MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

1 2	(EMERGENCY) (After Deadline)
3 4	FIRST REGULAR SESSION
- 5 6	ONE HUNDRED AND ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE
7 8	Legislative Document No. 1756
9	H.P. 1321 House of Representatives, June 10, 1983
10 11	Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 27.
12	Under suspension of the rules, read twice and passed to be engrossed without reference to committee, sent up for concurrence.
13	EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk Presented by Representative Carroll of Gray Cosponsors: Senator Bustin of Kennebec, Senator Gill of Cumberland and Representative Nelson of Portland.
14 15	STATE OF MAINE
16 17 18	IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-THREE
19 20	AN ACT Relating to Involuntary Admission.
21 22 23	Emergency preamble. Whereas, Acts of the Legislature do not become effective until 90 days after adjournment unless enacted as emergencies; and
24 25 26 27	Whereas, under current law, the procedure for involuntary admission of a mentally retarded person requires that he present a likelihood of serious harm due to mental retardation; and
28 29 30 31 32	Whereas, psychological examiners have not been able to make a causal link between a person's mental retardation and his likelihood of serious harm, even though they find that the person is mentally retarded and presents a likelihood of serious harm; and
33 34	Whereas, the criminal justice system is often inadequate to handle the dangerous mentally retarded

person because he is often incompetent to stand
trial; and

Whereas, in several recent cases, mentally retarded persons presenting a likelihood of serious harm have been released into Maine's communities because neither the mental retardation laws nor the criminal laws provide alternatives to protect the safety of Maine's citizens; and

Whereas, in the judgment of the Legislature, these facts create an emergency within the meaning of the Constitution of Maine and require the following legislation as immediately necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety; now, therefore.

- Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

§2665. Involuntary admission

 Any client recommended for regular admission to a facility pursuant to section 2655 may be admitted as an involuntary patient client. The procedure for involuntary admission to a mental retardation facility for care, training and treatment shall follow those procedures set forth in section 2334 for the involuntary commitment of mentally ill individuals, except that, where a finding of mental illness is required, a finding of mental retardation, as defined by section 2602, shall be substituted. The judicial procedure for involuntary admission is as follows.

1. Application to District Court. If the head of the facility determines that the admission of the client as an informally admitted resident is not suitable, or if the client declines admission as an informally admitted resident, the head of the facility may apply to the District Court having territorial jurisdiction where the facility is located for the issuance of an order for involuntary admission. The head of the facility shall file any such applica-

tion in the District Court within 5 days from admis-1 sion of the client under this section, excluding in 2 the computation of that time the date of admission and any Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday. An appli-3 4 cation to the District Court filed pursuant to this 5 6 section shall be accompanied by a copy of: 7 A. A written application, which shall be made subject to the prohibitions and penalties of 8 g section 2259, may be made by any health officer, police officer or any other person who states: 10 11 (1) His belief that a person is a mentally 12 retarded individual and poses a likelihood of serious harm, defined as follows: 13 (a) A substantial risk of physical harm to the person himself as mani-14 15 16 fested by evidence of recent threats of, or attempts of, suicide or serious 17 bodily harm to himself, and after con-18 19 sideration of less restrictive treatment settings and modalities, a deter-20 mination that community resources for 21 22 his care and treatment are unavailable; (b) A substantial risk of physical 23 24 harm to other persons as manifested by 25 recent evidence of violent behavior or 26 recent evidence that others are placed 27 in reasonable fear of serious physical or emotional harm to them and, after 28 29 consideration of less restrictive 30 treatment settings and modalities, a determination that community resources 31 for his care and treatment are unavail-32 33 able; or 34 (c) A reasonable certainty that severe 35 physical or mental impairment or injury will result to the mentally retarded 36 person as manifested by recent evidence 37 of his actions or behavior which demon-38

