MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

1 2	SECOND REGULAR SESSION
3 4	ONE HUNDRED AND TWELFTH LEGISLATURE
5 6	Legislative Document No. 2233
7 8	H.P. 1588 House of Representatives, March 20, 1986 Reported by Representative Rolde from the Committee on Audit and Program Review pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes Annotated, Title 3, Chapter 23.
9 10	Reference to the Joint Standing Committee on Audit and Program Review suggested and printing ordered under Joint Rule 18.
10	EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk
11	
12 13	STATE OF MAINE
14 15 16	IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SIX
17 18 19	AN ACT to Improve Child Welfare Services in Maine.
20 21	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
22 23	<pre>Sec. 1. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§1, as enacted by PL 1979, c. 733, §18, is amended to read:</pre>
24 25 26 27 28 29	1. Abuse or neglect. "Abuse or neglect" means a threat to a child's health or welfare by physical er, mental or emotional injury or impairment, sexual abuse or exploitation, deprivation of essential needs or lack of protection from these, by a person responsible for the child.
30 31	Sec. 2. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§7-A is enacted to read:
32 33 34	7-A. Permanent plan. "Permanent plan" means a plan designed to provide long-term stability for a child, which includes, but need not be limited to:

- A. Reunification of the child with his family unless reunification has been determined to be inappropriate;
- B. Termination of parental rights for the purposes of adoption;
- 6 C. Custody to an appropriate person;
- 7 <u>D. Long-term foster care as defined in section</u> 8 4064, subsection 1;
- 9 <u>E. Continued care as provided for in section</u> 10 4061, subsection 1; and
- 11 F. Emancipation of the child, if the require-12 ments of Title 15, section 3506, are met.
- 13 Sec. 3. 22 MRSA §4002, sub-§10, ¶B, as enacted by PL 1979, c. 733, §18, is amended to read:
- B. Serious mental or emotional injury or impairment, evidenced by which now or in the future is
 likely to be evidenced by serious mental, behavioral or personality disorder, including severe
 anxiety, depression or withdrawal, untoward aggressive behavior, seriously delayed development
 or similar serious dysfunctional behavior; or
- 22 Sec. 4. 22 MRSA §4003, sub-§3, as enacted by PL 23 1979, c. 733, §18, is amended to read:
- 3. Reunification as a priority. Give family rehabilitation and reunification priority as a means
 for protecting the welfare of children, but prevent
 needless delay for permanent plans for children when
 rehabilitation and reunification is not possible; and
- 29 Sec. 5. 22 MRSA §4008, sub-§3, ¶B, as amended by 30 PL 1985, c. 495, §18, is further amended to read:
- 31 B. A court on its finding that access to those 32 records may be necessary for the determination of 33 any issue before the court or a court requesting 34 a report from the department pursuant to Title 35 19, section 533 or 751. Access to such a report 36 or record shall be limited to counsel of record

unless otherwise ordered by the court. Access to actual reports or records shall be limited to in camera inspection, unless the court determines that public disclosure of the information is necessary for the resolution of an issue pending before it:

7

8

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

- Sec. 6. 22 MRSA §4011, sub-§1, as enacted by PL
 1985, c. 495, §19, is amended to read:
- 9 Reasonable cause to suspect. When, while act-10 in his professional capacity, a medical or 11 osteopathic physician, resident, intern, emergency medical technician, medical examiner, physician's as-12 13 sistant, dentist, dental hygienist, dental assistant, 14 chiropractor, podiatrist, registered or licensed 15 practical nurse, Christian Science practitioner, teacher, guidance counselor, school official, social 16 17 worker, homemaker, home health aide, medical or so-18 cial service worker, psychologist, child care person-19 nel, mental health professional er, law enforcement official, state fire inspector, municipal code en-20 21 forcement official or municipal fire inspector knows 22 has reasonable cause to suspect that a child has 23 been or is likely to be abused or neglected, he shall 24 immediately report or cause a report to be made to 25 the department.
 - A. Whenever a person is required to report in his capacity as a member of the staff of a medical or public or private institution, agency or facility, he shall immediately notify the person in charge of the institution, agency or facility, or his designated agent, who shall then cause a report to be made. The staff may also make a report directly to the department.
 - B. Any person may make a report if that person knows or has reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been or is likely to be abused or neglected.
 - D. When, while acting in his professional capacity, any person required to report under this section knows or has reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been abused or neglected by a person not responsible for the child, he shall

