

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

FIRST REGULAR SESSION

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE

Legislative Document

NO. 294

H.P. 226 House of Representatives, February 6, 1987
Reference to the Committee on Business Legislation
suggested and ordered printed.

EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk
Presented by Representative KIMBALL of Buxton.
Cosponsored by Representatives HANLEY of Paris, THISTLE
of Dover-Foxcroft and CLARK of Brunswick.

STATE OF MAINE

IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD
NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN

AN ACT to Broaden Peer Review Immunity.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as
follows:

32 MRSA §3296, as enacted by PL 1975, c. 137, §2,
is amended to read:

§3296. Records of proceedings of hospital medical
staff review committees confidential

All proceedings and records of proceedings con-
cerning medical staff reviews and hospital reviews
and other reviews of medical care conducted by com-
mittees of physicians and other health care personnel
on behalf of hospitals located within the State, or
on behalf of individual physicians, when such the re-
views are required by state or federal law, rule or

1 regulations or as a condition of accreditation by the
2 Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals or the
3 American Osteopathic Association Committee on Hospi-
4 tal Accreditation or are conducted under the auspices
5 of the state or county professional society to which
6 the physician belongs are confidential and shall be
7 exempt from discovery without a showing of good
8 cause.

9 STATEMENT OF FACT

10 Effective peer review in the medical profession
11 requires absolute confidentiality. Physicians cannot
12 be expected to participate if the threat of discovery
13 and lawsuits exist. While the existing law provides
14 confidentiality for some hospital reviews, the quali-
15 fication for "good cause" leaves open the possibility
16 of discovery. In at least one Maine case, a judge
17 has allowed the results of peer review to be discov-
18 ered by a plaintiff. The actions have a severe
19 "chilling effect" on peer review. This bill will
20 broaden application of the current immunity law to
21 include reviews outside of a hospital setting and
22 will eliminate the current exemption for good cause.

23

1254012287