## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

FIF	RST REGULAR SESSION
ONE HUNDREI	O AND ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE
Legislative Document	No. 445
S.P. 156	In Senate, February 1, 1983
Referred to the Commondered printed.	ittee on Labor, sent down for concurrence and
	JOY J. O'BRIEN, Secretary of the Senato
Presented by Senator Haye: Cosponsors: Represent Tuttle of Sanford.	s of Penobscot. ative Norton of Biddeford and Representative
	STATE OF MAINE
	THE YEAR OF OUR LORD HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-THREE
	llow Evidence and Testimony Vocational Experts.
Be it enacted by the follows:	ne People of the State of Maine as
39 MRSA §93, 109, is further ame	, sub-§3, as amended by PL 1979, c. ended to read:
mission. In all propensation Commission able in civil action Maine Rules of Civil available to any	s before Workers' Compensation Com- oceedings before the Workers' Com- ion, all forms of discovery avail- ons in the Superior Court under the il Procedure, as amended, shall be of the parties in the proceedings ers' Compensation Commission Com-
missioner, rather shall rule on all o sation Commission	than a Superior Court Justice, objections; and a Workers' Compen- n Commissioner is empowered to ction in the same manner and to the

same extent as a Superior Court Justice may enforce compliance with the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended, with regard to discovery, except that the commissioner shall not have the power of contempt.

Prior to the award of the 3rd period of up to 52 weeks of vocational rehabilitation as provided by section 52, the employer shall have the right of discovery and subpoena power in regard to all persons, including any private or public agent, to determine the suitability of such the employee for such that further rehabilitation.

Signed statements by a medical doctor or osteopathic physician relating to medical questions, by a psychologist relating to psychological questions er, by a chiropractor relating to chiropractic questions, or by a vocational expert relating to vocational or labor market questions, shall be admissible in workers' compensation hearings before the Workers' Compensation Commission, providing that notice of that testimony to be used is given and service of a copy of the letter or report is made on the opposing counsel 14 days before the scheduled hearing to enable that counsel to depose or subpoena and cross-examine that medical doctor, osteopathic physician, psychologist er, chiropractor or vocational expert if he so chooses.

## STATEMENT OF FACT

This bill clarifies an ambiguity contained in the current law and practice of Workers' Compensation Commission as it relates the to the reports and testimonies of vocational experts. The Supreme Judicial Court has stated that in order for an employee who is partially physically disabled to continue to receive benefits for total incapacity, the employee must prove that there is no reasonably stable labor market in his geographical vicinity for someone with his limited skill. In order to meet that burden of proof, some employees have attempted to introduce evidence from vocational experts who have conducted

labor market surveys to determine the availability of restricted work opportunities for employees. While some commissioners have allowed such testimony, others have not. This conflict between commissioners creates an inequity among similarly situated employees, depending on where they happen to reside. The testimony of a vocational expert can often be of tremendous benefit to a commissioner in assessing what job opportunities are available to an employee within his geographical vicinity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

This bill also specifically allows the introduction of vocational experts' reports as they relate to the employability of particular individuals. Because the statute clearly defines those reports which currently admissible, some commissioners have taken the position that since the statute does not specifically allow for the introduction of reports vocational rehabilitation experts, such reports are not admissible. Quite often, when a vocational rehabilitation expert has developed a long-term relationship with a particular employee, that expert will have great insight into the employee's physical capacities, motivation and ability to perform certain That is precisely the kind of kinds of work. compensation commissioners mation which workers' should have in order to accurately assess employee's work capacity and resulting disability. This bill insures that such reports are admissible.

29 1839011083