- 1 immediately report or cause a report to be made 2 to the appropriate district attorney's office, 3 except as provided in subsection 1-A.
- 4 Sec. 7. 22 MRSA §4036, sub-§1, ¶G, as enacted by PL 1979, c. 733, §18, is amended to read:
- G. Payment by the parents of a reasonable amount of support for the child; er
- 8 Sec. 8. 22 MRSA §4036, sub-§1, ¶G-1 is enacted
 9 to read:
- 10 G-1. The department has no further responsibili-11 ty under section 4041 and, when the child has 12 been placed in the custody of the department, 13 shall move forward in a timely fashion to make 14 permanent plans for the child; or
- 15 Sec. 9. 22 MRSA §4036, sub-§4 is enacted to 16 read:
- 4. Disposition of child in custody of department. The court may not order that a child who has been ordered into the custody of the department be placed with a parent. Nothing in this subsection prevents the department from placing a child in its custody in the home of a parent for a trial period.
- 23 Sec. 10. 22 MRSA §4038, sub-§1, as repealed and replaced by PL 1983, c. 185, is amended to read:
- Mandated review. If a court has made a final 25 26 protection order, it shall review the case at least 27 once within 18 months of the final protection order and at least every 2 years thereafter, unless the 28 child has been emancipated or adopted. If the court has ordered custody to any person, other than a par-29 30 ent or the department, no subsequent judicial review 31 is required unless petitioned for by any party or un-32 33 less specifically ordered by the court.
- Sec. 11. 22 MRSA §4038, sub-§2, as repealed and replaced by PL 1983, c. 185, is amended to read:
- 2. <u>Review on motion</u>. The court, the child's parent er, custodian, or guardian ad litem or a party

- 1 to the proceeding, except a parent whose rights have 2 been terminated under subchapter VI, may move for ju-3 dicial review. The moving party shall have the burden 4 of going forward.
- Sec. 12. 22 MRSA §4038, sub-§4, as repealed and 6 replaced by PL 1983, c. 185, is repealed.

16

17

18 19

20 21

- Sec. 13. 22 MRSA §4038, sub-§§5, 6 and 7 are en-7 8 acted to read:
- 9 5. Hearing. The court shall hear evidence and shall consider the original reason for the adjudication and disposition under sections 4035 and 4036, 10 11 12 the events that have occurred since then and the forts of the parties as set forth under section 4041 13 and shall consider the effect of a change in custody 14 15 on the child.
 - 6. Disposition. The court may make any further order, based on a preponderance of evidence, that is authorized under section 4036. When custody of the child has been ordered to the department under a final protection order or this section, the court must make a determination within 18 months either to:
 - A. Return the child to his parent;
- 23 B. Continue reunification efforts for a specific 24 limited time not to exceed 6 months and to judi-25 cially review the matter within the time speci-26 fied; or
- 27 C. Enter an order under section 4036, subsection 28 1, paragraph G-1.
- 29 7. Order to return to parent. When the child has been placed in the custody of the department, before 30 31 the court may enter an order returning the custody of 32 the child to a parent, the parent shall show that he has carried out his responsibilities set 33 section 4041, subsection 1, paragraph B, that he is 34 35 rectifying and resolving the problems which caused the removal of the child and any subsequent problems 36 37 which would interfere with his ability to care 38 and protect his child and that he can protect the 39 child from jeopardy.

1 2	<pre>Sec. 14. 22 MRSA §4041, sub-§2, as amended by PL 1983, c. 862, §71, is further amended to read:</pre>
3 4 5 6 7	2. Determination of need to commence or discontinue rehabilitation and reunification efforts. The following provisions shall govern discontinuation of determine when rehabilitation and reunification efforts are not necessary or may be discontinued.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. The department may either decide to not commence or to discontinue rehabilitation and reunification efforts with either parent or the court may order that rehabilitation and reunification efforts need not commence or that the department has no further responsibilities for rehabilitation and reunification with either parent when:
16 17	(1) The parent is willing to consent to termination of his parental rights;
18	(2) The parent cannot be located; er
19 20	(3) The parent is unwilling or unable to rehabilitate and reunify with the child- \dot{z}
21 22 23 24 25 26	(4) The parent has acted toward a child in a manner which is heinous or abhorrent to society or has failed to protect a child in a manner which is heinous or abhorrent to society, without regard to the intent of the parent; or
27 28 29 30 31 32	(5) If the victim of any of the following crimes was a child for whom the parent was responsible or the victim was a child who was a member of a household lived in or frequented by the parent and the parent has been convicted of:
33	(a) Murder;
34	(b) Felony murder;
35	(c) Manslaughter;
36	(d) Aiding or soliciting suicide;

