MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

												,																			Total
			QUE		: BOND ISSU						BOND IS						BOND IS:					BOND ISS						BOND ISS			Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES		10		BLANK		YES	%	NO		BLANK			NO		BLANK		/ES		40 °		LANK %		
AUBURN	1-1	381	60.4%		38.2%		1.4%		62.6%	232	36.8%	4	0 6%	460		164	26.0%	7	1.1%	326 51.7%	294	46.6%	11		429	68.0%	198	31.4%	4	0 6%	631
AUBURN	2-1	339	56.1%	247	40.9%		3.0%	406	67.2%	188	31.1%	10	1.7%	439	72.7%	152	25.2%	13	2 2%	329 54.5%	265	43.9%	10	1.7%	446	73.8%	152	25.2%	6	1 0%	604
AUBURN	3-1	286	55.4%	219	42.4%	11	2.1%	308	59.7%	205	39.7%	3	0 6%	349	67.6%	159	30.8%	8	1 6%	247 47.9%	261	50.6%	8	1 6%	347	67.2%	164	31.8%	5	1 0%	516
AUBURN	4-1	277	55.1%	223	44.3%	3	0.6%	262	52.1%	234	46.5%	7	1.4%	311	61.8%	185	36.8%	7	1.4%	213 42.3%	288	57.3%	2	0.4%	292	58.1%	209	41.6%	2	0.4%	503
AUBURN	5-1	346	56.9%	253	41.6%	9	1.5%	343	56.4%	259	42.6%	6	1 0%	389	64.0%	209	34.4%	10	1 6%	283 46.5%	316	52.0%	9	1 5%	380	62.5%	225	37.0%	3	0 5%	608
AUBURN	ABS	325	61.3%	190	35.8%	15	2.8%	342	64.5%	172	32.5%	16	3 0%	372	70.2%	141	26.6%	17	3 2%	299 56.4%	213	40.2%	18	3.4%	367	69.2%	153	28.9%	10	1 9%	530
	AUBURN TOTALS	1954	57.6%	1373	40.5%	65	1.9%	2056	60.6%	1290	38.0%	46	1.4%	2320	68.4%	1010	29.8%	62	1.8%	1697 50.0%	1637	48.3%	58	1.7%	2261	66.7%	1101	32.5%	30	0.9%	3392
DURHAM	1-1	524	40.3%	765	58.8%	11	0.8%	517	39.8%	773	59.5%	10	0 8%	757	58.2%	531	40.8%	12	0 9%	425 32.7%	866	66.6%	9	0.7%	567	43.6%	725	55.8%	8	0 6%	1300
GREENE	1-1	284	57.1%	209	42.1%	4	0.8%	257	51.7%	239	48.1%	1	0 2%	309	62.2%	185	37.2%	3	0 6%	232 46.7%	262	52.7%	3	0 6%	297	59.8%	199	40.0%	1	0 2%	497
LEEDS	1-1	132	51.8%	122	47.8%	1	0.4%	136	53.3%	118	46.3%	1	0.4%	170	66.7%	84	32.9%	1	0.4%	109 42.7%	143	56.1%	3	1 2%	144	56.5%	110	43.1%	1	0.4%	255
LEWISTON	1-1	361	55.4%	256	39.3%	35	5.4%	418	64.1%	204	31.3%	30	4 6%	439	67.3%	184	28.2%	29	4.4%	349 53.5%	273	41.9%	30	4 6%	458	70.2%	171	26.2%	23	3 5%	652
LEWISTON	2-1	722	58.4%	495	40.0%	19	1.5%	756	61.2%	460	37.2%	20	16%	853	69.0%	365	29.5%	18	1 5%	598 48.4%	610	49.4%	28	2 3%	798	64.6%	421	34.1%	17	1.4%	1236
LEWISTON	3-1	237	56.6%	148	35.3%	34	8.1%	298	71.1%	99	23.6%	22	5 3%	294	70.2%	99	23.6%	26	6 2%	222 53.0%	163	38.9%	34	8.1%	297	70.9%	103	24.6%	19	4 5%	419
LEWISTON	4-1	497	56.8%	355	40.6%	23	2.6%	524	59.9%	327	37.4%	24	2.7%	570	65.1%	280	32.0%	25	2 9%	408 46.6%	444	50.7%	23	2 6%	529	60.5%	327	37.4%	19	2 2%	875
LEWISTON	5-1	233	60.4%	126	32.6%	27	7.0%	239	61.9%	124	32.1%	23	6 0%	270	69.9%	95	24.6%	21	5.4%	193 50.0%	169	43.8%	24	6 2%	256	66.3%	110	28.5%	20	5 2%	386
LEWISTON	6-1	584	56.5%	432	41.8%	17	1.6%	601	58.2%	419	40.6%	13	1 3%	682	66.0%	331	32.0%	20	1 9%	478 46.3%	539	52.2%	16	1 5%	629	60.9%	391	37.9%	13	1 3%	1033
LEWISTON	7-1	506	57.8%	345	39.4%	25	2.9%	515	58.8%	332	37.9%	29	3 3%	596	68.0%	252	28.8%	28	3 2%	431 49.2%	416	47.5%	29	3 3%	555	63.4%	298	34.0%	23	2 6%	876
LEWISTON	ABS	782	57.8%	482	35.6%	90	6.6%	823	60.8%	436	32.2%	95	7 0%	938	69.3%	309	22.8%	107	7 9%	707 52.2%	552	40.8%	95	7 0%	891	65.8%	404	29.8%	59	4.4%	1354
	LEWISTON TOTALS	3922	57.4%	2639	38.6%	270	4.0%	4174	61.1%	2401	35.1%	256	3.7%	4642	68.0%	1915	28.0%	274	4.0%	3386 49.6%	3166	46.3%	279	4.1%	4413	64.6%	2225	32.6%	193	2.8%	6831
LISBON	1-1	349	46.7%	386	51.7%	12	1.6%	341	45.6%	398	53.3%	8	1.1%	439	58.8%	297	39.8%	11	1 5%	251 33.6%	485	64.9%	11	1 5%	360	48.2%	383	51.3%	4	0 5%	747
LISBON	2-1	506	52.6%	449	46.7%	7	0.7%	479	49.8%	483	50.2%	0	0 0%	629	65.4%	329	34.2%	4	0.4%	348 36.2%	609	63.3%	5	0 5%	514	53.4%	446	46.4%	2	0 2%	962
	LISBON TOTALS	855	50.0%	835	48.9%	19	1.1%	820	48.0%	881	51.6%	8	0.5%	1068	62.5%	626	36.6%	15	0.9%	599 35.0%	1094	64.0%	16	0.9%	874	51.1%	829	48.5%	6	0.4%	1709
LIVERMORE	1-1	202	57.1%	151	42.7%	1	0.3%	172	48.6%	181	51.1%	1	0 3%	207	58.5%	145	41.0%	2	0 6%	154 43.5%	200	56.5%	0	0 0%	190	53.7%	164	46.3%	0	0 0%	354
LIVERMORE FAI	LLS 1-1	287	54.9%	220	42.1%	16	3.1%	269	51.4%	239	45.7%	15	2 9%	345	66.0%	161	30.8%	17	3 3%	218 41.7%	291	55.6%	14	2.7%	272	52.0%	237	45.3%	14	2.7%	523
MECHANIC FALI	LS 1-1	153	51.5%	144	48.5%	0	0.0%	143	48.1%	153	51.5%	1	0 3%	180	60.6%	116	39.1%	1	0 3%	106 35.7%	189	63.6%	2	0.7%	159	53.5%	138	46.5%	0	0 0%	297
MINOT	1-1	158	54.3%	132	45.4%	1	0.3%	154	52.9%	136	46.7%	1	0 3%	178	61.2%	108	37.1%	5	1.7%	143 49.1%	147	50.5%	1	0 3%	168	57.7%	122	41.9%	1	0 3%	291
POLAND	1-1	316	54.6%	261	45.1%	2	0.3%	305	52.7%	270	46.6%	4	0.7%	387	66.8%	188	32.5%	4	0.7%	255 44.0%	318	54.9%	6	1 0%	345	59.6%	230	39.7%	4	0.7%	579
SABATTUS	1-1	421	51.8%	380	46.8%	11	1.4%	363	44.7%	435	53.6%	14	1.7%	481	59.2%	315	38.8%	16	2 0%	298 36.7%	498	61.3%	16	2 0%	397	48.9%	408	50.2%	7	0 9%	812
TURNER	1-1	383	55.4%	304	44.0%	4	0.6%	383	55.4%	304	44.0%	4	0 6%	460		228	33.0%	3	0.4%	329 47.6%	356	51.5%	6	0 9%	417	60.3%	270	39.1%	4	0 6%	691
WALES	1-1	94	47.7%	103	52.3%	0	0.0%	77	39.1%	120	60.9%	0	0 0%	111	56.3%	85	43.1%	1	0 5%	72 36.5%	124	62.9%	1	0 5%	90	45.7%	107	54.3%	0	0 0%	197
ANDR	ROSCOGGIN TOTALS	9685	54.6%	7638	43.1%	405	2.3%	9826	55.4%	7540	42.5%	362	2.0%	11615	65.5%	5697	32.1%	416	2.3%	8023 45.3%	9291	52.4%	414	2.3%	10594	59.8%	6865	38.7%	269	1.5%	17728
ANDR	ROSCOGGIN TOTALS	9685	54.6%	7638	43.1%	405	2.3%	9826	55.4%	7540	42.5%	362	2.0%	11615	65.5%	5697	32.1%	416	2.3%	8023 45.3%	9291	52.4%	414	2.3%	10594	59.8%	6865	38.7%	269	1.5%	17

			QUES	STION 1	: BOND ISSUE			QUES	STION 2	2: BOND IS	SSUE		QUES	STION 3	BOND ISS	SUF			QUEST	TION 4· F	SOND IS:	SUE			QUEST	ION 5: BONE) ISSUE		Total Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO		BLANK 9	6		NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO		BLANK %	Υ	'ES	% NO			BLANK %	YES	%	NC		BLANK	%	10.00
ALLAGASH	1-1	27	69.2%			0 0	_	27 69.2%	12	30.8%	0 0 0	_		8	20.5%	0 0			53.8%	18	46.2%	0 0			71.8%	11 28.2			39
AMITY	1-1	14		12			.0%	11 42.3%	15		0 0 0			7	26.9%		0%		38.5%	15	57.7%	1 3	_		50.0%	13 50.0		1 1 1 1 1	26
ASHLAND	1-1	96	61.5%	57		3 1	_	97 62.2%	57		2 130			41	26.3%	5 3			44.9%	82	52.6%	4 2			68.6%	46 29.5			156
BANCROFT	1-1	7	63.6%	4			.0%	7 63.6%	4	36.4%	0 0 0			2	18.2%		0%		72.7%	3	27.3%	0 0			72.7%	3 27.3			11
BLAINE	1-1	43	53.1%	38	46.9%	0 0	.0%	38 46.9%	43	53.1%	0 0 0	% 55	67.9%	26	32.1%	0 0	0%	27	33.3%	53	65.4%	1 1	2%	43	53.1%	38 46.9	9% (0 0%	81
BR DGEWATER	1-1	22	37.9%	35	60.3%	1 1	.7%	28 48.3%	29	50.0%	1 1.79	% 36	62.1%	22	37.9%	0 0	0%	19	32.8%	38	65.5%	1 1.	7%	33	56.9%	25 43.1	1%	0 0%	58
CARIBOU/CONNOR TWP.	1-1	611	52.2%	539	46.0%	21 1	.8%	638 54.5%	511	43.6%	22 1 9	% 772	65.9%	385	32.9%	14 1	1 2%	423	36.1%	715	61.1%	33 2	8%	727	62.1%	427 36.5	5% 17	1 5%	1171
CARY PLT	1-1	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	0 0	.0%	13 48.1%	14	51.9%	0 0 0	% 16	59.3%	11	40.7%	0 0	0%	9	33.3%	18	66.7%	0 0	0%	13	48.1%	14 51.9	9% (0 0%	27
CASTLE HILL	1-1	21	33.9%	41	66.1%	0 0	.0%	35 56.5%	27	43.5%	0 0 0	% 38	61.3%	24	38.7%	0 0	0%	18	29.0%	44	71.0%	0 0	0%	33	53.2%	29 46.8	3% (0 0%	62
