-§6, ¶A is amended

- A. Discussion or consideration of the employment, appointment, assignment, duties, promotion, demotion, compensation, evaluation, disciplining, resignation or dismissal of an individual or group of public officials, appointees or employees of the body or agency or the investigation or hearing of charges or complaints against a person or persons subject to the following conditions:
- 9 (1) An executive session may be held only 10 if public discussion could be reasonably expected to cause damage to the reputation or 12 the individual's right to privacy would be violated;

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- (2) Any person charged or investigated shall be permitted to be present at an executive session if he so desires;
- (3) Any person charged or investigated may request in writing that the investigation or hearing of charges or complaints against him be conducted in open session. A request, if made to the agency, must be honored; and
  - (4) Any person bringing charges, complaints or allegations of misconduct against the individual under discussion shall be permitted to be present.
- This subsection paragraph does not apply to discussion of a budget or budget proposal;
- 28 Sec. 3. 1 MRSA \$405, sub-\$6, ¶C, as enacted by 29 PL 1975, c. 758, is amended to read:
- C. Discussion or consideration of the condition, acquisition or the use of real or personal property permanently attached to real property or interests therein or disposition of publicly held property or economic development only if premature disclosures of the information would prejudice the competitive or bargaining position of the body or agency;
- 38 Sec. 4. 1 MRSA §406, as repealed and replaced by 39 PL 1975, c. 758, is amended to read:

# §406. Public notice

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

Public notice shall be given for all public ceedings as defined in section 402, if these proceedings are a meeting of a body or agency consisting of 3 or more persons and-the-body-or--agency--will--deal with--the-expenditure-of-public-funds-or-taxation,-or will-adopt-policy-at-the-meeting. This notice be given in ample time to allow public attendance and shall be disseminated in a manner reasonably calculated to notify the general public in the jurisdiction served by the body or agency concerned. In the event of an emergency meeting, local representatives of the media shall be notified of the meeting, whenever practical, the notification to include time location, by the same or faster means used to notify the members of the agency conducting the public proceeding.

- 20 1. Records. If any body or agency or official, has custody or control of any public record, 21 22 shall refuse permission to so inspect or copy or 23 stract a public record, this denial shall be made by the body or agency or official in writing, 24 stating 25 reason for the denial, within  $\pm \theta$  5 working days 26 of the request for inspection by any person. Any per-27 son aggrieved by denial may appeal therefrom, within working days of the receipt of the written no-28 29 tice of denial, to any Superior Court within 30 If a court, after a trial de novo, determines such denial was not for just and proper cause, 31 32 shall enter an order for disclosure. Appeals shall 33 be privileged in respect to their assignment for tri-34 al over all other actions except writs of habeas cor-35 pus and actions brought by the State against individ-36 uals.
- 37 Sec. 6. 1 MRSA §410, as enacted by PL 1975, c. 38 758, is repealed and the following enacted in its 39 place:
- 40 §410. Violations

For every willful violation of this subchapter, the state government agency or local government entity whose officer or employee committed the violation shall be liable for a civil violation for which a forfeiture of not more than \$500 may be adjudged.

# STATEMENT OF FACT

This new draft includes boards, commissions, agencies and authorities of regional political or administrative subdivisions in the definition of "public proceedings." It also makes it clear that discussions of a budget or budget proposal may not occur in executive session.

The new draft deletes from the original bill its

proposal to repeal the requirement for an official to place in writing, within 10 days of the request, reason for denying a request to inspect a public record. The new draft does change the time require-from 10 days in which to present this written statement to 5 working days. The time period for pealing a denial to inspect, currently 10 days from receipt of the written denial, is also reduced to 5 working days.

The original bill proposed permitting the court to award attorneys fees for a prevailing party in an appeal under the freedom of access law. The new draft deletes this proposal.

The new draft makes a willful violation of the freedom of access law a civil violation for which no more than \$500 may be forfeited. The governmental entity whose office or employee committed the violation must pay the forfeiture. Current law makes such a violation a Class E crime.