

(EMERGENCY) FIRST REGULAR SESSION

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE

Legislative Document

1

2

3

4

NO. 299

H.P. 231 House of Representatives, February 6, 1987 Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk Presented by Representative CONNOLLY of Portland. Cosponsored by Representative CONLEY of Portland and Senator BRANNIGAN of Cumberland.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN

AN ACT Concerning Good Time and Meritorious Good Time Relative to Parole Eligibility.

5 Emergency preamble. Whereas, Acts of the Legis-6 lature do not become effective until 90 days after 7 adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and

8 Whereas, unless this bill is enacted as emergency 9 legislation, it will not take effect before many of 10 those sentenced pursuant to precode law, who would 11 benefit from it, will have served sufficient time as 12 originally calculated and thus be eligible for parole 13 even without benefit of this provision;

Page 1-LR1367

1 Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, 2 these facts create an emergency within the meaning of 3 the Constitution of Maine and require the following 4 legislation as immediately necessary for the preser-5 vation of the public peace, health and safety; now, 6 therefore,

7 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as 8 follows:

9

17-A MRSA §1254, sub-§4 is enacted to read:

4. Any prisoner convicted of an offense commit-10 ted prior to the effective date of this code and sen-11 12 tenced under the law then in effect may elect to have 13 his parole eligibility calculated using the good-time 14 deductions available to prisoners sentenced under 15 this code. The election shall result in the applica-16 tion in its entirety of the most favorable good-time law during the effective dates of each such law to 17 the parole eligibility determination of the electing 18 19 prisoner. The parole eligibility and good-time de-20 ductions of a prisoner who does not so elect shall be 21 calculated in accordance with the laws in effect on 22 the date the offense was committed. Nothing in this 23 section may be construed to compel or permit dis-24 charge of any prisoner sooner than the discharge 25 would have occurred under the law in effect on the 26 date the offense was committed.

27

28 29 Emergency clause. In view of the emergency cited in the preamble, this Act shall take effect when approved.

Page 2-LR1367

STATEMENT OF FACT

The Maine Criminal Code, the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, originally permitted precode prisoners to elect to be covered by the more generous regular and additional meritorious good-time provisions governing those convicted of code offenses. The resulting increased deductions made the precode offenders eligible for parole and for discharge sooner than would have been possible under the laws in effect on the dates of their offenses.

When the Law Court invalidated the application of increased good-time provisions to prisoners previously sentenced, <u>Bossie v. State</u>, 488 A.2d 477 (Me. 1985), the final portion of the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, section 1264, subsection 3, the code provision permitting precode prisoners to elect the more generous good-time available under the code, was repealed. The Statement of Fact in L.D. 1360, enacted as Public Law 1985, chapter 456, explained the basis of the repeal:

modifications made to both the Maine Revised The Statutes, Title 17-A, sections 1253 and 1254, result from the recent Law Court decision of Bossie v. State, No. 3730 (Me. Feb. 27, 1985). Therein the court struck down the final sentence of Title 17-A, section 1253, subsection 3, as an unconstitutional legislative encroachment on the executive's exclusive power of commutation. Although not expressly addressed by the Law Court the final sentence of Title 17-A, section 1253, subsection 3-B, and the provision found in Title 17-A, section 1254, subsection 3, suffer from the same constitutional defect.

The Law Court subsequently reaffirmed that legislation accelerating discharge constitutes a commutation as to those previously sentenced, but held that acceleration of parole eligibility is not a commutation and that the legislation is not invalid. <u>Gilbert v. State</u>, 505 A.2d 1326 (Me. 1986).

40 This bill reinstates the option available to 41 precode prisoners to elect the more generous regular

Page 3-LR1367

1

2

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1 and additional meritorious good-time deductions 2 available under the code. Consistent with the Law 3 Court decisions, the increased good-time applies only 4 to the prisoner's parole eligibility determination. 5 It allows a precode prisoner to elect the more re-6 cently enacted good-time provisions if, as currently 7 appears, they are more favorable to him than the 8 precode provision. There have been several succes-9 sive good-time provisions under the code; а prisoner's election subjects him to each of them 10 within the effective dates of each of them respec-11 12 tively.

13

1367011387

Page 4-LR1367