CASWELL	1-1	18	47.4%	19	50.0%	1 2	.6%	18 47.4%	20	52.6%	0 0 0	% 26	68.4%	11	28.9%	1 2	2 6%	14	36.8%	23	60.5%	1 2	6%	24	63.2%	14 36.8	3%	0 0%	38
CHAPMAN	1-1	35	59.3%	24	40.7%	0 0	.0%	36 61.0%	23	39.0%	0 0 0	% 43	72.9%	16	27.1%	0 0	0%	28	47.5%	31	52.5%	0 0	0%	37	62.7%	22 37.3	3%	0 0%	59
CRYSTAL	1-1	20	57.1%	15	42.9%	0 0	.0%	19 54.3%	16	45.7%	0 0 0	% 20	57.1%	14	40.0%	1 2	2 9%	16	45.7%	18	51.4%	1 2	9%	16	45.7%	19 54.3	3% (0 0%	35
CYR PLT	1-1	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	0 0	.0%	8 57.1%	6	42.9%	0 0 0	% 8	57.1%	6	42.9%	0 0	0%	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	0 0	0%	6	42.9%	8 57.1	(%	0 0%	14
DYER BROOK	1-1	19	44.2%			1 2	.3%	14 32.6%	28	65.1%	1 230	% 26	60.5%	17	39.5%	0 0	0%	10	23.3%	32	74.4%	1 2	3%	16	37.2%	27 62.8	3% (0 0%	43
EAGLE LAKE	1-1	57	59.4%			0 0	.0%	66 68.8%	30	31.3%	0 0 0	% 69	71.9%	25	26.0%	2 2	2.1%	44	45.8%	52	54.2%	0 0	0%	67	69.8%	29 30.2	2% (0 0%	96
EASTON	1-1	69				1 0		75 49.3%	76		1 0.79			49	32.2%).7%		34.2%	98	64.5%	2 1			53.9%	70 46.1			152
FORT FAIRFIELD	1-1	221	48.6%	224		10 2		271 59.6%	177	38.9%	7 159			143	31.4%	9 2			37.8%	272	59.8%	11 2.			63.5%	159 34.9		. 0.0	455
FORT KENT	1-1	287	60.7%			5 1		380 80.3%	90	19.0%	3 069			94	19.9%	5 1			47.6%	243	51.4%	5 1.			75.7%	112 23.7			473
FRENCHV LLE	1-1	87	68.5%				.8%	89 70.1%	38		0 0 0			19	15.0%	0 0			56.7%	54	42.5%	1 0			73.2%	34 26.8			127
GARF ELD PLT	1-1	8	42.1%			1 5	_	10 52.6%	7	36.8%	2 10 5			9	47.4%		3%		47.4%	8	42.1%	2 10			68.4%	5 26.3		5 3%	19
GLENWOOD PLT	1-1	0	0.0%	4			.0%	0 0.0%	4	100.0%	0 0 0			2	50.0%		0%		25.0%	3	75.0%	0 0			50.0%	2 50.0			4
GRAND ISLE	1-1	43					.0%	41 63.1%	24	36.9%	0 0 0			18	27.7%		0 0%		44.6%	36	55.4%	0 0	_		56.9%	28 43.1			65
HAML N	1-1	10				0 0	_	17 56.7%	13	43.3%	0 0 0	_		14	46.7%		0 0%		33.3%	20	66.7%	0 0	_	-	63.3%	11 36.7			30
HAMMOND HAYNESVILLE	1-1	0	37.5%	10		0 0	_	5 31.3%	11	68.8%	0 0 0			10	62.5%		0 0%		12.5%	14	87.5%	0 0			43.8%	9 56.3			16
HERSEY	1-1	9	45.0%	11		0 0		11 55.0%	9	45.0% 100.0%	0 0 0 0			10	50.0% 50.0%	0 0	0 0%		35.0%	13	65.0%	0 0		0	40.0%	12 60.0 4 100.0			20
HODGDON	1-1	64	0.0%	77		0 0		0 0.0% 51 36.2%	- 4	63.8%			2 50.0% 0 49.6%	71	50.0%		0 0%	0	0.0% 27.0%		73.0%	0 0		-	0 0% 40.4%			1 1 1 1 1	141
HOULTON	1-1	508	45.4% 55.2%				.0%	51 56.2%	90 389		0 0 0°			301	32.7%	0 0 15 1			39.5%	103 541	58.7%	16 1.		-	60.5%	84 59.6 357 38.8			921
ISLAND FALLS	1-1	54					.1%	46 49.5%	45	48.4%	2 2 2 2			29	31.2%	2 2			40.9%	53	57.0%	2 2			52.7%	43 46.2		1.1%	93
LIMESTONE	1-1	134				2 0		138 55.9%	107	43.3%	2 089			72	29.1%		1 6%	94		150	60.7%	3 1			61.5%	94 38.1		0.4%	247
LINNEUS	1-1	45	55.6%			0 0		37 45.7%	44	54.3%	0 00			37	45.7%		0 0%		38.3%	50	61.7%	0 0			45.7%	44 54.3			81
LITTLETON	1-1	50	45.0%	59		2 1		43 38.7%	65		3 2.79			51	45.9%		9%		24.3%	82	73.9%	2 1	_	-	45.9%	60 54.1			111
LUDLOW	1-1	27		26		0 0	_	22 41.5%	31	58.5%	0 0 0 0			24	45.3%	0 0			26.4%	39	73.6%	0 0			43.4%	30 56.6			53
MACWAHOC PLT	1-1	7	50.0%	7		0 0	_	9 64.3%	5	35.7%	0 0 0		50.0%	7	50.0%		0%	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0 0			57.1%	6 42.9			14
MADAWASKA	1-1	434	62.4%	250	36.0%	11 1	.6%	475 68.3%	211	30.4%	9 139	% 529	76.1%	154	22.2%	12 1	1.7%	318	45.8%	365	52.5%	12 1.	7%	450	64.7%	234 33.7	'% 11	1 16%	695
MAPLETON	1-1	100	49.0%			1 0	.5%	114 55.9%	89	43.6%	1 0.59	% 142	69.6%	62	30.4%	0 0	0%	79	38.7%	124	60.8%	1 0		131	64.2%	73 35.8	3% (0 0%	204
MARS H LL	1-1	80	45.5%	95	54.0%	1 0	.6%	85 48.3%	89	50.6%	2 1.19	% 96	54.5%	78	44.3%	2 1	1.1%	61	34.7%	114	64.8%	1 0	6%	91	51.7%	84 47.7	' %	0 6%	176
MASARDIS	1-1	13	39.4%	20	60.6%	0 0	.0%	16 48.5%	17	51.5%	0 0 0	% 19	57.6%	13	39.4%	1 3	3 0%	11	33.3%	22	66.7%	0 0	0%	16	48.5%	16 48.5	5% 1	3 0%	33
MERR LL	1-1	13	46.4%	15	53.6%	0 0	.0%	5 17.9%	23	82.1%	0 0 0	% 13	46.4%	15	53.6%	0 0	0%	4	14.3%	24	85.7%	0 0	0%	6	21.4%	22 78.6	6% (0 0%	28
MONTICELLO	1-1	29	38.7%	44	58.7%	2 2	.7%	29 38.7%	44	58.7%	2 2.79	% 42	56.0%	29	38.7%	4 5	5 3%	17	22.7%	55	73.3%	3 4	0%	34	45.3%	38 50.7	' % 3	4 0%	75
MORO PLT	1-1	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0 0	.0%	0 0.0%	5	100.0%	0 0 0	% 0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0 0	0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0 0	0%	0	0 0%	5 100.0)% (0 0%	5
NEW CANADA/CROSS LAKE TWP.	1-1	29	58.0%	21		0 0		30 60.0%	20	40.0%	0 0 0			13		0 0			38.0%	31	62.0%	0 0			56.0%	22 44.0			50
NEW LIMERICK	1-1	40	48.8%	39		3 3		38 46.3%	42		2 2.49			26	31.7%	1 1			40.2%	47	57.3%	2 2.			57.3%	35 42.7			82
NEW SWEDEN	1-1	77					.5%	96 51.6%	89		1 0.59			71	38.2%	2 1			30.6%	127	68.3%	2 1.			50.5%	91 48.9		0 5%	186
OAKFIELD	1-1	33					.0%	22 43.1%	29		0 0 0			23	45.1%		2 0%		29.4%	35	68.6%	1 2			47.1%	27 52.9			51
ORIENT	1-1	19		9			.0%	18 64.3%	10	35.7%	0 0 0			7	25.0%		0 0%		53.6%	13	46.4%	0 0			75.0%	7 25.0			28
OXBOW PLT	1-1	6	50.0%	6			.0%	6 50.0%	6	50.0%	0 0 0			3	25.0%		0 0%		33.3%	8	66.7%	0 0	_		50.0%	6 50.0			12
PERHAM PORTAGE LAKE/NASHV LLE PLT.	1-1	19					.0%	15 45.5%	18		0 0 0			15	45.5%		3 0%		30.3%	22	66.7%	1 3			51.5%	16 48.5			33
PRESQUE ISLE	1-1	24 572				0 0		29 50.0%			0 0 0					0 0			34.5%	38	65.5%	0 0			62.1%	22 37.9		0 0 0%	1110
REED PLT	1-1	6				14 1		649 58.5% 4 23.5%			7 0 6° 2 11 8°			339		13 1			38.8% 11.8%	660 14	59.5% 82.4%	19 1. 1 5			64.1% 58.8%	385 34.7 7 41.2			1110 17
SAINT AGATHA/S NCLAIR TWP.	1-1	88				0 0		94 71.8%	37		0 00		78.6%	28		0 0			51.1%	64	48.9%	0 0			69.5%	40 30.5			131
SAINT FRANCIS	1-1	32				0 0		30 63.8%			1 2.19		74.5%	12		0 0			40.4%	28	59.6%	0 0			66.0%	16 34.0		0 0 0 %	47
SAINT TOANGIS	1-1	27				0 0		35 79.5%		20.5%	0 000		70.5%	13		0 0			34.1%	29	65.9%	0 0			75.0%	11 25.0		0 0 0 %	44
SHERMAN	1-1	49				3 2		47 34.8%			2 159		40.0%	78		3 2			26.7%	95	70.4%	4 3			37.0%	82 60.7		3 22%	135
SMYRNA	1-1	8	36.4%			0 0		7 31.8%			0 0 0		36.4%	14		0 0			22.7%	17	77.3%	0 0			36.4%	14 63.6			22
STOCKHOLM/MADAWASKA LAKE	1		551.70		33.570			3 3	.0	23.270		1	2070		22.075									-			,	+ 30.0	
TWP.	1-1	56	59.6%	38	40.4%	0 0	.0%	62 66.0%	32	34.0%	0 0 0	% 69	73.4%	25	26.6%	0 0	0%	50	53.2%	44	46.8%	0 0	0%	66	70.2%	28 29.8	3%	0 0%	94
VAN BUREN	1-1	130				5 2		158 70.9%			3 139		77.1%	51		0 0			46.2%	115	51.6%	5 2			69.5%	63 28.3			223
WADE	1-1	18				0 0		20 60.6%			0 0 0		57.6%	14		0 0			42.4%	19	57.6%	0 0			63.6%	12 36.4			33
WALLAGRASS	1-1	35				0 0		46 75.4%			1 169		75.4%			0 0			42.6%	35	57.4%	0 0			77.0%	14 23.0		0 0%	
WASHBURN	1-1	98	58.7%	69	41.3%	0 0	.0%	87 52.1%		47.3%	1 069	% 120	71.9%	46	27.5%	1 0	6%	64	38.3%	103	61.7%	0 0	0%		57.5%	71 42.5	5% (0 0%	167
												•											•						

																																Total
			QUES	STION 1	: BOND ISSU	E			QUES	STION 2	: BOND I	SSUE			QUE	STION 3	: BON	ID ISSUE			QUE	STION 4	BOND I	SSUE			QUE	STION 5:	BOND IS	SUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	6 BLAN	%	YE	ES %	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK 9	%	
WESTFIELD	1-1	24	50.0%	24	50.0%	0	0.0%	26	54.2%	22	45.8%	0	0 0%	32	66.7%	15	31	1.3%	1 2.1	%	21 43.8%	26	54.2%	5 1	2.19	32	66.7%	16	33.3%	0	0 0%	48
