MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

1	L.D. 1788
2	(Filing No. S-195)
3 4 5 6	STATE OF MAINE SENATE 113TH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION
7 8 9	SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1310, L.D. 1788, Bill, "AN ACT to Ensure Confidential and Reliable Substance Abuse Testing of Employees and Applicants."
10 11 12	Amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "§681." in subsection 1 by striking out all of paragraph A and inserting in its place the following:
13 14 15 16 17	'A. Protect the privacy rights of individual employees in the State from undue invasion by employers through the use of substance abuse tests while allowing the use of tests when the employer has a compelling reason to administer a test;
18 19 20	Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "§682." by inserting after subsection 6 the following:
21 22 23 24 25 26	'7. Safety-sensitive position. "Safety-sensitive position" means an employment position or work assignment which is designated by the Department of Labor in rules adopted under the Maine Administrative Frocedure Act, Title 5, chapter 375, to carry out the purposes of this Act. These rules shall provide for:
27 28 29 30 31	A. The designation of those employment positions which would create a substantial risk of serious bodily harm to the general public or co-workers if an employee under the influence of a substance of abuse was performing in that position.
32 33 34 35	(1) The rules shall provide for the automatic designation of the positions of nuclear power plant operator, bus driver and commercial truck driver as safety-sensitive:
36	B. A process under which an employer may peti-

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1310, L.D. 1788

T	tion the department to designate as
2	safety-sensitive a position which is not desig-
3	nated in the list of positions established under
4	paragraph A; and
5 ნ	C. A procedure for notifying the employees of
Ē	any employer who petitions the department under
7	paragraph B, and a process under which the em-
8	ployees may challenge the proposed designation of
9	any position as safety-sensitive and offer evi-
10	dence rebutting the employer's evidence support-
11	ing that designation.'
12	Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part
13	designated "§682." by renumbering the subsections to
14	
1.4	read consecutively.
15	Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part
16	designated "§683." in subsection 2 by striking out
17	all of paragraph B and inserting in its place the
18	following:
	20220111191
19	'B. When substance abuse testing may occur, in-
20	cluding:
21	(1) A description of which positions, if any, will be subject to testing, including
22	any, will be subject to testing, including
23	any positions subject to random testing un-
24	der section 684, subsection 3; and
25	(2) A procedure for selecting employees to
26	be tested on a random basis under section
27	684, subsection 3. This procedure must en-
28	sure that employees are selected on an
29	indisputably random basis;
30	Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part
31	designated "§684." by inserting after subsection 2
32	the following:
_	
33	'3. Random testing for safety-sensitive posi-
34	tions. In addition to testing employees on a proba-

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27 28 29

33

34

35 36

SENATE AMENDMENT " \hat{h} " to H.P. 1310, L.D. 1788

- 1 ble cause basis under subsection 2, an employer may 2 require, request or suggest that an employee submit 3 to a substance abuse test on a random basis if the 4 employee is working in a safety-sensitive position when the employer requires, requests or suggests that 5 the employee submit to the test. 6 7 The procedure for selecting employees in 8 safety-sensitive positions for testing shall com-9 ply with section 683, subsection 2, paragraph B, 1.0 subparagraph (2). 11 An employee who receives 2 consecutive nega-12 tive test results from tests administered under this subsection may not be tested again under this subsection unless he has previously or sub-13 14 15 sequently received a positive test result from 16 another test administered under this subchapter. 17 1.8
 - C. The limitations of this subsection do not apply to an employer who, has entered into a collective bargaining agreement with his employees in which he has bargained for provisions different than this subsection regarding random substance abuse testing of employees. Such an employer may continue to bargain with his employees for provisions different than this subsection.

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "§684." in subsection 3, in the 3rd line (page 13, line 16 in L.D.) by inserting after the following: "subsection 2" the following: 'or on a random basis under subsection 3'

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "§684." by renumbering subsections 3 and 4 to be subsections 4 and 5.

Further amend the bill in section 1 in that part designated "§688." in subsection 2, in the 3rd line (page 18, line 32 in L.D.) by striking out the following: "subsection 4" and inserting in its place the

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1310, L.D. 1788

following: 'subsection 5'

2 STATEMENT OF FACT

This amendment permits employers to implement random testing programs for employees in safety-sensitive positions. A safety-sensitive position is defined to include those positions in which an employee under the influence of a substance of abuse would create a substantial risk of serious bodily injury to the general public or his co-workers.

The selection of employees to be tested must be made on an indisputably random basis to ensure that employees will not be harassed through testing. Further protection against unjust testing is provided by preventing further random testing of any employee whose first 2 random tests receive negative results. This will prevent intrusive testing of employees whose test results have indicated that they are not substance abusers. Of course, these persons may still be tested for probable cause. If an employee has indicated that he may have a substance abuse problem by receiving a positive result on a probable cause or random test, that person may still be subject to random testing under an employer's policy even if that person previously received or subsequently receives 2 consecutive negative results on random tests.

A. of S

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1310, L.D. 1788

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	This amendment also grandfathers testing programs that already include random testing provisions that were mutually negotiated between an employer and his employees. Those employers may continue to test employees randomly as provided in their collective bargaining agreements and are free to negotiate on that subject in the future.
8	3474061287

9 (Sen. Dutremble)
10 SPONSORED BY: De Shirter

11 COUNTY: York

Reproduced and Distributed Pursuant to Senate Rule 12. 6-12-87 (Filing Number S-195)