## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

	FIRS:	regular se:	SSION
	ONE HUNDRED	AND ELEVENTH	LEGISLATURE
Legislati	ive Document		No. 429
S.P. 137			In Senate, February 1, 1983
	erred to the Committe nce and ordered print		gislation, sent down for
		JOY J. O'I	BRIEN, Secretary of the Senate
	d by Senator Trafton ponsor: Representativ		
	s	TATE OF MAIN	Ε
		E YEAR OF OUI	
	ctices between		ion of Business le Manufacturers, lers Act
Be it of		People of the	he State of Maine as
	MRSA §1175, as ther amended to		PL 1979, c. 498, §2,
§1175.	Dealers only	liability fo	or product defect
the del vehicle cles to obligat	livery and preperture dealers prior to retail buyetions of its me	paration oblivery to delivery ers. The deliptor vehicle	specify to the dealer igations of its motor y of new motor vehi-ivery and preparation dealers and a schedid to its motor vehi-

delivery and preparation obligations shall constitute any such dealer's only responsibility for product liability as between such that dealer and such that manufacturer. The compensation as set forth on said the schedule shall be reasonable.

In any action or claim brought against the dealer on a product liability complaint in which it is later determined that the manufacturer is liable, the dealer shall be entitled, from the manufacturer, to receive its reasonable costs and attorney's fees incurred in defending the claim or action.

In any action or claim brought against the dealer on a breach of implied warranty complaint in which it is later determined that the manufacturer is liable, the dealer shall be entitled, from the manufacturer, to receive its reasonable costs and attorney's fees incurred in defending the claim or action. In any such implied warranty action, the dealer shall be considered, in relation to the manufacturer, to be a consumer under Title 11, section 2-316, subsection (5).

The court shall consider the dealer's share in the responsibility for the damages in awarding costs and attorney's fees.

## STATEMENT OF FACT

This bill rectifies a current inequity in the franchise laws and the general dealer-manufacturer relationship. At this time, while a consumer may sue an automobile dealer or manufacturer, or both, for breach of implied warranty, the dealer is generally barred from suing the manufacturer who actually produced the defective vehicle. In such cases, the dealer is held responsible for the defect created by the manufacturer, and often times may not have effective recourse.

This provision expands the rights of the dealer by requiring that if a dealer is sued on an implied warranty complaint, and it is subsequently determined that the manufacturer is responsible for the defect,

then the dealer shall be reimbursed by the manufac-1 turer for reasonable attorneys' fees. The bill also 2 places the dealer, in relation to the manufacturer, in the position of a consumer under the Revised Stat-3 4 5 utes, Title 11, section 2-316, subsection (5). 6 dealer, therefore, enjoys some of the protections of 7 the Uniform Commercial Code, such as the ability to bring the manufacturer into a suit under the Revised 8 Statutes, Title 11, section 2-607, 9 subsection (5), 10 paragraph (a).

The rights of the consumer are in no way limited by this proposal.

13 1505010583