1	(e) Aggravated assault;
2	(f) Rape;
3	(g) Gross sexual misconduct;
4	(h) Sexual abuse of minors;
5	(i) Incest;
6	<pre>(j) Kidnapping;</pre>
7	(k) Promotion of prostitution; or
8 9	(1) A comparable crime in another jurisdiction.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	B. When the department discontinues efforts to return the child to a parent, it shall give written notice of this decision to that parent at his last known address. This notice shall include the specific reasons for the department's decision, the specific efforts the department has made in working with the parent and child and a statement of the parent's rights under section 4038. This notice requirement may be met by service of a copy of a petition to terminate parental rights under subchapter VI.
21 22 23 24 25 26	C. If the department discontinues efforts to return the child to a parent, but does not seek termination of parental rights, then subsection 1, paragraph A, subparagraph (1), division (e) and subsection 1, paragraph A, subparagraph (2), shall still apply.
27 28	Sec. 15. 22 MRSA §4055, sub-§1-A is enacted to read:
29 30 31 32 33	1-A. Rebuttable presumption. The court may presume that the parent is unwilling or unable to protect the child from jeopardy and these circumstances are unlikely to change within a time which is reasonably calculated to meet the child's needs if: A. The parent has acted toward a child in a man-
35	ner which is heinous or abhorrent to society or

1 2 3	has failed to protect a child in a manner which is heinous or abhorrent to society, without regard to the intent of the parent; or
4 5 6 7 8	B. The victim of any of the following crimes was a child for whom the parent was responsible or the victim was a child who was a member of a household lived in or frequented by the parent and the parent has been convicted of:
9	(1) Murder;
10	(2) Felony murder;
11	<pre>(3) Manslaughter;</pre>
12	(4) Aiding or soliciting suicide;
13	<pre>(5) Aggravated assault;</pre>
14	(6) Rape;
15	(7) Gross sexual misconduct;
16	(8) Sexual abuse of minors;
17	(9) Incest;
18	(10) Kidnapping;
19	(11) Promotion of prostitution; or
20 21	(12) A comparable crime in another juris-diction.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Sec. 16. Legislative intent. It is the intent of the Legislature that the court shall determine what circumstance constitutes a heinous or abhorrent parental act or failure to act. The Legislature intends the court to use its best judgment in making this determination according to generally accepted standards and mores of performance, behavior and responsibility in this culture; particularly in regard to the per-

32 33 formance, behavior and responsibility of parents toward their children. The Legislature does not in-

tent the court to base its judgment in any way on the intent of the parent. The Legislature finds that a

1 parental action or failure to act can be considered 2 heinous or abhorrent to society without 3 malevolent, evil, wicked or abominable intentions; parental acts or failure to act can be judged as hei-4 5 nous or abhorrent without regard to conscious 6 unconscious parental intent. Finally, the Legislature 7 finds that when a parent has acted toward a child or failed to protect a child in a manner which is so un-8 9 acceptable as to be heinous or abhorrent, the court 10 may determine that the act is sufficient to establish 11 parental unfitness.

12 STATEMENT OF FACT

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

Section 1 of this bill declares that emotional injury or impairment is a form of abuse or neglect that threatens a child's health or welfare.

Section 2 defines the options available to the court and to the department when choosing a permanent plan for a child to provide long-term stability; "termination of parental rights for the purposes of adoption" is only one of 6 possibilities for a permanent plan.

Section 3 indicates that serious harm includes emotional injury or impairment and provides circumstances by which emotional injury or impairment is likely to be evidenced currently or in the future.

Section 4 declares the Legislature's intent that the court should continue to place priority on family rehabilitation and reunification as one means of protecting the welfare of children, but clearly states that in cases when rehabilitation and reunification are not possible, as determined by the court, any delay in establishing a permanent plan for the child is needless and should be prevented.

Section 5 clarifies that the current limitations regarding disclosure and access of relevant departmental information to the courts applies equally to both departmental records and reports.

Section 6 adds state fire inspector, municipal code enforcement official and municipal fire inspector to the list of professions mandated to report child abuse and neglect or suspected child abuse and neglect.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

43

44

41 · 42 Sections 7 and 8 provides another dispositional alternative to the court whereby the court may declare that the department has no further responsibility to conduct rehabilitation and reunification efforts. For children who have been placed in the custody of the department, the court may declare that the department shall move forward to make a permanent plan for the child in a timely fashion, rather than wait for the outcome of rehabilitation and reunification efforts.

