

(New Draft of H.P. 1058, L.D. 1433) FIRST REGULAR SESSION

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE

Legislative Document

1

1 1 1 NO. 1712

H.P. 1254 Reported by Representative PRIEST from the Committee on Legal Affairs and printed under Joint Rule 2.

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk Original bill sponsored by Representative SEAVEY of Kennebunkport. Cosponsored by Representatives BEGLEY of Waldoboro, HEPBURN of Skowhegan, and CROWLEY of Stockton Springs.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN

1 2 3 4	AN ACT to Prohibit Candidates from Receiving, Witnessing or Accepting Absentee Ballots.
5 6	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
7 8	21-A MRSA §791, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 161, §6, is amended to read:
9 0 1	2. <u>Class D crime</u> . The commission of any act de- scribed as follows in this subsection is a Class D crime:
2 3 4	A. A person who forges the name of another on an absentee ballot, return envelope or the applica- tion for an absentee ballot-; or

Page 1-LR2987

1	B. Notwithstanding this subchapter, a candidate
2	who delivers, receives, accepts, notarizes or
3	witnesses an absentee ballot, other than his own
4	absentee ballot, furnished by the clerk of a mu-
5	nicipality in this State.
* * * * * *	and a second
6	STATEMENT OF FACT
7	This new draft makes it a Class D crime for any
8	candidate, whether or not appearing on that particu-
9	lar ballot, to deliver, receive, accept, notarize or
10	witness an absentee ballot, other than the ballot he
11	needs to vote if voting absentee. Candidates are not
12	prohibited from helping voters obtain applications
13	for absentee ballots.
14	This prohibition does not apply to ballots from
15	other states or countries which need to be notarized
16	for the voter, a citizen of the other state or coun-
17	try, to properly vote.
18	This new draft is intended to remove candidates
19	from the absentee voting process to avoid instances
20	when improper influence may be exerted. The applica-
21	tion procedure is not an occasion when improper in-
22	fluencing may occur, so there is no compelling reason
23	to remove candidates from this part of the overall
24	absentee voting process.
24	

Page 2-LR2987