

L.D. 2107

(Filing No. S-393

3 STATE OF MAINE 4 SENATE 5 112TH LEGISLATURE 6 SECOND REGULAR SESSION 7 SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1495, L.D. 2107, Bill, "AN ACT to Clarify the Application of Water 8 9 Quality Standards to Hydroelectric Projects." 10 Amend the bill by striking out all of section 2 11 and inserting in its place the following: 12 'Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §634, sub-§1, as enacted by PL 13 1983, c. 458, §18, is amended to read: 14 1. Coordinated permit review. Permits required under the following laws shall not be required by any 15 16 state agency for projects reviewed or exempted from 17 review under this subarticle: Land use regulation 18 laws, Title 12, sections 681 to 689; stream alteration laws, Title 12, sections 7776 to 7780; great 19 20 ponds laws, sections 391 to 394; alteration of coast-21 al wetlands laws, sections 471 to 478; and site location of development laws, sections 481 to 490. Not-withstanding section 654, the board may attach rea-22 23 24 sonable conditions consistent with this Act concern-25 ing the operation of hydropower projects. The board 26 shall give written notice to the Commissioner of In-27 land Fisheries and Wildlife and the Commissioner of 28 Marine Resources of the intent of any applicant for a 29 permit to construct a dam. 30 Issuance of a water quality certificate required un-31 der the United States Water Pollution Control Act, 32 Section 401, shall be coordinated for the applicant under this subarticle by the Department of Environ-33 mental Protection. The issuance of a water quality 34 35 certificate shall be mandatory in every case where 36 the board approves an application under this 37 subarticle. The commissioner or the director shall 38 issue this certificate within 5 working days of the 39 applicant's request or the issuance of a permit,

D.OFR.

1 2



SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1495, L.D. 2107

1 which certificate shall state that there is a reason-2 able assurance that the project will not violate the applicable water quality standards, unless the board 3 has found under section 636, subsection 7, that the 4 5 project will result in significant harm to water quality or that any discharge from the project will 6 7 violate applicable water quality standards. The coor-8 dination function of the department with respect to 9 water quality certification shall not include any 10 proceedings or substantive criteria in addition to those otherwise required by this subarticle. If the 11 12 commissioner or director fails to issue the certificate, the federal certification requirements of the United States Water Pollution Control Act, Section 13 14 15 401, shall be waived.

•~~

16 Sec. 3. 38 MRSA §636, sub-§7, ¶¶E and F, as enacted by PL 1983, c. 458, §18, are amended to read:

- 18 E. Whether the project will result in signifi-19 cant flood control benefits or flood hazards; and
- F. Whether the project will result in signifi cant hydroelectric energy benefits, including the
 increase in generating capacity and annual energy
 output resulting from the project, and the amount
 of nonrenewable fuels it would replace- ; and

25 Sec. 4. 38 MRSA §636, sub-§7, ¶G is enacted to 26 read:

For an application filed after the effective 27 28 date of this paragraph, whether there is reason-29 able assurance that the project will not violate applicable state water quality standards, as re-30 quired for water quality certification under 31 the 32 United States Water Pollution Control Act, Section 401. 33

34 Further amend the bill by renumbering the sec-35 tions to read consecutively.

2-

D. OF R.

1

SENATE AMENDMENT "A " to H.P. 1495, L.D. 2107

STATEMENT OF FACT

2 purpose of this amendment is to reorganize The 3 and clarify the language of the bill. The amendment 4 also expressly conditions the commissioner's or di-5 rector's issuance of a water quality certificate on 6 the board's prior actions in issuing a hydroelectric 7 power permit. The commissioner or director must is-8 sue the certificate unless the board has made an ex-9 press finding that applicable water quality standards 10 cannot be met by a project.

11 The amendment also makes clear, as was originally 12 intended under the Maine Waterway Development and 13 Conservation Act, that the board responsible for is-14 suing permits must consider whether the project meets 15 the applicable water quality requirements. Though water quality standards have been considered in review-16 17 permit applications to date, it has apparently ing 18 not been clear to the boards responsible for issuing 19 permits that express written findings relating to those standards were also to be made. 20

21 Thus, this amendment requires that for future 22 projects, the board responsible for issuing permits, 23 during the process of considering issuance of a hy-24 droelectric power permit, not only consider but make 25 express written findings on whether a project will 26 violate applicable water quality standards. If that 27 board finds that the project will violate those stan-28 dards, the commissioner or director is prohibited 29 from issuing the water quality certificate.

0.0FR.

8

SENATE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 1495, L.D. 2107

1 Because a board responsible for issuing hydro-2 electric power permits has considered applicable wa-3 ter quality standards in reviewing previous applica-4 tions, the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 38, section 5 636, subsection 7, paragraph G, as proposed in this 6 amendment, shall not be included in the application 7 of the retroactivity section of the original bill.

6726030786

• -

il Bustin 9 (Sen. Bustin) 10 SPONSORED BY:

11 COUNTY: Kennebec

Reproduced and Distributed Pursuant to Senate Rule 12. (Date 3/7/86) (Filing No. S-393)