WESTMANLAND	1-1	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	0	0.0%	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	0	0 0%	13	68.4%	6	31	1.6%	0 0 0)%	6 31.6%	13	68.4%	6 0	0 0%	5 11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	0 0%	19
WESTON	1-1	14	33.3%	28	66.7%	0	0.0%	14	33.3%	28	66.7%	0	0 0%	19	45.2%	22	52	2.4%	1 2.4	۱%	9 21.4%	33	78.6%	6 0	0 0%	5 14	33.3%	28	66.7%	0	0 0%	42
WINTERVILLE PLT	1-1	20	50.0%	20	50.0%	0	0.0%	21	52.5%	19	47.5%	0	0 0%	26	65.0%	13	32	2.5%	1 25	5%	16 40.0%	24	60.0%	6 0	0 0%	5 17	42.5%	23	57.5%	0	0 0%	40
WOODLAND	1-1	66	45.5%	78	53.8%	1	0.7%	75	51.7%	68	46.9%	2	1.4%	89	61.4%	56	38	3.6%	0 0 0)%	44 30.3%	100	69.0%	5 1	0.7%	78	53.8%	66	45.5%	1	0.7%	145
AROOSTOOK COUN	NTY TOTALS	4803	53.2%	4126	45.7%	107	1.2%	5180	57.3%	3760	41.6%	96	1.1%	6067	67.1%	2864	31	1.7%	5 1.2	2%	3504 38.8%	5391	59.7%	i 141	1.6%	5486	60.7%	3471	38.4%	79	0.9%	9036

							14	OVEILID	er 5, 2015 r	(CICICI	luuiii	Liectic	ni iai	Duiation											Total
			QUES	TION 1:	BOND ISSUE		QUESTION	N 2: BOND I	SSUE		QUES	STION 3: E	BOND IS	SUE		QUE	STION 4:	BOND IS	SUE		QUESTI	ON 5: BOND	ISSUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO		BLANK %	YES % NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO		BLANK %	YES				BLANK %	YES	% NO		BLANK	%	
BALDWIN	1-1	80	48.5%	85	51.5%	0 0.0		93 56.4%	1 06%	6 96		69	41.8%	0 00%	62	37.6%	103	62.4%	0 00%	84	50.9%	81 49.1			165
BR DGTON	1-1	341	56.6%	256	42.5%	6 1.0	6 356 59.0% 24	40 39.8%	7 129	6 440	73.0%	158	26.2%	5 08%	328	54.4%	268	44.4%	7 12%	380	63.0%	218 36.2	% 5	0 8%	603
BRUNSWICK	1-1	2170	65.9%	1073	32.6%	50 1.5	6 2446 74.3% 82	23 25.0%	24 0.7%	6 2640	80.2%	627	19.0%	26 08%	2234	67.8%	1021	31.0%	38 1 2%	2530	76.8%	748 22.7	% 15	0 5%	3293
CAPE ELIZABETH	1-1	1542	61.5%	895	35.7%	69 2.8	6 1896 75.7% 56	36 22.6%	44 18%	6 2059	82.2%	409	16.3%	38 15%	1681	67.1%	772	30.8%	53 2.1%	1995	79.6%	482 19.2	% 29	1 2%	2506
CASCO	1-1	237	57.5%	173	42.0%	2 0.5	6 231 56.1% 18	43.7%	1 0 2%	6 287	69.7%	124	30.1%	1 0 2%	221	53.6%	191	46.4%	0 0 0%	255	61.9%	157 38.1	% 0	0 0%	412
CHEBEAGUE ISLAND	1-1	80	65.0%	43	35.0%	0 0.0	6 93 75.6% 2	29 23.6%	1 0 8%	6 101	82.1%	22	17.9%	0 00%	92	74.8%	31	25.2%	0 0 0%	99	80.5%	24 19.5	% 0	0 0%	123
CUMBERLAND	1-1	818	63.3%	458	35.4%	16 1.2	6 912 70.6% 37		9 0.7%	6 1023		257	19.9%	12 0 9%	851		425	32.9%	16 1 2%	970		315 24.4		0 0 / 0	1292
FALMOUTH	1-1	1152	63.3%	652	35.8%	17 0.9	6 1293 71.0% 5		11 0 6%	6 1439		373	20.5%	9 0 5%	1200		606	33.3%	15 0 8%	1376		439 24.1			1821
FREEPORT	1-1	1405	52.2%	1217	45.2%	71 2.6	6 1702 63.2% 95		37 1.49	6 1956		697	25.9%	40 15%	1489		1146	42.6%	58 2 2%	1789		880 32.7			2693
FRYE ISLAND	1-1	12	52.2%	11	47.8%	0 0.0	6 17 73.9%	6 26.1%	0 00%	6 15		5	21.7%	3 13 0%		56.5%	10	43.5%	0 00%	17		6 26.1			23
GORHAM	1-1	825	53.0%	702	45.1%	30 1.9	6 908 58.3% 62		24 15%			463	29.7%	28 1 8%		49.6%	757	48.6%	28 1 8%	1022		523 33.6			1557
GORHAM GORHAM	2-1 ABS	460 155	53.6%	380	44.2%	19 2.2	6 522 60.8% 32		8 0.9%	6 578 6 194		269 88	31.3%	12 1.4%	427		415	48.3%	17 20%	566		285 33.2 104 36.6			859 284
GORHAM TOT		1440	54.6% 53.3%	128 1210	45.1% 44.8%	1 0.4° 50 1.9°	6 157 55.3% 12 6 1587 58.8% 10 7		2 0.7% 34 1.3%			820	31.0%	2 0.7% 42 16%	140		139 1311	48.9% 48.6%	5 18% 50 19%	178 1766					2700
GRAY	1-1	547	59.1%	376	40.6%	2 0.2	6 512 55.4% 4		2 029			301	32.5%	42 16% 2 02%	1339 465		459	49.6%	1 0.1%	576		912 33.8 37.6		0.6%	925
HARPSWELL	1-1	391	69.3%	163	28.9%	10 1.8	6 432 76.6% 12		3 05%	6 466		95	16.8%	3 05%	417		139	24.6%	8 1.4%	426		134 23.8		0.1%	564
HARPSWELL	2-1	249	66.6%	120	32.1%	5 1.3	6 267 71.4% 10		2 05%	6 301	80.5%	69	18.4%	4 1.1%	262		109	29.1%	3 08%	269		102 27.3			374
HARPSWELL	3-1	137	63.1%	73	33.6%	7 3.2		14 20.3%	3 1.49	6 179		33	15.2%	5 23%	165		52	24.0%	0 00%	171		44 20.3			217
HARPSWELL TO	_	777	67.3%	356	30.8%	22 1.9	6 869 75.2% 2 7		8 0.7%			197	17.1%	12 1 0%	844		300	26.0%	11 1 0%	866		280 24.2			1155
HARRISON	1-1	174	54.0%	143	44.4%	5 1.6	6 173 53.7% 14		4 129			105	32.6%	4 12%		49.1%	160	49.7%	4 12%	193		128 39.8		0.3%	322
LONG ISLAND	1-1	68	73.9%	24	26.1%	0 0.0		23 25.0%	1 1.19	6 79		13	14.1%	0 00%		78.3%	20	21.7%	0 00%	68		24 26.1			92
NAPLES	1-1	243	59.3%	161	39.3%	6 1.5	6 203 49.5% 20		6 15%	6 277		127	31.0%	6 15%	204		201	49.0%	5 12%	229		175 42.7			410
NEW GLOUCESTER	1-1	340	54.4%	284	45.4%	1 0.2	6 333 53.3% 29	90 46.4%	2 0 3%	6 395	63.2%	228	36.5%	2 03%	283	45.3%	340	54.4%	2 03%	377	60.3%	248 39.7	% 0	0 0%	625
NORTH YARMOUTH	1-1	376	62.7%	219	36.5%	5 0.8	6 392 65.3% 20	34.3%	2 03%	6 452	75.3%	146	24.3%	2 03%	368	61.3%	229	38.2%	3 05%	415	69.2%	184 30.7	% 1	0 2%	600
PORTLAND	1-1	834	63.8%	407	31.1%	67 5.1	6 1111 84.9% 16	36 12.7%	31 2.4%	6 1112	85.0%	151	11.5%	45 3.4%	985	75.3%	271	20.7%	52 4 0%	1125	86.0%	157 12.0	% 26	2 0%	1308
PORTLAND	1-2	589	63.3%	301	32.4%	40 4.3	6 762 81.9% 14	15.4%	25 2.7%	6 727	78.2%	164	17.6%	39 4 2%	636	68.4%	258	27.7%	36 3 9%	764	82.2%	140 15.1	% 26	2 8%	930
PORTLAND	1-3	268	63.1%	144	33.9%	13 3.1	6 326 76.7%	90 21.2%	9 2.1%	6 347	81.6%	67	15.8%	11 26%	296	69.6%	114	26.8%	15 3 5%	340	80.0%	74 17.4	% 11	2 6%	425
PORTLAND	2-1	1059	64.1%	534	32.3%	59 3.6	6 1406 85.1% 20		39 2.4%	6 1430	86.6%	176	10.7%	46 28%	1227	74.3%	370	22.4%	55 3 3%	1439	87.1%	182 11.0			1652
PORTLAND	2-2	673	59.8%	400	35.6%	52 4.6		53 13.6%	23 2 0%	6 917		163	14.5%	45 4 0%		69.2%	301	26.8%	46 4.1%	944		158 14.0			1125
PORTLAND	3-1	884	62.1%	477	33.5%	62 4.4	6 1084 76.2% 30		37 26%	6 1160		208	14.6%	55 3 9%	917		443	31.1%	63 4.4%	1145		245 17.2			1423
PORTLAND	3-2	1010	63.4%	546	34.3%	38 2.4	6 1200 75.3% 37		21 1 39	6 1317	82.6%	250	15.7%	27 1.7%	1066		493	30.9%	35 2 2%	1262		309 19.4			1594
PORTLAND	4-1	1102	63.1%	583	33.4%	62 3.5	6 1303 74.6% 40		36 2.19	6 1384		321	18.4%	42 2.4%	1151		546	31.3%	50 2 9%	1374	78.6%	341 19.5			1747
PORTLAND PORTLAND	4-2	605	62.8%	333	34.5%	26 2.7	6 693 71.9% 24		22 23%	6 753		187	19.4%	24 2 5%	595		341	35.4%	28 2 9%	742		203 21.1			964
PORTLAND	5-1 5-2	704 1047	64.8% 63.6%	358 563	33.0% 34.2%	24 2.2° 36 2.2°	6 805 74.1% 27 6 1142 69.4% 48		11 1 09 24 1 59			199 344	18.3% 20.9%	17 1 6% 29 1 8%	706 985		354 627	32.6% 38.1%	26 2.4% 34 2.1%	835 1173		243 22.4 453 27.5			1086 1646
PORTLAND	ABS	854	66.2%	399	30.9%	38 2.9	6 954 73.9% 29		38 299			174	13.5%	33 26%	862		395	30.6%	34 2.1%	1051		214 16.6			1291
PORTLAND TO		9629	63.4%	5045	33.2%	517 3.4	6 11735 77.2% 314		316 2.19			2404	15.8%	413 2.7%	10204		4513	29.7%	474 3.1%	12194		2 719 17.9			15191
POWNAL	1-1	259	42.1%	347	56.4%	9 1.5	6 290 47.2% 3	_	9 15%	6 359		249	40.5%	7 1.1%	246		357	58.0%	12 20%	315		295 48.0			615
RAYMOND	1-1	389	61.5%	243	38.4%	1 0.2	6 376 59.4% 25		2 03%	_		175	27.6%	0 00%	337		295	46.6%	1 02%	405		228 36.0			633
SCARBOROUGH	1-1	2374	58.9%	1572	39.0%	82 2.0	6 2540 63.1% 14		69 1.7%			1009	25.0%	73 18%	2232		1706	42.4%	90 22%	2757		1223 30.4			4028
