

1 2	SECOND REGULAR SESSION
3 4	ONE HUNDRED AND TWELFTH LEGISLATURE
5 6	Legislative Document No. 2074
7 8	H.P. 1471 House of Representatives, February 26, 1986 Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 26.
9 10	Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed. EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk
10	Presented by Representative Paradis of Augusta. Cosponsored by Representative Jacques of Waterville, Senator Carpenter of Aroostook and Representative Drinkwater of Belfast.
12 <b>13</b>	STATE OF MAINE
14 15 16	IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SIX
17 18 19	AN ACT to Reform High-speed Pursuit Policies for Law Enforcement Personnel.
20 21	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:
22 23	<pre>Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §34, sub-§6 is enacted to read:</pre>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	6. In any prosecution of a police officer for any offense under this Title or Title 29, in deter- mining whether such a police officer acted recklessly or with criminal negligence during a high-speed auto- mobile pursuit involving a violation of Title 29, section 2501-A, subsection 3, the finder of fact shall consider the officer's degree of compliance with any high-speed pursuit policy adopted by his de- partment or enacted by law.
33	Sec. 2. 17-A MRSA §63 is enacted to read:
34 35	§63. Criminal liablity of police officers engaged in high-speed pursuit

1 1. No police officer may be held criminally liable under this Title, Title 29 or any other criminal 2 3 law for any action taken by him in the performance of 4 his official duties while engaged in a high-speed 5 pursuit of a motor vehicle that is in violation of Title 29, section 2501-A, subsection 3, if that po-6 7 lice officer has acted in full compliance with the 8 high-speed pursuit policy adopted by his department 9 or enacted by law. 10 Sec. 3. 17-A MRSA §203, sub-§3, as amended by PL 11 1983, c. 217, is further amended to read: 12 3. Manslaughter is a Class B crime if it occurs 13 as the result of the reckless or criminally negligent operation of a motor vehicle and if the defendant was 14 15 not eluding or attempting to elude a police officer at the time the manslaughter occurred; otherwise, 16 manslaughter is a Class A crime. If manslaughter oc-17 18 curs as the result of reckless or criminally negli-19 gent operation of a motor vehicle and the defendant 20 was eluding or attempting to elude a police officer 21 as defined by Title 29, section 2501-A, subsection 3, at the time the manslaughter occurred, the manslaugh-22 23 ter is a Class A crime. 24 Sec. 4. 29 MRSA §2462 is enacted to read: 25 §2462. Forfeiture of motor vehicles used to elude or 26 attempting to elude police officers 27 1. Motor vehicles forfeited. Any motor vehicle subject to forfeiture to the State and all property 28 29 rights in the vehicle shall be in the State when the 30 vehicle is used by any operator to elude or attempt to elude any police officer as defined by section 31 32 2501-A, subsection 3. 33 Exceptions. Exceptions to forfeiture are ac-34 cording to this subsection. 35 A. No motor vehicle may be forfeited under subsection 1 when the operator is someone other than 36 the registered owner, unless the owner has previ-37 38 ously received written notice by certified mail 39 from any law enforcement agency that the motor vehicle or any other motor vehicle owned by the 40

Page 2-L.D. 2074

registered owner has been involved in an incident
 of eluding or attempting to elude a police offi cer within a period of the previous 3 years.

B. No motor vehicle may be forfeited by reason 4 5 of any act or omission established by the owner 6 of the motor vehicle to have been committed or 7 omitted by any person other than the owner while 8 the motor vehicle was unlawfully in the posses-9 sion of a person other than the owner in violation of the criminal laws of the United States, 10 11 this State or of any state.

12 3. Prima facie evidence of operation. For the 13 purposes of any forfeiture proceeding under this sec-14 tion, it is considered prima facie evidence that the registered owner was in fact the operator of the mo-15 tor vehicle at the time any violation of Title 29, section 2501-A, subsection 3, occurred, when the 16 17 18 State proves the identity of the motor vehicle and its registered owner by means of the motor vehicle registration records of the Secretary of State. 19 20

21 4. Petition order. A district attorney or the 22 Attorney General may petition the Superior Court in the name of the State in the nature of a proceeding 23 in rem to order forfeiture under subsection 1. The 24 25 petition shall be filed in the court having jurisdiction over the property. The proceeding shall be 26 27 deemed a civil suit in which the State shall have the 28 burden of proving all material facts by a preponder-29 ance of the evidence and the owner of the property or 30 other person having a claim to the property shall 31 have such burden as to all exceptions set forth in subsection 2. The court shall order the State to 32 33 give notice by certified or registered mail or hand 34 delivered by a deputy sheriff to the owner of the property and to such other person as appears to have 35 an interest in the property and shall promptly, but 36 37 not less than 2 weeks after notice, hold a hearing on the petition. At the hearing, the court shall hear evidence and make findings of fact and enter conclu-38 39 40 sions of law and shall, thereupon, issue a final order from which the parties have the right of appeal. 41 42 The final order provides for disposition of the prop-43 erty by the State or any subdivision of the State in 44 any manner not prohibited by law, including official