Section 9 declares that the court may not order a child into the department's custody yet place the child with his parents. Such a dispositional alternative creates serious difficulties and confusion for both the custodial department and the sheltering parents in regard to parenting the child. The provision maintains that the department may continue its practice of placing a child in its custody in the home of a parent for trial periods.

Section 10 declares that judicial reviews will not be necessary every 2 years if a child is ordered into the custody of a person who is neither the parent nor the department unless someone specifically requests that a review be done. There are 3 benefits to this change. First, friends or relatives in whose custody a child could be placed are often capable of raising the child without periodic intervention by the department and would resent periodic and unnecessary intervention. This change allows these capable custodial parents to raise children without forced department. involvement with the Second, the resources required to keep track of the custodial parents, the biological parents, the child and any collaterals would be substantial. This change allows the department to focus its limited resources on clients who are in real need of their services. the law now requires that a judge conduct a judicial review according to the 4 principles in the law governing disposition. These principles require the judge to give custody to a parent if appropriate conditions are applied. A child placed in custody with a capable friend or relative would be constantly threatened with return to the biological parents if periodic judicial reviews were required, since the judge would have no choice but to return the child if appropriate conditions were met, no matter how long the child had been with his new custodians or how well the custodial arrangement was working.

A judicial review may be requested at any time by any party, including the department, the custodial parents, the biological parents or an interested person.

Section 11 authorizes the child's guardian ad litem to move for judicial review and specifies that the moving party has the burden of going forward with the evidence which means that that party presents the evidence first in time.

Sections 12 and 13 accomplish a number of objectives at the time of the judicial review. First, the court is compelled to hear all evidence available regarding the adjudication and disposition of a child. Second, the court is compelled to consider the reason for the original finding of jeopardy and selection of disposition. Third, the court is required to consider, as part of its review, the events that have occurred since the original adjudication and disposition and the efforts of all the parties involved, including the department, to rehabilitate and reunify. In summary, these provisions will direct the court to consider the history of the case, thereby discovering patterns of behavior. Even though these patterns can have a significant impact on the future welfare the child, they need not now be considered by the court during the judicial review.

Section 13 also requires the court to choose one of 3 dispositional options within 18 months of ordering a child into the custody of the department; either the child will be returned to his parents, reunification efforts will be continued for a specific limited time not to exceed 6 months or the court will declare that no further rehabilitation or reunification efforts will be necessary and a permanent plan will be established for the child.

The intent of this change is to prevent the child from lingering in foster care over a long and indeterminate period of time. Ideally, the court should decide at the 18-month judicial review whether the child should go home, continue in foster care with rehabilitation and reunification services provided for a limited additional time to be followed by another judicial review or end rehabilitation and reunification services and develop some other permanent plan for the child.

Section 13 places the burden of proof on the parents to show, prior to the court entering an order returning the custody of a child who had been placed in the custody of the department to the parents, that the parents have accomplished 3 things. First, the parents have carried out their responsibilities specified in the rehabilitation and reunification plan. Second, the parents have demonstrated that the problems which caused the removal of the child as well as any subsequent problems which would interfere with their ability to care for and protect the child have been rectified and resolved. Third, the parents can protect the child from jeopardy.

Section 14 clarifies that the department may either decide not to commence or to discontinue rehabilitation or reunification efforts if the circumstances listed are present. It also clarifies that the court may also order that rehabilitation or reunification efforts need not commence or that the department has no further responsibilities for rehabilitation and reunification with either parent under the circumstances listed.

Section 14 also adds 2 additional circumstances for which the department may either decide not to commence or to discontinue rehabilitation or reunification efforts with either parent or for which the court may order that rehabilitation and reunification efforts need not commence or that the department has no further responsibilities for rehabilitation and reunification with either parent.

Section 15 provides 2 circumstances in which the court may make a rebuttable presumption that the parent is unwilling or unable to protect the child from

jeopardy and these circumstances are unlikely to change within a time which is reasonably calculated to meet the child's needs. If the court makes this presumption, it may order termination of parental rights based upon the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, section 4055, subsection 1, paragraph B, subparagraph (2).

1 2

Section 16 clarifies Legislative intent for future reference regarding the phrase "heinous or abhorrent to society" found in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, sections 4041 and 4055.