MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

	(New Draf	t of H.P. 40	8, L.D. 491)	
	FIR	ST REGULAR S	ESSION	
	ONE HUNDRED	AND ELEVENT	H LEGISLATURE	
Legislati	ve Document			No. 1673
H.P. 1263	3	House	of Representatives, Ma	
Repo	rted by the Majorit	ty from the Comm	nittee on Labor and pr	rinted
Origi by Senato	nt Rule 2. nal bill sponsored l or Clark of Cumber ative Michael of A	land, Senator Du	Tuttle of Sanford. Co tremble of York and	sponsored
			EDWIN H. PE	ERT, Clerk
	:	STATE OF MAI	NE	
		HE YEAR OF O HUNDRED AND	UR LORD EIGHTY-THREE	
		Amend the St or Relations	ate Employees Act.	
Be it of follows		e People of	the State of Ma	ine as
26 1973, c	MRSA §979-3		<pre>¶C, as enacte ad:</pre>	d by PL
c.	The fact-fi	nding procee	dings shall be	as pro-
	ded by section	n 965, subse	ction 3, excep	t that
the	e issues to	be submitted	by the parties	to the
iac	ct-finding par	ner snarr be	limited to con e panel shall	have a
<u>516</u>	riod of 90 da	vs in which	to hear the con	tending
	rties to th	e controver	sy. That 90-day	period
2110	all begin up	on the fir	st scheduled _	nearing
cal	all begin up lled by the p termine which	anel. The fa	ct-finding pane	l shall

STATEMENT OF FACT

The purpose of this new draft is to shorten the fact-finding process under the State Employees Labor Relations Act in 2 ways.

First, the issues that may be presented to the fact-finding panel by either labor or management are limited to controversies over cost items. This will reduce the volume of issues that the panel must consider and because cost items are usually the major disputed issues, recommendations by the panel should increase the pressure on both sides to come to agreement.

Second, once the fact-finding panel is chosen and holds its first scheduled hearing, it is limited to a 90-day period in which to hear the contending parties rather than an unlimited time as at present. This will provide a reasonable time frame in which to conduct hearings, yet limits the length of the process. This will not restrict the panel's time to consider arguments or to prepare their recommendations.

21 4013051983