1 use by an authorized law enforcement or other public 2 agency, or sale at public auction or by competitive 3 bidding. The proceeds of any such sale shall be used 4 to pay the reasonable expenses of the forfeiture pro-5 ceedings, seizure, storage, maintenance of custody, 6 advertising and notice and to pay a bonafide mortgage 7 on the property and the balance, if any, shall be de-8 posited in the State Treasury, county or municipality 9 making the seizure.

- 10 5. Records. Any officer, department or agency 11 having custody of a motor vehicle subject to forfei-12 ture under subsection 1 or having disposed of the mo-13 tor vehicle shall keep and maintain full and complete 14 records showing from whom it received the motor vehi-15 cle, to whom it delivered the motor vehicle and the 16 date and manner of destruction or disposition of the 17 motor vehicle. Persons making final disposition or 18 destruction of the motor vehicles under court order 19 shall report under oath to the court the exact circumstances of the disposition or destruction. 20
- 21 The Department of Public Safety shall be responsible for maintaining a centralized record of motor vehi-22 23 cles seized, held by and ordered to the department. A report of the disposition of motor vehicles previous-24 25 ly held by the department and ordered by the court to 26 any governmental agency shall be provided at least quarterly to the Commissioner of Finance and Adminis-tration and the Office of Fiscal and Program Review, 27 28 29 for review. These records shall include an estimate 30 as to the fair market value of the motor vehicle 31 seized.
- 32 6. Preliminary order. The court may issue, at 33 the request of the state ex parte, any preliminary 34 order or process as is necessary to seize or secure the motor vehicle for which forfeiture is sought and 35 36 to provide for its custody. Process for seizure of a 37 motor vehicle shall issue only upon a showing of probable cause and the application for process and 38 39 the issuance, execution and return of process shall 40 be subject to the provisions of applicable state law. 41 Any property subject to forfeiture under this section 42 may be seized upon process, except that seizure with-43 out process may be made when:

1A. The seizure is incident to an arrest with2probable cause or a search under a valid search3warrant or an inspection under a valid adminis-4trator's inspection warrant;

5 B. The property subject to seizure has been the 6 subject of a prior judgment in favor of the State 7 in a forfeiture proceeding under this section; or

8 C. There is a probable cause to believe that the
 9 property is directly or indirectly dangerous to
 10 health or safety.

 Sec. 5.
 29 MRSA §2501-A, sub-§3, as amended by

 12
 PL 1983, c. 181, §1, is further amended to read:

Eluding an officer. Whoever, after being re-13 3. quested or signaled to stop, attempts to elude a 14 law enforcement officer by driving a vehicle at a reck-less rate of speed which results in a high-speed 15 16 17 chase between the operator's vehicle and any law en-18 forcement vehicle using a blue light or siren is guilty of a Class B C crime. If any person suffers 19 any serious bodily injury, as defined in Title 17-A, section 2, subsection 23, as a result of the operator's attempt to elude a law enforcement officer 20 21 22 23 as described in this section, that operator commits a 24 Class ∈ B crime.

25 Sec. 6. Establishment of statewide high-speed 26 pursuit policy. The Legislature specifically finds 27 that, while the public needs protection from the dangers of high-speed motor vehicle chases by law 28 en-29 forcement officials, the public interest also dictates the need for suspects fleeing by motor vehicle 30 to be apprehended. Therefore, the Commissioner of Public Safety in consultation with the Maine Chiefs 31 32 33 Police Association, the Maine Sheriff's Associaof 34 tion and the Chief of Wardens of the Bureau of Warden Service and the Department of Marine Resources shall 35 36 develop a uniform written high-speed pursuit policy 37 for use by all law enforcement agencies in the State.

38 The commissioner shall submit a written report 39 containing his recommendations, including any neces-40 sary implementing legislation for such a policy, to 41 the 113th Legislature, within 9 months after the ef-42 fective date of this Act.

## STATEMENT OF FACT

This bill proposes to deal with the dual problems of the dangers of high-speed motor vehicle chases by police officers and the need to ensure that motor vehicle operators obey the law and stop promptly when signaled to do so by police officers investigating suspected traffic violations or criminal offenses.

8 The bill seeks to deter would-be violators from 9 trying to elude the police by stiffening the penal-10 ties for eluding or attempting to elude police offi-11 cers and by providing for the possible forfeiture to 12 the State of motor vehicles.