SEBAGO	1-1	149	60.6%	94	38.2%	3 1.2		95 38.6%	2 08%	6 177		67	27.2%	2 08%	147		96	39.0%	3 12%	157	63.8%	88 35.8		0.4%	246
SOUTH PORTLAND	1-1	949	59.7%	566	35.6%	74 4.7	6 1153 72.6% 38	39 24.5%	47 30%	6 1231	77.5%	286	18.0%	72 45%	979	61.6%	552	34.7%	58 3.7%	1212	76.3%	338 21.3	% 39	2 5%	1589
SOUTH PORTLAND	2-1	868	59.0%	539	36.7%	63 4.3	6 1065 72.4% 36	39 25.1%	36 2.4%	6 1109	75.4%	319	21.7%	42 29%	901	61.3%	516	35.1%	53 36%	1138	77.4%	299 20.3	% 33	2 2%	1470
SOUTH PORTLAND	3-1/4-1	1626	57.7%	1107	39.3%	87 3.1	6 1856 65.8% 90	32.2%	57 20%	6 2048	72.6%	694	24.6%	78 28%	1542	54.7%	1198	42.5%	80 28%	2003	71.0%	770 27.3	% 47	1.7%	2820
SOUTH PORTLAND	5-1	725	63.1%	399	34.7%	25 2.2	6 775 67.4% 34		25 2 2%	6 844	73.5%	276	24.0%	29 2 5%	633	55.1%	488	42.5%	28 2.4%	826	71.9%	305 26.5			1149
SOUTH PORTLAND	ABS	1000	55.5%	720	39.9%	83 4.6	6 1123 62.3% 62	29 34.9%	51 28%	6 1375	76.3%	369	20.5%	59 3 3%	971	53.9%	763	42.3%	69 3 8%	1277	70.8%	485 26.9			1803
SOUTH PORTLAND TO		5168	58.5%	3331	37.7%	332 3.8	6 5972 67.6% 26 4		216 2.4%		74.8%	1944	22.0%	280 3 2%	5026		3517	39.8%	288 3 3%	6456		2197 24.9			8831
STANDISH	1-1	550	56.5%	419	43.1%	4 0.4			4 0.4%		71.0%	280	28.8%	2 0 2%	478		488	50.2%	7 0.7%	605		367 37.7		0.1%	973
WESTBROOK	1-1	372			37.5%	14 2.3		32.8%					28.3%	17 28%		56.0%	249		23 3.7%			176 28.5			618
WESTBROOK	2-1	341	58.8%		39.8%	8 1.4		35.7%				170	29.3%	9 16%		51.4%	272		10 1.7%	385		188 32.4			580
WESTBROOK WESTBROOK	3-1	323	64.3%			5 1.0		37.1%		_			31.5%	5 10%		55.0%	218		8 16%	319		180 35.9			502
WESTBROOK	4-1 5-1	330 596	62.4%		36.7% 34.1%	5 0.9° 27 2.9°		72 32.5% 42 36.2%		_			31.4% 27.1%	6 1.1% 19 2.0%		56.1%	223 420		9 1.7%			171 32.3			529 946
WESTBROOK	ABS	249	63.0% 58.7%			21 5.0		42 36.2% 56 36.8%			70.9% 69.6%		26.2%	18 4 2%		53.1%	184	44.4%	24 2 5% 21 5 0%			289 30.5 135 31.8			424
WESTBROOK TOT		2211	61.4%		36.3%	80 2.2				_			28.8%	74 2.1%		53.8%	1566	43.4%	95 26%			133 31.6 1139 31.6			3599
WINDHAM	1-1/2-1	971	59.2%		39.1%	27 1.6		38 45.0%		_		520	31.7%	26 16%		48.1%	820	50.0%	30 18%	1008		610 37.2			1639
WINDHAM	ABS	194	46.5%		50.1%	14 3.4			13 3.19			157	37.6%	12 29%		37.9%	239	57.3%	20 48%	229		182 43.6			417
WINDHAM TOT		1165	56.7%		41.3%	41 2.0			41 20%	_		677	32.9%	38 18%		46.1%	1059		50 2.4%			792 38.5			2056
YARMOUTH	1-1	922	62.1%			10 0.7							19.1%	7 05%		68.3%	453	30.5%	18 1 2%			353 23.8			1484
																				<u> </u>					
CUMBERLAND COUNTY TO	TALS	34618	60.3%	21397	37.3%	1401 2.4	6 39135 68.2% 173	50 30.2%	931 1.6%	43514	75.8%	12802	22.3%	1100 1.9%	34472	60.0%	21643	37.7%	1301 2.3%	41639	72.5% 1	5050 26.2	% 727	1.3%	57416
•			l.		l.														1						

																													Total
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSUE		QUE	STION 2	: BOND IS				QUES	TION 3:				QUES	STION 4:	BOND IS			Ql	ESTION 5				Votes	
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK		YES	%	NO		BLANK %	YES	,,,	NO		BLANK %	YES	%	NO		BLANK 9		
AVON	1-1	28	45.9%	32	52.5%	1 1.69	6 26	42.6%	35	57.4%	0	0 0%	31	50.8%	30	49.2%	0 0 0%	20	32.8%	40	65.6%	1 1	6%	31 50.8	% 30	49.2%	0	0 0%	61
CARRABASSETT VALLEY	1-1	84	60.4%	55	39.6%	0 0.09	6 96	69.1%	42	30.2%	1	0.7%	109	78.4%	30	21.6%	0 0 0%	90	64.7%	49	35.3%	0 0	0%	06 76.3	% 32	23.0%	1	0.7%	139
CARTHAGE	1-1	37	57.8%	26	40.6%	1 1.69	6 34	53.1%	29	45.3%	1	1 6%	42	65.6%	22	34.4%	0 0 0%	32	50.0%	31	48.4%	1 1	6%	35 54.7	% 29	45.3%	0	0 0%	64
CHESTERV LLE	1-1	119	48.8%	121	49.6%	4 1.69	6 116	47.5%	125	51.2%	3	1 2%	160	65.6%	83	34.0%	1 0.4%	95	38.9%	147	60.2%	2 0	8%	28 52.5	% 115	47.1%	1	0.4%	244
COPLIN PLT	1-1	16	57.1%	12	42.9%	0 0.09	6 15	53.6%	13	46.4%	0	0 0%	19	67.9%	9	32.1%	0 0 0%	13	46.4%	15	53.6%	0 0	0%	16 57.1	% 12	42.9%	0	0 0%	28
DALLAS PLT	1-1	44	54.3%	37	45.7%	0 0.09	6 49	60.5%	32	39.5%	0	0 0%	61	75.3%	19	23.5%	1 1 2%	41	50.6%	40	49.4%	0 0	0%	53 65.4	% 28	34.6%	0	0 0%	81
EUSTIS	1-1	48	56.5%	37	43.5%	0 0.09	6 47	55.3%	38	44.7%	0	0 0%	60	70.6%	23	27.1%	2 2.4%	45	52.9%	40	47.1%	0 0	0%	47 55.3	% 38	44.7%	0	0 0%	85
FARMINGTON	1-1	438	54.6%	358	44.6%	6 0.79	6 487	60.7%	309	38.5%	6	0.7%	595	74.2%	201	25.1%	6 0.7%	440	54.9%	355	44.3%	7 0	9%	64.5	% 283	35.3%	2	0 2%	802
INDUSTRY	1-1	61	46.2%	69	52.3%	2 1.59	6 58	43.9%	74	56.1%	0	0 0%	84	63.6%	48	36.4%	0 0 0%	52	39.4%	80	60.6%	0 0	0%	63 47.7	% 68	51.5%	1	0 8%	132
JAY	1-1	354	59.6%	239	40.2%	1 0.29	6 339	57.1%	254	42.8%	1	0 2%	404	68.0%	186	31.3%	4 0.7%	289	48.7%	304	51.2%	1 0	2%	62.6	% 220	37.0%	2	0 3%	594
KINGFIELD	1-1	95	56.5%	73	43.5%	0 0.09	6 95	56.5%	73	43.5%	0	0 0%	116	69.0%	51	30.4%	1 0 6%	81	48.2%	87	51.8%	0 0	0%	03 61.3	% 65	38.7%	0	0 0%	168
NEW SHARON	1-1	111	48.9%	115	50.7%	1 0.49	6 112	49.3%	114	50.2%	1	0.4%	142	62.6%	84	37.0%	1 0.4%	96	42.3%	130	57.3%	1 0	.4%	20 52.9	% 107	47.1%	0	0 0%	227
NEW V NEYARD	1-1	40	39.6%	60	59.4%	1 1.09	6 33	32.7%	68	67.3%	0	0 0%	55	54.5%	46	45.5%	0 0 0%	32	31.7%	69	68.3%	0 0	0%	40 39.6	% 61	60.4%	0	0 0%	101
PH LL PS	1-1	79	45.9%	92	53.5%	1 0.69	6 80	46.5%	92	53.5%	0	0 0%	101	58.7%	70	40.7%	1 06%	64	37.2%	107	62.2%	1 0	6%	80 46.5	% 91	52.9%	1	0 6%	172
RANGELEY	1-1	102	61.8%	63	38.2%	0 0.09	6 98	59.4%	67	40.6%	0	0 0%	121	73.3%	42	25.5%	2 1 2%	77	46.7%	88	53.3%	0 0	0%	01 61.2	% 64	38.8%	0	0 0%	165
RANGELEY PLT	1-1	29	53.7%	25	46.3%	0 0.09	6 24	44.4%	29	53.7%	1	1 9%	34	63.0%	20	37.0%	0 0 0%	21	38.9%	33	61.1%	0 0	0%	30 55.6	% 24	44.4%	0	0 0%	54
SANDY RIVER PLT	1-1	24	72.7%	9	27.3%	0 0.09	6 18	54.5%	15	45.5%	0	0 0%	26	78.8%	7	21.2%	0 0 0%	17	51.5%	15	45.5%	1 3	0%	17 51.5	% 16	48.5%	0	0 0%	33
STRONG	1-1	80	46.0%	94	54.0%	0 0.09	66	37.9%	108	62.1%	0	0 0%	97	55.7%	77	44.3%	0 0 0%	57	32.8%	116	66.7%	1 0	6%	78 44.8	% 96	55.2%	0	0 0%	174
TEMPLE	1-1	63	62.4%	38	37.6%	0 0.0	6 61	60.4%	40	39.6%	0	0 0%	72	71.3%	29	28.7%	0 0 0%	56	55.4%	42	41.6%	3 3	0%	64 63.4	% 37	36.6%	0	0 0%	101
WELD	1-1	57	60.0%	38	40.0%	0 0.0	6 52	54.7%	43	45.3%	0	0 0%	66	69.5%	28	29.5%	1 1.1%	50	52.6%	45	47.4%	0 0	0%	58 61.1	% 37	38.9%	0	0 0%	95
WILTON	1-1	306	51.3%	288	48.2%	3 0.59	6 303	50.8%	293	49.1%	1	0 2%	379	63.5%	216	36.2%	2 0 3%	262	43.9%	332	55.6%	3 0	5%	328 54.9	% 268	44.9%	1	0 2%	597
FRANKLIN COUNTY T	OTALS	2215	53.8%	1881	45.7%	21 0.59	6 2209	53.7%	1893	46.0%	15	0.4%	2774	67.4%	1321	32.1%	22 0.5%	1930	46.9%	2165	52.6%	22 0	.5% 2	58.0	% 1721	41.8%	9	0.2%	4117

												. 0, 2020 .	TCTCTCT			ion rabula													Total
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSU	E			QUEST	ΓΙΟΝ 2:	BOND IS	SUE		QUES	STION 3	: BOND ISSUE		QUES	STION 4:	BOND ISS	UE			QUES	TION 5:	BOND ISS	UE.		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	6 N	0	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO	% BLAN	K %	YES %	NO	% E	LANK	%	YES	% 1	NO S	% В	LANK %	o o	
AMHERST	1-1	31	53.4%	27	46.6%	0	0.0%	28	48.3%	29	50.0%	1 1.79	6 39	67.2%	19	32.8%	0 0 0	% 27 46.6%	31	53.4%	0	0 0%	32	55.2%	26	44.8%	0	0 0%	58
AURORA	1-1	14	50.0%	14	50.0%	0	0.0%	9	32.1%	19	67.9%	0 0 0%	6 14	50.0%	13	46.4%	1 369	% 8 28.6%	20	71.4%	0	0 0%	12	42.9%	16	57.1%	0	0 0%	28
