# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

#### FIRST REGULAR SESSION

### ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE

## Legislative Document

No. 318

S.P. 119

In Senate, February 10, 1987

Reference to the Committee on Labor suggested and ordered printed.  $\label{eq:committee} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \end{subarray} % \begin{subarray$ 

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JOY}}$  J. O'BRIEN, Secretary of the Senate Presented by Senator TWITCHELL of Oxford.

#### STATE OF MAINE

# IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN

| 1<br>2<br>3          | AN ACT Relating to the Review of Benefits under the Workers' Compensation Act.   |
|----------------------|--|
| 4<br>5               | Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:  |
| 6<br>7<br>8          | Sec. 1. 39 MRSA §100, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1985, c. 372, Pt. A, §36, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:   |
| 9<br>10              | <ol><li>Standard for review. The basis for granting<br/>relief under this section is as follows.</li></ol>   |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14 | A. On a petition for review, the commissioner shall determine the appropriate relief, if any, by determining the employee's present degree of incapacity. For purposes of a petition brought |
| 15                   | under this paragraph, evidence of the employee's   |

- medical condition or the economic circumstances 1 2 at the time of an earlier determination or ap-3 proved agreement is relevant only if it tends to prove the present degree of incapacity. 4 5 B. Except as provided in paragraph C, once a party has sought and obtained a determination un-6 7 der this section, a subsequent petition for re-8 view by that party may not be considered prior to 9 6 months after that determination. 10 C. A party may, at any time, obtain a review un-11 der this section if he proves by comparative medical evidence or by evidence of a change, in eco-12 13 nomic circumstances that the employee's earning 14 capacity has changed since the most recent deter-15 mination under this section. The 6-month time limitation provided in paragraph B does not apply to petitions brought under this paragraph. 16 17 Sec. 2. 39 MRSA §100, sub-§5, as enacted by PL 18 19 1981, c. 514, §4, is repealed and the following enacted in its place: 20 21 5. Medical examination. Upon the request of the employer, the employee shall submit to examination by 22 a physician or surgeon from the geographical area 23 where the employee resides. The fee for the examina-24 25 tion shall be paid by the employer. Payment of compensation may be decreased or suspended by the com-26 missioner pending a hearing on the petition if: 27 28 The physician or surgeon certifies to the 29 commission after examination that in his opinion 30 the employee is able to resume work;
  - B. The employee refuses to submit to an examination; or
  - C. Compensation may be decreased or suspended pending a hearing under this subsection only if:
    - (1) The employer has provided the employee with written notice that benefits may be decreased or suspended, together with a summary of the reasons for the proposed decrease or suspension; and

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

| 1 | (2) The employee is provided with at least            |
|---|---|
| 2 | 20 days, after that notice, to submit to the          |
| 3 | commission any additional information which           |
| 4 | is relevant to his continued entitlement to           |
| 5 | benefits.   |
|   |   |
| 6 | STATEMENT OF FACT                                     |
|   | •   |
| 7 | The purpose of this bill is to expedite and sim-      |
| c | plify the payiou process under which a waylers! hone- |

Ö

10

11 12

13 14 plify the review process under which a workers' benefits are increased, decreased or suspended. It does this by limiting the role of comparative medical evidence, primitting changes in benefits based upon changes in economic circumstances and by streamlining the procedure for reducing or suspending benefits when the worker is able to return to work.

15 1746012787