39 40

41 42 strates his inability to avoid or pro-

tect himself from that impairment or injury and, after consideration of less

restrictive treatment settings and

1	modalities, a determination that suit-
2	able community resources for his care
3	are unavailable; and
4	(2) The grounds for this belief;
5	B. The written application shall be accompanied
6	by a dated certificate, signed by a licensed
7	physician or a licensed clinical psychologist,
8	stating that:
9	(1) He has examined the person on the date
10	of the certificate; and
10	or the certificate, and
11	(2) He is of the opinion that the person is
12	a mentally retarded individual and poses a
13	
	likelihood of serious harm as defined in
14	this section. The date of the examination
15	shall not be more than 3 days prior to the
16	date of admission to the facility; and
1.0	or mi ' i'. i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
17	C. The written application shall be accompanied
18	by a certificate of the facility's examining
19	physician or psychologist stating that:
20	(1) He has examined the person; and
21	(2) It is his opinion that the person is a
22	mentally retarded individual and poses a
23	likelihood of serious harm as defined in
24	this section.
0.5	
25	The examiner may not be the certifying examiner
26	under paragraph B. If the examination is not
27	held within 24 hours after the time of admission,
28	or if the facility's physician or clinical psy-
29	chologist fails or refuses after the examination
30	to certify that in his opinion the person is a
31	mentally retarded individual and poses a likeli-
32	hood of serious harm as defined in paragraph A,
33	subparagraph 1, the person shall be immediately
34	discharged.

2. Notice of receipt of application. Upon receipt by the District Court of the application and the accompanying documents specified in subsection 1, the court shall cause written notice of the application:

35 36

37

- A. To be given personally or by mail to the client within a reasonable time prior to hearing, but not less than 3 days prior to hearing; and
- B. To be mailed to the client's guardian, if known, and to his spouse, parent or one of his adult children or, if none of these persons exist or if their whereabouts are unknown, to one of his next of kin or an advocate. A docket entry is evidence that the notice has been given.
- 3. Examination. The District Court shall order examinations as follows.

- A. Upon receipt by the District Court of the application and the accompanying documents specified in subsection 1, the court shall forthwith cause the client to be examined by 2 examiners, each of whom shall be either a licensed physician or a licensed clinical psychologist and one of whom, if reasonably available, shall be chosen by the client or by his counsel. Neither examiner appointed by the court shall be the certifying examiner under subsection 1, paragraph B or C.
- B. The examination shall be held at the facility or any other suitable place not likely to have a harmful effect on the well-being of the client.
 - C. If the report of the examiner is to the effect that the client is not mentally retarded or does not pose a likelihood of serious harm as defined in this section, the client shall be ordered discharged forthwith. Otherwise, the hearing shall be held on the date or the continued date which the court has set for hearing.
- 32 <u>4. Hearing. The District Court shall hold a</u> 33 hearing as follows.
- A. The District Court shall hold a hearing on the application not later than 15 days from the date of the application. On a motion of any party, the hearing may be continued for cause for a period not to exceed 10 additional days. If the hearing is not held within the time specified or a continuance thereof, the application shall

be dismissed and the client shall be ordered discharged forthwith. In computing the time periods set forth in this paragraph, the District Court Civil Rules shall apply.

- B. The hearing shall be conducted in as informal a manner as is consistent with orderly procedure and in a physical setting not likely to have a harmful effect on the well-being of the client.
- C. The court shall receive all relevant and material evidence which may be offered in accordance with accepted rules of evidence and accepted judicial dispositions. The client, the applicant and all other persons to whom notice is required to be sent shall be afforded an opportunity to appear, testify and cross-examine witnesses at the hearing. The court may receive the testimony of any other person and may subpoena any witnesses.
- D. An opportunity to be represented by counsel shall be afforded to every client. If neither client nor others provide counsel, the court shall appoint counsel for the client.
- E. In addition to proving that the client is a mentally retarded person, the applicant shall show:
 - (1) By evidence of the client's action and behavior, that the client poses a likelihood of serious harm as defined in subsection 1, paragraph A, subparagraph (1); and
 - (2) That, after a full consideration of less restrictive treatment settings and modalities, involuntary admission to the facility is the best means available for the treatment or security of the client.
- F. The applicant in each case shall submit to the court at the time of hearing, the testimony indicating the individual treatment plan to be followed by the facility staff in the event of commitment under this section. Any expense for this purpose shall be borne by the applicant.