BAR HARBOR	1-1	642	57.3%	457	40.8%	21	1.9%	789	70.4%	319	28.5%	12 1.19	6 941	84.0%	159	14.2%	20 189	% 677 60.4%	419	37.4%	24	2.1%	837	74.7%	275	24.6%	8	0.7%	1120
BLUE HILL	1-1	273	50.6%	261	48.3%	6	1.1%	368	68.1%	171	31.7%	1 0 2%	6 421	78.0%	116	21.5%	3 069	% 348 64.4%	190	35.2%	2	0.4%	406	75.2%	131	24.3%	3	0 6%	540
BROOKLIN	1-1	90	52.3%	81	47.1%	1	0.6%	112	65.1%	59	34.3%	1 06%	6 125	72.7%	47	27.3%	0 0 0 9	% 104 60.5%	67	39.0%	1	0 6%	122	70.9%	50	29.1%	0	0 0%	172
BROOKSVILLE	1-1	124	53.2%	104	44.6%	5	2.1%	153	65.7%	76	32.6%	4 1.79	6 179	76.8%	52	22.3%	2 0 99	% 143 61.4%	88	37.8%	2	0 9%	171	73.4%	61	26.2%	1	0.4%	233
BUCKSPORT	1-1	460	56.6%	343	42.2%	10	1.2%	414	50.9%	387	47.6%	12 1 5%	6 577	71.0%	227	27.9%	9 1.19	% 425 52.3%	378	46.5%	10	1 2%	505	62.1%	303	37.3%	5	0 6%	813
CASTINE	1-1	213	65.3%	107	32.8%	6	1.8%	222	68.1%	99	30.4%	5 15%	6 270	82.8%	51	15.6%	5 159	% 278 85.3%	46	14.1%	2	0 6%	237	72.7%	85	26.1%	4	1 2%	326
CRANBERRY ISLES	1-1	15	46.9%	16	50.0%	1	3.1%	24	75.0%	7	21.9%	1 3.19	6 25	78.1%	6	18.8%	1 3.19		11	34.4%	0	0 0%	21	65.6%	10	31.3%	1	3.1%	32
CRANBERRY ISLES	2-1	16	44.4%	18	50.0%	2	5.6%	24	66.7%	11	30.6%	1 289	6 30	83.3%	6	16.7%	0 0 0	% 20 55.6%	15	41.7%	1	2 8%	28	77.8%	8	22.2%	0	0 0%	36
CRANBERRY ISLES TOTALS	S	31	45.6%	34		3	4.4%		70.6%	18	26.5%	2 2.9%	6 55		12	17.6%	1 1.59		26	38.2%	1	1.5%	49	72.1%	18	26.5%	1	1.5%	68
DEDHAM	1-1	141	54.7%	115		2	0.8%		49.2%	130	50.4%	1 0.49	6 172		85	32.9%	1 0.49		129	50.0%	2		143	55.4%	114	44.2%	1	0.4%	258
DEER ISLE	1-1	203	59.2%	136	39.7%	4	1.2%	237		104	30.3%	2 0 69	6 277	80.8%			3 0 9		117	34.1%		1 5%	256	74.6%	85	24.8%	2	0 6%	343
EASTBROOK	1-1	30	42.9%	39	55.7%	1	1.4%		40.0%	42	60.0%	0 0 0 0 9	6 38				1 1.49		46	65.7%	0		34	48.6%	36	51.4%	0	0 0%	70
ELLSWORTH	1-1	425	53.9%	336	42.6%	27	3.4%		54.9%	330	41.9%	25 3 29	6 523	66.4%			20 2 59		365	46.3%		3 0%	454	57.6%	312	39.6%	22	2 8%	788
ELLSWORTH	2-1	153	47.8%	158	49.4%	9	2.8%		50.0%	155	48.4%	5 169	6 194			-	7 229		186	58.1%		1 6%	174	54.4%	143	44.7%	3	0 9%	320
ELLSWORTH	3-1	162	48.2%	166	49.4%	8	2.4%		45.8%	175	52.1%	7 2.19	6 218			-	8 2.49		190	56.5%		2.1%	181	53.9%	150	44.6%	5	1 5%	336
ELLSWORTH	4-1	337	48.4%		48.2%	24	3.4%		52.2%	311	44.6%	22 3 29	6 439				24 3.49		360	51.6%		3 2%	396	56.8%	279	40.0%	22	3 2%	697
ELLSWORTH TOTALS		1077	50.3%		46.5%	68	3.2%	1111		971	45.4%	59 2.8%	6 1374				59 2.89		1101	51.4%	58		1205	56.3%	884	41.3%	52	2.4%	2141
FRANKLIN	1-1	107	50.5%	101	47.6%	4	1.9%		49.1%	104	49.1%	4 199	6 134				5 2.49		132	62.3%		0.9%	122	57.5%	89	42.0%	1	0.5%	212
FRENCHBORO	1-1	107	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%		92.3%	104	7.7%	0 0 0 0 9	6 12		. 1	7.7%	0 0 0 0		102	7.7%	1	7.7%	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	- 1	0 0%	13
GOULDSBORO	1-1	173	51.6%	159		3	0.0%		54.9%	148	44.2%	3 099	6 247	73.7%	86	25.7%	2 069		183	54.6%	2	0.6%	204	60.9%	129	38.5%	2	0 6%	335
GREAT POND	1-1	173	60.0%	109	40.0%	0	0.0%		26.7%	10	66.7%	1 6.79	6 10		5	33.3%	0 00		103	60.0%	0	0.0%	204	53.3%	7	46.7%		0 0%	15
HANCOCK	1-1	367	52.1%	316		22	3.1%	372		319	45.2%	14 2 09	6 467	66.2%	224		14 2 09		372	52.8%	Ū	1 8%	411	58.3%	285	40.7%	- 0	1 3%	705
LAMOINE	1-1	321	54.2%	264	44.6%	7	1.2%	340		241	40.7%	11 199	6 422		160		10 1.79		285	48.1%	10		366	61.8%	219	37.0%	7	1 2%	592
MARIAVILLE	1-1	37	45.7%	44	54.3%	0	0.0%		43.2%	46	56.8%	0 00%	6 51	63.0%	30		0 0 0 0		51	63.0%		0.0%	37	45.7%	44	54.3%	0	0 0%	81
MOUNT DESERT	1-1	229	59.0%	154	39.7%	5	1.3%		74.2%	97	25.0%	3 089	6 318		68	17.5%	2 0.59		116	29.9%		1 5%	299	77.1%	87	22.4%	- 0	0.5%	388
ORLAND	1-1	351	50.1%	337	48.1%	13	1.9%	360		332	47.4%	9 139	6 483		208	_	10 1.49		367	52.4%		1 3%	414	59.1%	280	39.9%	7	1 0%	701
OSBORN	1-1	331	31.8%	15		13	0.0%		27.3%		72.7%	0 0 0 0 9	403	31.8%	15		0 0 0		18	81.8%	0		414	27.3%	16	72.7%	0	0 0%	22
OTIS	1-1	62	48.4%	64		0	1.6%		38.3%	16 78	60.9%	1 089	6 73		54		1 0.89		77	60.2%		0.0%	67	52.3%	60	46.9%		0.8%	128
PENOBSCOT	1-1	132	49.4%	131	49.1%	4	1.5%		56.9%	111	41.6%	4 159	6 191	71.5%	75		1 0.49		112	41.9%	1	0.4%	170	63.7%	95	35.6%	- 1	0.7%	267
SEDGWICK	1-1	107	52.5%	96	49.1%	4	0.5%	132		71	34.8%	1 05%			52		2 109		88	43.1%	1	1 0%	142	69.6%	62	30.4%	0	0.7%	204
SORRENTO	1-1	50		33		1			63.9%			1 1 29	6 150				0 009		38	45.1%				66.3%	28		- 0	0 0%	
SOUTHWEST HARBOR	1-1	208	60.2% 57.3%	147	40.5%	0	0.0% 2.2%	53 236		29 124	34.9% 34.2%	3 089	6 62 6 294		21		5 1.49		153	42.1%	- 1	1 2% 1.4%	55 251		108	33.7% 29.8%	- 4	1.1%	83 363
						8									64	_								69.1%			- 4		
STON NGTON	1-1	89	62.2%	51	35.7%	3	2.1%		72.0%	40	28.0%	0 00,	6 128		15		0 0 0		47	32.9%	2	1.4%	111	77.6%	32	22.4%	- 0	0 0%	143
SULLIVAN	1-1	110	53.1%	96	46.4%	1	0.5%	105		102	49.3%	0 00%	6 143		62		2 109		123	59.4%	0	0 0%	119		87	42.0%	1	0 5%	207
SURRY	1-1	189	55.4%	148	43.4%	4	1.2%	209		127	37.2%	5 15%	6 256		82	24.0%	3 0 99		136	39.9%	4		231	67.7%	108	31.7%		0 6%	341
SWANS ISLAND	1-1	33	47.1%	35	50.0%	2	2.9%		51.4%	32	45.7%	2 2 9 9	6 53				0 0 0		33	47.1%	1	1.4%	42	60.0%	27	38.6%	1	1.4%	70
TREMONT	1-1	131	58.7%	89	39.9%	3	1.3%	148		73	32.7%	2 0 9%	6 174		49	22.0%	0 0 0		94	42.2%	2		154	69.1%	69	30.9%	0	0 0%	223
TRENTON	1-1	117	52.5%	105		1	0.4%		52.5%	105	47.1%	1 0.49	6 157				2 0.99		106	47.5%	0	0 0%	132	59.2%	90	40.4%	1	0.4%	223
VERONA ISLAND	1-1	47	49.0%	49		0	0.0%		40.6%	56	58.3%	1 109	65				0 0 0		60	62.5%		1 0%	49	51.0%	47	49.0%	0	0 0%	96
WALTHAM	1-1	23	37.7%	38	62.3%	0	0.0%		32.8%	41	67.2%	0 0 0 9	6 35			42.6%	0 0 0		42	68.9%	0		26	42.6%	34	55.7%	1	1 6%	61
WINTER HARBOR	1-1	57	54.3%	47	44.8%	1	1.0%	59	56.2%	45	42.9%	1 109	6 79	75.2%	24	22.9%	2 199	% 46 43.8%	57	54.3%	2	1 9%	65	61.9%	40	38.1%	0	0 0%	105
HANCOCK COUNTY TOTALS	S	6295	53.6%	5242	44.6%	211	1.8%	6809	58.0%	4772	40.6%	167 1.4%	8493	72.3%	3089	26.3% 1	66 1.49	% 6219 52.9%	5358	45.6%	171	1.5%	7501	63.8%	4129	35.1%	118	1.0%	11748

																															Total
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSU	E			QUES [*]	TION 2	: BOND IS	SUE			QUES	TION 3:	BOND IS	SUE		QUE	STION 4:	BOND ISS	UE			QUES'	TION 5:	BOND ISS	SUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	6 N	10	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	% Y	YES %	NO	% В	LANK	%	YES	% N	10 %	% E	BLANK %	, 0	
ALBION	1-1	127	43.9%	161	55.7%	1	0.3%	120	41.5%	169	58.5%	0	0 0%	146	50.5%	142	49.1%	1	0 3%	102 35.3%	187	64.7%	0	0 0%	133	46.0%	156	54.0%	0	0 0%	289
AUGUSTA	1-1	373	64.6%	199	34.5%	5	0.9%	377	65.3%	189	32.8%	11	1 9%	457	79.2%	114	19.8%	6	1 0%	326 56.5%	236	40.9%	15	2 6%	395	68.5%	174	30.2%	8	1.4%	577
AUGUSTA	2-1	422	63.0%	238	35.5%	10	1.5%	426	63.6%	235	35.1%	9	1 3%	524	78.2%	137	20.4%	9	1 3%	370 55.2%	286	42.7%	14	2.1%	466	69.6%	196	29.3%	8	1 2%	670
AUGUSTA	3-1	380	67.3%	178	31.5%	7	1.2%	349	61.8%	209	37.0%	7	1 2%	418	74.0%	140	24.8%	7	1 2%	299 52.9%	259	45.8%	7	1 2%	364	64.4%	196	34.7%	5	0 9%	565
AUGUSTA	4-1	418	63.4%	230	34.9%	11	1.7%	420	63.7%	233	35.4%	6	0 9%	489	74.2%	159	24.1%	11	1.7%	343 52.0%	301	45.7%	15	2 3%	436	66.2%	214	32.5%	9	1.4%	659