13 The bill also seeks to establish a statewide, 14 uniform high-speed pursuit policy that all police of-15 ficers would be required to follow or run the risk of 16 potential criminal liability for injuries or damages 17 caused by their actions that did not conform to the 18 uniform policy.

19 Sections 1 and 2 of the bill are designed to en-20 sure that once a uniform high-speed pursuit policy is adopted by a department by statutory enactment of the 21 22 Legislature, police officers will follow it. If a 23 police officer followed such a policy to the letter. 24 he could not be held criminally liable for any of his 25 acts that resulted in damage, injury or official Also, if the police officer were charged with 26 death. 27 a criminal offense arising out of a high-speed chase 28 alleged that the officer where it was acted recklessly or with criminal negligence, a fact finder 29 30 would have to consider whether the police officer had 31 followed the uniform high-speed pursuit policy in its 32 consideration of whether the officer was reckless or 33 criminally negligent. Officers would thus be encour-34 aged to strictly adhere to the uniform high-speed 35 pursuit policy since adherence would protect them 36 from criminal liability.

37 Specifically in section 3, the bill amends the 38 manslaughter law, the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 39 17-A, section 203, subsection 3, to make vehicular 40 manslaughter that occurred as the result of a defend-41 ant attempting to elude police officers a Class A

1

crime rather than a Class B crime as it currently is. The purpose of this section is to protect the public by detering those who might run from the police by providing that any homicide resulting from reckless or criminally negligent acts during such a chase be punished by the highest class of crime punishable by up to 20 years in prison.

8 Section 4 also outlines the procedures to be used 9 in commencing and prosecuting such a forfeiture ac-10 tion. These provisions are virtually identical to 11 those of the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, section 2387, which provides for the forfeiture of motor 12 13 vehicles and other property that is used in connection with illegal drug trafficking. This section en-14 15 sures procedural due process and also provides pro-16 tection to lienholders by providing that outstanding mortgage against the forfeited vehicle must be paid 17 off from the proceeds of sales of the vehicles after 18 19 forfeiture to the State.

20 Section 4 of the bill creates a new section of the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 29, and provides 21 22 for the possible forfeiture to the State of any motor 23 vehicle used in committing a violation of the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 29, section 2501-A, subsec-24 25 tion 3, eluding a police officer. The purpose of 26 this section is to provide some recourse to the State action against those people who refuse to 27 take to 28 stop for the police and who in fact manage to elude 29 the police by high speed or because the police in the 30 interests of public safety decide to break off a pur-31 suit. If the police are to be required to break off 32 pursuits in the interest of public safety, there must 33 be some disincentive for people to try to escape. 34 forfeiture provision would provide a significant The 35 economic disincentive to those who would contemplate 36 high-speed operation of their motor vehicle to escape 37 the police.

Any motor vehicle used to elude a police officer would be subject to forfeiture with some significant exceptions. First, if the operator was someone other than the registered owner, the motor vehicle could not be forfeited unless the owner's car had previously been involved in the same kind of activity and the owner had been given a written warning that his motor vehicle had been used for eluding the police. The purpose of this provision would be to put parents of operators or friends of operators on notice not to allow the use of a motor vehicle owned by them by a person who would seek to elude the police.

6 The 2nd exception would be that no vehicle could 7 be forfeited if the person in possession of the vehi-8 cle at the time the eluding occurred was illegally in 9 possession of that vehicle, thus protecting any inno-10 cent owner whose car was taken without permission.

11 Section 4 also provides that if the State could 12 prove the identity of the car and the owner by the 13 registration records of the Secretary of State, the 14 owner would be presumed to be the operator for the 15 purpose of the forfeiture proceeding. Thus, the car 16 could be forfeited upon evidence of who the regis-17 owner was, unless the owner was able to prove tered 18 that someone else had in fact been operating the ve-19 importance of this section is that it hicle. The 20 would eliminate one of the most important reasons the 21 police officers are forced to chase and finally ap-22 prehend high-speed eluders. Currently, no action may 23 taken at all unless the police are able to be positively identify the operator and as 24 a practical matter identification of the operator is usually im-25 26 possible unless the vehicle is actually stopped and 27 the operator apprehended. This presumption of opera-28 tion would be a means of taking action against those 29 who elude the police without requiring the completion 30 of a pursuit.

31 Section 5 increases the penalty for eluding or 32 attempting to elude police officers. Any violation 33 of the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 29, section 34 2501-A, subsection 3, would become a Class C crime 35 and if any serious bodily injury to any person re-36 sulted from such an offense the crime would be ele-37 vated to a Class B crime.

Finally, section 6 of the bill requires the Commissioner of Public Safety, in consultation with all of the other law enforcement agencies in the State to study the issue of high-speed pursuits and to make a recommendation to the Legislature within a specific time period, of what the uniform statewide high-speed pursuit policy should be in the State.

8

4782020486