1 G. Stenographic or electronic record of the pro-2 ceedings and all judicial involuntary admission shall be required. These records, 3 hearings 4 with all notes, exhibits and other evitogether dence shall be confidential and shall be retained 5 6 the District Court records for a as part of 7 period of 2 years from the date of the hearing. 8 The hearing shall be confidential. No report 9 of the proceedings may be released to the public 10 or press, except by permission of the client or his counsel and with the approval of the presid-11 12 ing judge. The court may order a public hearing 13 on the request of the client or his counsel. 14 5. Findings by the court. After completion of 15 the hearing, the District Court shall make findings 16 as follows. 17 A. If, upon completion of the hearing and con-18 sideration of the records, the District Court: 19 (1) Finds clear and convincing evidence 20 that the client is mentally retarded and 21 that his recent actions and behavior demonstrate that he poses a likelihood of serious 22 harm as defined in subsection 1, paragraph 23 24 A, subparagraph (1); 25 (2) Finds that admission to the facility is the best means available for treatment of 26 27 the client; and 28 (3) Is satisfied with the individual treat-29 ment plan offered by the facility. The District Court shall state in the record the 30 31 findings made pursuant to subparagraphs (1), (2) 32 and (3). If the District Court makes the find-33 ings described in subparagraphs (1) and (2), but 34 is not satisfied with the individual treatment 35 plan offered, it may continue the case for not longer than 10 days pending reconsideration and 36 37 resubmission of an individual treatment plan by

38

the facility.

6. Commitment. Upon making the findings described in subsection 5, the court may order commitment of the client as provided in this subsection.

- A. The court may order a commitment to a mental retardation facility for a period not to exceed 4 months in the first instance and not to exceed one year after the first and all subsequent rehearings.
- B. The court may issue an order of commitment immediately after the completion of the hearing or it may take the matter under advisement and issue an order within 24 hours of the hearing.
- C. If the court does not issue an order of commitment within 24 hours of the completion of the hearing, it shall dismiss the application and the client shall be ordered discharged forthwith.
- 7. Continued involuntary admission. If the head of the facility determines that continued involuntary admission is necessary for a client who has been ordered by the District Court to be committed, he shall, not later than 30 days prior to the expiration of a period of commitment ordered by the court, make application in accordance with this section to the District Court which has territorial jurisdiction where the facility is located for a hearing to be held pursuant to this section.
- 8. Transportation to the facility. Unless otherwise directed by the court, it shall be the responsibility of the sheriff of the county in which the District Court has jurisdiction and in which the hearing takes place to provide transportation to any facility to which the court has committed the client. With the exception of the expenses incurred by the applicant pursuant to subsection 4, paragraph F, the District Court shall be responsible for any expenses incurred under this section, including fees of appointing counsel, witness and notice fees and expenses of transportation for the client.
- 9. Appeals. Persons ordered by the District Court to be committed to a facility may appeal from that order to the Superior Court. The appeal shall

- 1 be on questions of law only. Any findings of fact of the District Court shall not be set aside unless clearly erroneous. The order of the District Court 2 3 4 shall remain in effect pending the appeal. The District Court Civil Rules and Maine Rules 5 of 6 Procedure shall apply to the conduct of these 7 this appeals, except as otherwise specified in sub-8 section.
- 9 Emergency clause. In view of the emergency cited in 10 the preamble, this Act shall take effect when 11 approved.

12 STATEMENT OF FACT

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Title 34, section 2665, which provides for a procedure for involuntary admission to mental retardation facilities, references mental health statute Title 34, section 2334 substituting the term "mentally retarded" wherever the term "mentally ill" appeared. In practice, this reference has not served the original intent of the authorizing legislation. In particular a major stumbling block has been the causal link between a client's behavior and mental retardation. In fact, no such linkage can or will be made by a certified psychologist. Therefore, the major change proposed by the amendment eliminates the Thus, the finding of mental retardation causal link. and likelihood of serious harm exist as 2 separate but necessary criteria in order to certify a person for involuntary admission to a mental retardation facility.

A recent case in point involved a person who was charged with sexually molesting a 6-year old child. This person could not be committed to the institution under the department's current involuntary commitment statutes because the psychologists involved in evaluating this person could not certify that this person presented a likelihood of serious harm due to mental retardation, although all of the psychologists found this person to be mentally retarded and considered him to be dangerous. Judicial certification was pursued; however, the judge was not able to judicially certify this person. Consequently, this person was ordered by the judge to be returned to the community.

On June 3, 1983, within 7 weeks of being placed in the community, this person was arrested for assaulting 2 people and was taken by the police to the mental retardation facility. This department has no way, under current statute, to commit this person although he is dangerous and mentally retarded. So, once again, he will be unable to stay at the institution and will have to be returned to the community.