AUGUSTA	ABS	436	66.1%	204	30.9%	20	3.0%	434	65.8%	203	30.8%	23	3 5%	524	79.4%	121	18.3%	15	2 3%	366 55.5%	267	40.5%	27	4.1%	466	70.6%	182	27.6%	12	1 8%	660
AUGUS	STA TOTALS	2029	64.8%	1049	33.5%	53	1.7%	2006	64.1%	1069	34.1%	56	1.8%	2412	77.0%	671	21.4%	48	1.5%	1704 54.4%	1349	43.1%	78	2.5%	2127	67.9%	962	30.7%	42	1.3%	3131
BELGRADE	1-1	258	61.7%	159	38.0%	1	0.2%	242	57.9%	172	41.1%	4	1 0%	313	74.9%	103	24.6%	2	0 5%	228 54.5%	188	45.0%	2	0 5%	267	63.9%	149	35.6%	2	0 5%	418
BENTON	1-1	204	57.3%	149	41.9%	3	0.8%	184	51.7%	169	47.5%	3	0 8%	230	64.6%	121	34.0%	5	1.4%	157 44.1%	196	55.1%	3	0 8%	205	57.6%	148	41.6%	3	0 8%	356
CHELSEA	1-1	154	56.4%	118	43.2%	1	0.4%	128	46.9%	144	52.7%	1	0.4%	185	67.8%	88	32.2%	0	0 0%	108 39.6%	165	60.4%	0	0 0%	152	55.7%	121	44.3%	0	0 0%	273
CHINA	1-1	748	56.4%	554	41.7%	25	1.9%	679	51.2%	620	46.7%	28	2.1%	874	65.9%	429	32.3%	24	1 8%	576 43.4%	721	54.3%	30	2 3%	774	58.3%	536	40.4%	17	1 3%	1327
CLINTON	1-1	235	63.2%	135	36.3%	2	0.5%	210	56.5%	161	43.3%	1	0 3%	267	71.8%	104	28.0%	1	0 3%	181 48.7%	190	51.1%	1	0 3%	221	59.4%	150	40.3%	1	0 3%	372
FARMINGDALE	1-1	249	56.3%	190	43.0%	3	0.7%	266	60.2%	172	38.9%	4	0 9%	310	70.1%	125	28.3%	7	1 6%	228 51.6%	208	47.1%	6	1.4%	285	64.5%	153	34.6%	4	0 9%	442
FAYETTE	1-1	158	54.9%	127	44.1%	3	1.0%	165	57.3%	121	42.0%	2	0.7%	187	64.9%	97	33.7%	4	1.4%	132 45.8%	150	52.1%	6	2.1%	177	61.5%	108	37.5%	3	1 0%	288
GARDINER	1-1	494	63.8%	265	34.2%	15	1.9%	475	61.4%	282	36.4%	17	2 2%	553	71.4%	206	26.6%	15	1 9%	422 54.5%	337	43.5%	15	1 9%	498	64.3%	267	34.5%	9	1 2%	774
HALLOWELL	1-1	558	65.6%	277	32.6%	15	1.8%	633	74.5%	205	24.1%	12	1.4%	668	78.6%	165	19.4%	17	2 0%	535 62.9%	301	35.4%	14	1 6%	636	74.8%	201	23.6%	13	1 5%	850
LITCHFIELD	1-1	270	59.1%	185	40.5%	2	0.4%	220	48.1%	237	51.9%	0	0 0%	293	64.1%	162	35.4%	2	0.4%	207 45.3%	249	54.5%	1	0 2%	253	55.4%	204	44.6%	0	0 0%	457
MANCHESTER	1-1	304	61.9%	185	37.7%	2	0.4%	326	66.4%	163	33.2%	2	0.4%	383	78.0%	105	21.4%	3	0 6%	279 56.8%	210	42.8%	2	0.4%	335	68.2%	155	31.6%	1	0 2%	491
MONMOUTH	1-1	349	64.3%	190	35.0%	4	0.7%	341	62.8%	195	35.9%	7	1 3%	387	71.3%	150	27.6%	6	1.1%	308 56.7%	229	42.2%	6	1.1%	371	68.3%	166	30.6%	6	1.1%	543
MOUNT VERNON	1-1	135	60.3%	87	38.8%	2	0.9%	141	62.9%	80	35.7%	3	1 3%	164	73.2%	58	25.9%	2	0 9%	121 54.0%	102	45.5%	1	0.4%	143	63.8%	79	35.3%	2	0 9%	224
OAKLAND	1-1	508	56.5%	381	42.4%	10	1.1%	517	57.5%	374	41.6%	8	0 9%	617	68.6%	273	30.4%	9	1 0%	436 48.5%	451	50.2%	12	1 3%	550	61.2%	340	37.8%	9	1 0%	899
PITTSTON	1-1	210	62.7%	124	37.0%	1	0.3%	194	57.9%	139	41.5%	2	0 6%	240	71.6%	95	28.4%	0	0 0%	191 57.0%	141	42.1%	3	0 9%	202	60.3%	132	39.4%	1	0 3%	335
RANDOLPH	1-1	215	66.8%	103	32.0%	4	1.2%	188	58.4%	129	40.1%	5	16%	233	72.4%	79	24.5%	10	3.1%	159 49.4%	157	48.8%	6	1 9%	206	64.0%	113	35.1%	3	0 9%	322
READFIELD	1-1	283	65.7%	144	33.4%	4	0.9%	285	66.1%	143	33.2%	3	0.7%	330	76.6%	98	22.7%	3	0.7%	253 58.7%	174	40.4%	4	0 9%	303	70.3%	126	29.2%	2	0 5%	431
ROME	1-1	74	58.7%	51	40.5%	1	0.8%	76	60.3%	50	39.7%	0	0 0%	90	71.4%	36	28.6%	0	0 0%	67 53.2%	58	46.0%	1	0 8%	79	62.7%	47	37.3%	0	0 0%	126
SIDNEY	1-1	304	56.6%	230	42.8%	3	0.6%	298	55.5%	238	44.3%	1	0 2%	361	67.2%	172	32.0%	4	0.7%	250 46.6%	284	52.9%	3	0 6%	320	59.6%	214	39.9%	3	0 6%	537
VASSALBORO	1-1	347	59.5%	232	39.8%	4	0.7%	331	56.8%	251	43.1%	1	0 2%	418	71.7%	164	28.1%	1	0 2%	304 52.1%	273	46.8%	6	1 0%	363	62.3%	217	37.2%	3	0 5%	583
VIENNA	1-1	55	47.8%	59	51.3%	1	0.9%	69	60.0%	45	39.1%	1	0 9%	77	67.0%	37	32.2%	1	0 9%	57 49.6%	56	48.7%	2	1.7%	74	64.3%	39	33.9%	2	1.7%	115
WATERVILLE	1-1	1062	68.5%	459	29.6%	29	1.9%	1100	71.0%	429	27.7%	21	1.4%	1228	79.2%	303	19.5%	19	1 2%	958 61.8%	561	36.2%	31	2 0%	1168	75.4%	361	23.3%	21	1.4%	1550
WAYNE	1-1	190	68.3%	85	30.6%	3	1.1%	196	70.5%	81	29.1%	1	0.4%	218	78.4%	58	20.9%	2	0.7%	188 67.6%	87	31.3%	3	1.1%	211	75.9%	64	23.0%	3	1.1%	278
WEST GARDINER	1-1	284	60.6%	183	39.0%	2	0.4%	244	52.0%	223	47.5%	2	0.4%	307	65.5%	158	33.7%	4	0 9%	212 45.2%	253	53.9%	4	0 9%	267	56.9%	200	42.6%	2	0.4%	469
WINDSOR	1-1	167	58.2%	118	41.1%	2	0.7%	138	48.1%	148	51.6%	1	0 3%	184	64.1%	103	35.9%	0	0 0%	134 46.7%	153	53.3%	0	0 0%	173	60.3%	114	39.7%	0	0 0%	287
WINSLOW	1-1	596	62.5%	346	36.3%	12	1.3%	557	58.4%	381	39.9%	16	1.7%	673	70.5%	272	28.5%	9	0 9%	521 54.6%	416	43.6%	17	1 8%	629	65.9%	312	32.7%	13	1.4%	954
WINTHROP	1-1	649	64.4%	351	34.9%	7	0.7%	633	62.9%	365	36.2%	9	0 9%	771	76.6%	227	22.5%	9	0 9%	571 56.7%	424	42.1%	12	1 2%	695	69.0%	308	30.6%	4	0.4%	1007
KENNEBEC COUN	NTY TOTALS	11216	61.9%	6697	36.9%	215	1.2%	10962	60.5%	6955	38.4%	211	1.2%	13119	72.4%	4801	26.5%	208	1.1%	9589 52.9%	8270	45.6%	269	1.5%	11817	65.2%	6142	33.9%	169	0.9%	18128

																														Total
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSU		QUE	STION	2: BOND	ISSUE			QUES	STION 3:	BOND IS	SUE		QUE	STION 4:	BOND ISS	SUE			QUESTI	ON 5: BO	ND ISSU	E		Votes	
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	ОИ	%	BLANK %	YE	S '	% NO	%	BL	ANK %		
APPLETON	1-1	128	56.1%	97	42.5%	3	1.3%	128 56.1%	96	42.19	6 4	1 8%	166	72.8%	60	26.3%	2 0 9%	131	57.5%	96	42.1%	1 ().4%	138	60.5%	87 38	8.2%	3	1 3%	228
CAMDEN	1-1	855	62.2%	476	34.6%	43	3.1%	1068 77.7%	275	20.0%	31	2 3%	1164	84.7%	175	12.7%	35 2 5%	968	70.5%	366	26.6%	40 2	2 9%	1093	79.5%	254 18	8.5%	27	2 0%	1374
CUSHING	1-1	191	52.9%	166	46.0%	4	1.1%	204 56.5%	152	42.19	5	1.4%	255	70.6%	97	26.9%	9 25%	164	45.4%	186	51.5%	11 3	3 0%	191	52.9%	163 45	5.2%	7	1 9%	361
FRIENDSHIP	1-1	107	58.8%	73	40.1%	2	1.1%	101 55.5%	79	43.4%	6 2	1.1%	134	73.6%	45	24.7%	3 16%	103	56.6%	77	42.3%	2 1	1.1%	112	61.5%	69 37	7.9%	1	0 5%	182
HOPE	1-1	129	53.8%	111	46.3%	0	0.0%	148 61.7%	91	37.9%	ъ́ 1	0.4%	172	71.7%	68	28.3%	0 0 0%	131	54.6%	109	45.4%	0 (0%	152	63.3%	86 35	5.8%	2	0 8%	240
ISLE AU HAUT	1-1	18	75.0%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	19 79.2%	5	20.8%	6 0	0 0%	22	91.7%	2	8.3%	0 0 0%	19	79.2%	5	20.8%	0 (0%	22	91.7%	2 8	8.3%	0	0 0%	24
MATINICUS ISLE PLT	1-1	7	41.2%	10	58.8%	0	0.0%	11 64.7%	6	35.3%	6 0	0 0%	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0 0 0%	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	0 (0%	13	76.5%	4 23	3.5%	0	0 0%	17
NORTH HAVEN	1-1	55	65.5%	26	31.0%	3	3.6%	68 81.0%	16	19.0%	6 0	0 0%	74	88.1%	9	10.7%	1 1 2%	65	77.4%	17	20.2%	2 2	2.4%	70	83.3%	13 15	5.5%	1	1 2%	84
OWLS HEAD	1-1	146	54.3%	121	45.0%	2	0.7%	167 62.1%	100	37.2%	6 2	0.7%	204	75.8%	63	23.4%	2 0.7%	157	58.4%	109	40.5%	3 1	1.1%	178	66.2%	91 33	3.8%	0	0 0%	269
ROCKLAND	1-1	589	49.5%	568	47.7%	34	2.9%	695 58.4%	469	39.4%	5 27	2 3%	879	73.8%	294	24.7%	18 1 5%	618	51.9%	546	45.8%	27 2	2 3%	747	62.7%	425 35	5.7%	19	1 6%	1191
ROCKPORT	1-1	361	60.1%	234	38.9%	6	1.0%	418 69.6%	179	29.8%	6 4	0.7%	498	82.9%	98	16.3%	5 0 8%	375	62.4%	213	35.4%	13 2	2 2%	443	73.7%	150 25	5.0%	8	1 3%	601
SAINT GEORGE	1-1	263	58.7%	179	40.0%	6	1.3%	317 70.8%	127	28.3%	6 4	0 9%	344	76.8%	99	22.1%	5 1.1%	302	67.4%	141	31.5%	5 1	1.1%	324	72.3%	122 27	7.2%	2	0.4%	448
SOUTH THOMASTON	1-1	123	54.9%	99	44.2%	2	0.9%	137 61.2%	86	38.4%	ó 1	0.4%	164	73.2%	58	25.9%	2 0 9%	122	2 54.5%	99	44.2%	3 1	1 3%	141	62.9%	82 36	6.6%	1	0.4%	224
THOMASTON	1-1	201	64.0%	112	35.7%	1	0.3%	211 67.2%	102	32.5%	ó 1	0 3%	260	82.8%	53	16.9%	1 03%	186	59.2%	125	39.8%	3 1	1 0%	210	66.9%	103 32	2.8%	1	0 3%	314
UNION	1-1	179	52.5%	158	46.3%	4	1.2%	179 52.5%	160	46.9%	6 2	0 6%	233	68.3%	106	31.1%	2 0 6%	154	45.2%	183	53.7%	4 1	1 2%	196	57.5%	143 41	1.9%	2	0 6%	341
VINALHAVEN	1-1	124	67.8%	57	31.1%	2	1.1%	136 74.3%	45	24.6%	6 2	1.1%	159	86.9%	23	12.6%	1 0 5%	131	71.6%	51	27.9%	1 (5%	134	73.2%	47 25	5.7%	2	1.1%	183
WARREN	1-1	192	49.1%	194	49.6%	5	1.3%	180 46.0%	206	52.7%	5	1 3%	245	62.7%	144	36.8%	2 0 5%	170	43.5%	217	55.5%	4 1	1 0%	214	54.7%	175 44	4.8%	2	0 5%	391
WASHINGTON	1-1	141	61.6%	87	38.0%	1	0.4%	137 59.8%	91	39.7%	b 1	0.4%	164	71.6%	64	27.9%	1 0.4%	125	54.6%	101	44.1%	3 1	1 3%	139	60.7%	86 37	7.6%	4	1.7%	229
KNOX COUNTY	TOTALS	3809	56.8%	2773	41.4%	119	1.8%	4324 64.5%	2285	34.1%	92	1.4%	5153	76.9%	1459	21.8%	89 1.3%	3931	58.7%	2648	39.5%	122 1	1.8%	4517	67.4%	2102 31	1.4%	82	1.2%	6701

												-																			Total
			QUES	STION 1:	: BOND ISSU	E			QUES	STION 2:	BOND I	SSUE			QUES	TION 3:	BOND IS	SUE		QU	ESTION 4	: BOND IS	SUE			QUES	TION 5:	BOND IS	SUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	% 1	1O 9	6 E	BLANK %		
ALNA	1-1	82	54.7%	67	44.7%	1	0.7%	100	66.7%	50	33.3%	0	0 0%	111	74.0%	38	25.3%	1	0.7%	87 58.0%	62	41.3%	1	0.7%	107	71.3%	43	28.7%	0 (0 0%	150
BOOTHBAY	1-1	657	57.0%	481	41.8%	14	1.2%	734	63.7%	406	35.2%	12	1 0%	837	72.7%	305	26.5%	10	0 9%	672 58.3%	470	40.8%	10	0 9%	743	64.5%	398	34.5%	11	1 0%	1152
BOOTHBAY HARBOR	1-1	288	61.4%	171	36.5%	10	2.1%	315	67.2%	150	32.0%	4	0 9%	355	75.7%	109	23.2%	5	1.1%	289 61.6%	175	37.3%	5	1.1%	323	68.9%	139	29.6%	7	1 5%	469
BREMEN	1-1	86	57.3%	63	42.0%	1	0.7%	93	62.0%	55	36.7%	2	1 3%	112	74.7%	37	24.7%	1	0.7%	90 60.0%	60	40.0%	0	0 0%	99	66.0%	51	34.0%	0 (0 0%	150
BRISTOL	1-1	365	65.8%	182	32.8%	8	1.4%	403	72.6%	148	26.7%	4	0.7%	461	83.1%	90	16.2%	4	0.7%	387 69.7%	162	29.2%	6	1.1%	412	74.2%	137	24.7%	6	1.1%	555
DAMARISCOTTA	1-1	235	65.1%	119	33.0%	7	1.9%	260	72.0%	95	26.3%	6	1.7%	301	83.4%	53	14.7%	7	1 9%	264 73.1%	92	25.5%	5	1.4%	270	74.8%	85	23.5%	6	1.7%	361
DRESDEN	1-1	163	57.2%	119	41.8%	3	1.1%	156	54.7%	127	44.6%	2	0.7%	191	67.0%	93	32.6%	1	0.4%	148 51.9%	136	47.7%	1	0.4%	164	57.5%	119	41.8%	2 (0.7%	285
EDGECOMB	1-1	98	51.3%	92	48.2%	1	0.5%	122	63.9%	69	36.1%	0	0 0%	135	70.7%	56	29.3%	0	0 0%	103 53.9%	87	45.5%	1	0 5%	123	64.4%	67	35.1%	1 (0 5%	191
JEFFERSON	1-1	229	58.4%	161	41.1%	2	0.5%	230	58.7%	158	40.3%	4	1 0%	270	68.9%	119	30.4%	3	0 8%	202 51.5%	186	47.4%	4	1 0%	248	63.3%	143	36.5%	1 (0 3%	392
MONHEGAN ISLAND PLT	1-1	16	53.3%	14	46.7%	0	0.0%	27	90.0%	3	10.0%	0	0 0%	29	96.7%	1	3.3%	0	0 0%	23 76.7%	7	23.3%	0	0 0%	26	86.7%	4	13.3%	0 (0 0%	30
NEWCASTLE	1-1	222	62.4%	132	37.1%	2	0.6%	246	69.1%	108	30.3%	2	0 6%	287	80.6%	67	18.8%	2	0 6%	243 68.3%	109	30.6%	4	1.1%	267	75.0%	89	25.0%	0 (0 0%	356
NOBLEBORO	1-1	158	53.6%	136	46.1%	1	0.3%	171	58.0%	121	41.0%	3	1 0%	205	69.5%	89	30.2%	1	0 3%	164 55.6%	128	43.4%	3	1 0%	187	63.4%	105	35.6%	3	1 0%	295
SOMERVILLE	1-1	34	50.0%	28	41.2%	6	8.8%	27	39.7%	35	51.5%	6	8 8%	33	48.5%	29	42.6%	6	8 8%	27 39.7%	37	54.4%	4	5 9%	29	42.6%	33	48.5%	6 8	8 8%	68
SOUTH BRISTOL	1-1	101	62.3%	61	37.7%	0	0.0%	107	66.0%	55	34.0%	0	0 0%	130	80.2%	31	19.1%	1	0 6%	112 69.1%	48	29.6%	2	1 2%	118	72.8%	44	27.2%	0 (0 0%	162
SOUTHPORT	1-1	106	63.5%	57	34.1%	4	2.4%	112	67.1%	53	31.7%	2	1 2%	138	82.6%	25	15.0%	4	2.4%	113 67.7%	51	30.5%	3	1 8%	126	75.4%	38	22.8%	3	1 8%	167
WALDOBORO	1-1	479	57.8%	338	40.8%	12	1.4%	463	55.9%	360	43.4%	6	0.7%	586	70.7%	236	28.5%	7	0 8%	435 52.5%	383	46.2%	11	1 3%	492	59.3%	333	40.2%	4 (0 5%	829
WESTPORT ISLAND	1-1	112	63.3%	65	36.7%	0	0.0%	114	64.4%	63	35.6%	0	0 0%	135	76.3%	42	23.7%	0	0 0%	119 67.2%	58	32.8%	0	0 0%	116	65.5%	61	34.5%	0 (0 0%	177
WHITEFIELD	1-1	181	57.3%	134	42.4%	1	0.3%	168	53.2%	146	46.2%	2	0 6%	214	67.7%	99	31.3%	3	0 9%	149 47.2%	164	51.9%	3	0 9%	184	58.2%	130	41.1%	2 (0 6%	316
WISCASSET	1-1	507	56.4%	378	42.0%	14	1.6%	502	55.8%	380	42.3%	17	1 9%	656	73.0%	230	25.6%	13	1.4%	427 47.5%	458	50.9%	14	1 6%	510	56.7%	379	42.2%	10	1.1%	899
							j																								
LINCOLN COUNTY T	OTALS	4119	58.8%	2798	39.9%	87	1.2%	4350	62.1%	2582	36.9%	72	1.0%	5186	74.0%	1749	25.0%	69	1.0%	4054 57.9%	2873	41.0%	77	1.1%	4544	64.9%	2398	34.2%	62 (0.9%	7004

												-																			Total
			QUE	STION 1:	BOND ISSU	E			QUES ⁻	TION 2:	BOND IS	SUE		QI	UESTI	ON 3:	BOND ISSUE		Q	UESTION	4: BO	ND ISSUE				QUES	TION 5:	BOND ISSI	JE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES 9		0		BLANK %	YES	%		NO	% BLAN	IK %	YES %	NO	%	BLAN	K %	Υ	ES		0 9		ANK %		-
ALBANY TWP	1-1	43	51.2%	40	47.6%	1	1.2%	45	53.6%	39	46.4%	0 00		19 58.		34	40.5%	1 1 2%	39 46.4	% 4	5 5	3.6%	0 0	0%	48	57.1%	36	42.9%	0	0 0%	84
ANDOVER	1-1	65	44.5%	78	53.4%	3	2.1%	68	46.6%	76	52.1%	2 1.4	% 9	92 63.	.0%	53	36.3%	1 0.7%	61 41.8	% 8	4 5	7.5%	1 0).7%	73	50.0%	72	49.3%	1	0.7%	146
BETHEL	1-1	205	59.4%	139	40.3%	1	0.3%	233	67.5%	111	32.2%	1 03	% 26	66 77.	.1%	77	22.3%	2 0 6%	200 58.0	14	4 4	1.7%	1 0	3%	252	73.0%	92	26.7%	1	0 3%	345
BROWNFIELD	1-1	77	52.0%	71	48.0%	0	0.0%	76	51.4%	71	48.0%	1 0.7	% 9	91 61.	.5%	57	38.5%	0 0 0%	73 49.3	% 7	4 50	0.0%	1 0).7%	84	56.8%	63	42.6%	1	0.7%	148
BUCKFIELD	1-1	141	49.8%	140	49.5%	2	0.7%	116	41.0%	165	58.3%	2 0.7	% 16	59.	.0%	115	40.6%	1 0.4%	108 38.2	.% 17	3 6	1.1%	2 0).7%	139	49.1%	143	50.5%	1	0.4%	283
BYRON	1-1	11	31.4%	24	68.6%	0	0.0%	16	45.7%	19	54.3%	0 00	%	19 54.	.3%	16	45.7%	0 0 0%	13 37.1	% 2	2 62	2.9%	0 0	0%	14	40.0%	21	60.0%	0	0 0%	35
CANTON	1-1	61	53.0%	53	46.1%	1	0.9%	50	43.5%	64	55.7%	1 09	%	73 63.	.5%	42	36.5%	0 0 0%	39 33.9	% 7	4 6	4.3%	2 1	.7%	51	44.3%	63	54.8%	1	0 9%	115
DENMARK	1-1	91	51.1%	85	47.8%	2	1.1%	100	56.2%	77	43.3%	1 06	% 1	12 62.	.9%	65	36.5%	1 0 6%	83 46.6	i% 9	3 5	2.2%	2 1	.1%	104	58.4%	74	41.6%	0	0 0%	178
DIXFIELD	1-1	130	51.6%	120	47.6%	2	0.8%	124	49.2%	128	50.8%	0 0 0	% 15	51 59.	.9%	100	39.7%	1 0.4%	99 39.3	% 15	3 60	0.7%	0 0	0%	126	50.0%	126	50.0%	0	0 0%	252
FRYEBURG	1-1	221	49.6%	222	49.8%	3	0.7%	233	52.2%	212	47.5%	1 02	% 28	63.	.2%	160	35.9%	4 0 9%	182 40.8	% 25	9 58	8.1%	5 1	.1%	238	53.4%	207	46.4%	1	0 2%	446
GILEAD	1-1	10	43.5%	13	56.5%	0	0.0%	13	56.5%	9	39.1%	1 43	%	17 73.	.9%	5	21.7%	1 4 3%	6 26.1	% 1	6 69	9.6%	1 4	3%	12	52.2%	10	43.5%	1	4 3%	23
GREENWOOD	1-1	59	64.8%	31	34.1%	1	1.1%	67	73.6%	24	26.4%	0 0 0	%	72 79.	.1%	19	20.9%	0 0 0%	59 64.8	3%	0 3	3.0%	2 2	2 2%	65	71.4%	26	28.6%	0	0 0%	91
HANOVER	1-1	33	55.0%	27	45.0%	0	0.0%	34	56.7%	26	43.3%	0 0 0	% 4	14 73.	.3%	16	26.7%	0 0 0%	34 56.7	'% 2	6 43	3.3%	0 0	0%	37	61.7%	23	38.3%	0	0 0%	60
HARTFORD	1-1	70	56.9%	51	41.5%	2	1.6%	66	53.7%	56	45.5%	1 08	% 8	65.	.0%	43	35.0%	0 0 0%	55 44.7	'% 6	7 5	4.5%	1 0	8%	66	53.7%	57	46.3%	0	0 0%	123
HEBRON	1-1	83	53.2%	72	46.2%	1	0.6%	82	52.6%	73	46.8%	1 06	% 10	08 69.	.2%	47	30.1%	1 0 6%	75 48.1	% 8	1 5	1.9%	0 0	0%	102	65.4%	53	34.0%	1	0 6%	156
HIRAM	1-1	76	56.3%	59	43.7%	0	0.0%	73	54.1%	61	45.2%	1 0.7	% 9	94 69.	.6%	41	30.4%	0 0 0%	72 53.3	% 6	3 40	6.7%	0 0	0%	85	63.0%	50	37.0%	0	0 0%	135
LINCOLN PLT	1-1	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0 0 0	%	5 45.	.5%	6	54.5%	0 0 0%	2 18.2	:%	9 8	1.8%	0 0	0%	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	0	0 0%	11
LOVELL	1-1	98	59.8%	63	38.4%	3	1.8%	95	57.9%	67	40.9%	2 12	% 11	11 67.	.7%	51	31.1%	2 1 2%	86 52.4	.% 7	6 40	6.3%	2 1	2%	100	61.0%	61	37.2%	3	1 8%	164
MAGALLOWAY PLT	1-1	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	0 0 0	%	4 36.	.4%	7	63.6%	0 0 0%	1 9.1	% 1	0 90	0.9%	0 0	0%	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	0 0%	11
MEXICO	1-1	165	51.4%	155	48.3%	1	0.3%	180	56.1%	140	43.6%	1 03	% 22	20 68.	.5%	100	31.2%	1 0 3%	129 40.2	19	0 59	9.2%	2 0	6%	180	56.1%	140	43.6%	1	0 3%	321
NEWRY	1-1	55	66.3%	28	33.7%	0	0.0%	57	68.7%	24	28.9%	2 2.4	% 6	75.	.9%	19	22.9%	1 1 2%	51 61.4	.% 3	2 3	8.6%	0 0	0%	56	67.5%	27	32.5%	0	0 0%	83
NORWAY	1-1	360	63.5%	204	36.0%	3	0.5%	340	60.0%	224	39.5%	3 05	% 40	08 72.	.0%	155	27.3%	4 0.7%	327 57.7	% 23	4 4	1.3%	6 1	.1%	361	63.7%	204	36.0%	2	0.4%	567
OTISF ELD	1-1	129	59.7%	87	40.3%	0	0.0%	136	63.0%	80	37.0%	0 0 0	% 16	63 75.	.5%	52	24.1%	1 0 5%	117 54.2	:% S	9 4	5.8%	0 0	0%	147	68.1%	69	31.9%	0	0 0%	216
OXFORD	1-1	290	55.9%	224	43.2%	5	1.0%	248	47.8%	265	51.1%	6 12	% 3	11 59.	.9%	201	38.7%	7 1 3%	210 40.5	30	0 5	7.8%	9 1	.7%	261	50.3%	252	48.6%	6	1 2%	519
PARIS	1-1	325	59.4%	216	39.5%	6	1.1%	295	53.9%	249	45.5%	3 05	% 37	78 69.	.1%	167	30.5%	2 0.4%	248 45.3	% 29	4 5	3.7%	5 0	9%	326	59.6%	220	40.2%	1	0 2%	547
PERU	1-1	173	57.3%	127	42.1%	2	0.7%	166	55.0%	136	45.0%	0 00	% 20	03 67.	.2%	99	32.8%	0 0 0%	126 41.7	'% 17	4 5	7.6%	2 0).7%	173	57.3%	128	42.4%	1	0 3%	302
PORTER	1-1	87	59.6%	59	40.4%	0	0.0%	89	61.0%	56	38.4%	1 0.7	% 10	02 69.	.9%	43	29.5%	1 0.7%	80 54.8	% 6	6 4	5.2%	0 0	0%	93	63.7%	53	36.3%	0	0 0%	146
ROXBURY	1-1	48	61.5%	29	37.2%	1	1.3%	40	51.3%	37	47.4%	1 13	% 5	54 69.	.2%	24	30.8%	0 0 0%	34 43.6	i% 4	3 5	5.1%	1 1	3%	46	59.0%	31	39.7%	1	1 3%	78
RUMFORD	1-1	572	62.7%	328	36.0%	12	1.3%	580	63.6%	323	35.4%	9 10	% 69	95 76.	.2%	206	22.6%	11 1 2%	466 51.1	% 43	6 4	7.8%	10 1	.1%	599	65.7%	305	33.4%	8	0 9%	912
STONEHAM	1-1	14	48.3%	14	48.3%	1	3.4%	18	62.1%	11	37.9%	0 0 0	% 2	21 72.	.4%	8	27.6%	0 0 0%	14 48.3	% 1	4 48	8.3%	1 3	3.4%	20	69.0%	9	31.0%	0	0 0%	29
STOW	1-1	19	46.3%	22	53.7%	0	0.0%	19	46.3%	22	53.7%	0 0 0	% 2	28 68.	.3%	13	31.7%	0 0 0%	18 43.9	1% 2	2 5	3.7%	1 2	2.4%	24	58.5%	17	41.5%	0	0 0%	41
SUMNER	1-1	93	49.7%	93	49.7%	1	0.5%	75	40.1%	111	59.4%	1 05	% 1 ⁻	12 59.	.9%	73	39.0%	2 1.1%	80 42.8	10	6 50	6.7%	1 0	5%	91	48.7%	95	50.8%	1	0 5%	187
SWEDEN	1-1	40	66.7%	20	33.3%	0	0.0%	46	76.7%	14	23.3%	0 0 0	% 5	51 85.	.0%	9	15.0%	0 0 0%	41 68.3	% 1	9 3	1.7%	0 0	0%	48	80.0%	12	20.0%	0	0 0%	60
UPTON	1-1	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	0	0.0%	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	0 0 0	%	12 60.	.0%	8	40.0%	0 0 0%	8 40.0	1%	2 60	0.0%	0 0	0%	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	0	0 0%	20
WATERFORD	1-1	123	59.1%	82	39.4%	3	1.4%	129	62.0%	78	37.5%	1 05	% 15	52 73.	.1%	55	26.4%	1 0 5%	110 52.9	% 9	7 4	6.6%	1 0	5%	146	70.2%	61	29.3%	1	0 5%	208
WEST PARIS	1-1	111	64.9%	59	34.5%	1	0.6%	103	60.2%	64	37.4%	4 23	% 12	26 73.	.7%	43	25.1%	2 1 2%	82 48.0	% 8	6 50	0.3%	3 1	8%	105	61.4%	65	38.0%	1	0 6%	171
WOODSTOCK	1-1	91	54.5%	75	44.9%	1	0.6%	86	51.5%	80	47.9%	1 06	% 10	02 61.	.1%	65	38.9%	0 0 0%	73 43.7	% 9	3 5	5.7%	1 0	6%	89	53.3%	78	46.7%	0	0 0%	167
OXFORD COUN	TY TOTALS	4185	56.7%	3136	42.5%	59	0.8%	4113	55.7%	3219	43.6%	48 0.7	% 503	38 68.	.3%	2294	31.1%	48 0.7%	3501 47.4	% 381	6 5	1.7%	63 0	.9%	4376	59.3%	2970	40.2%	34	0.5%	7380

	T T								Novemb	er 5, 2	013 R	eteren	idum Ele	ection	Tab	ulatio	on										
			OUEST	TION 1: B	OND ISSUI	F		OUEST	ON 2: BOND	ISSUE			OUESTIC	N 3: BON	ID ISS	UF		OUE	STION 4:	BOND ISSUE		OUE	STION 5	BOND ISSI	IE		Total Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES % NO		BLANK	%	YES		10 %		BLANK	% YE				NK %	YES %			ANK %		
	1-1	65	60.7%	42	39.3%	0		50 46.7%	57 53.3%			72			.7%	0		44 41.1%	63	58.9%	0 0 09				0	0 0%	107
BANGOR	1-1	2444	60.1%	1536	37.7%	89	2.2%	2550 62.7%	1436 35.39		2 0%	3071	75.5%		.4%	86	2.1%	2093 51.4%	1852	45.5%	124 3 09	2795 68.7%	1200	29.5%	74	1 8%	4069
BRADFORD	1-1	76	48.1%	82	51.9%	0	0.0%	62 39.2%	96 60.8%	6 0	0 0%	93	58.9%	65 41	.1%	0	0 0%	51 32.3%	106	67.1%	1 0 6%	72 45.6%	86	54.4%	0	0 0%	158
BRADLEY	1-1	100	59.5%	67	39.9%	1	0.6%	88 52.4%	79 47.0%	6 1	0 6%	116	69.0%	50 29	.8%	2	1 2%	72 42.9%	95	56.5%	1 0 6%	94 56.0%	73	43.5%	1	0 6%	168
BREWER	1-1	838	54.1%	694	44.8%	17	1.1%	747 48.2%	782 50.5%	6 20	1 3%	1000	64.6%	526 34	.0%	23	1 5%	641 41.4%	888	57.3%	20 1 3%	904 58.4%	631	40.7%	14	0 9%	1549
	1-1	21	45.7%	25	54.3%	0	0.0%	22 47.8%	24 52.2%	6 0	0 0%	32	69.6%	14 30	.4%	0	0 0%	17 37.0%	29	63.0%	0 0 09	24 52.2%	22	47.8%	0	0 0%	46
	1-1	147	47.4%	163	52.6%	0	0.0%	112 36.1%	198 63.9%	6 0	0 0%	177	57.1%	129 41	.6%	4	1 3%	110 35.5%	199	64.2%	1 0 3%	141 45.5%	169	54.5%	0	0 0%	310
	1-1	12	40.0%	18	60.0%	0		7 23.3%	23 76.7%			15			.0%	0		7 23.3%	23	76.7%	0 0 09	12 40.0%	18	60.0%	0	0 0%	30
	1-1	68	41.7%	94	57.7%	1	0.6%	50 30.7%	113 69.39		_	79			.9%	1		44 27.0%	118	72.4%	1 0 69	56 34.4%	106	65.0%	1	0 6%	163
	1-1	35	47.9%	37	50.7%	1	1.4%	26 35.6%	47 64.49			38			.6%	1	1.4%	22 30.1%	51	69.9%	0 0 09	26 35.6%	46	63.0%	1	1.4%	73
	1-1	60	48.4%	64	51.6%	0		54 43.5%	70 56.5%			73			.3%	1	0 8%	48 38.7%	76	61.3%	0 0 09	57 46.0%	66	53.2%	1	0 8%	124
	1-1	145	57.8%	105	41.8%	1	0.4%	119 47.4%	131 52.29		0.4%	155			.8%	1	0.4%	102 40.6%	149	59.4%	0 0 09	141 56.2%	110	43.8%	- 10	0 0%	251
	1-1	268	46.0%	306	52.6%	8		238 40.9%	333 57.29		_	348	59.8%		.5%		1.7%	186 32.0%	380	65.3%	16 2.79	279 47.9%	291	50.0%	12	2.1%	582
	1-1	378	57.4%	266 91	40.4% 50.6%	15	2.3%	339 51.4%	310 47.09 91 50.69		1 5%	451	68.4%		.4%	14	2.1%	269 40.8%	376	57.1%	14 2.19	378 57.4%	273	41.4% 48.9%	8	1 2%	659 180
	1-1	88	48.9%	1	25.0%	0	0.6%	89 49.4% 3 75.0%	91 50.6% 1 25.0%			112	62.2% 75.0%	67 37	.0%		0 6%	73 40.6% 1 25.0%	107	59.4% 75.0%	0 0 09	92 51.1% 4 100.0%	88	0.0%	0	0 0%	100
	1-1	271	75.0% 55.3%	206	42.0%		2.7%	255 52.0%	226 46.19		_	330	67.3%		.0%		2.7%	195 39.8%	279	75.0% 56.9%	16 3 39	4 100.0% 5 273 55.7%	203	41.4%	14	2 9%	490
	1-1	191	52.6%	170	46.8%		0.6%	161 44.4%	202 55.6%		_	203	55.9%		.5%		0.6%	137 37.7%	223	61.4%	3 089	188 51.8%	174	47.9%	1	0 3%	363
	1-1	16	51.6%	15	48.4%	0		13 41.9%	18 58.19			19			.7%	0		8 25.8%	23	74.2%	0 0 0 0 9	17 54.8%	14	45.2%	n	0 0%	31
	1-1	98	52.7%	88	47.3%	0		90 48.4%	96 51.6%			110	59.1%		.9%	0		71 38.2%	115	61.8%	0 0 0 0 9	106 57.0%	80	43.0%	0	0 0%	186
	1-1	55	51.4%	52	48.6%	0		34 31.8%	73 68.29			52			.4%	0		37 34.6%	70	65.4%	0 0 0 0 9	41 38.3%	66	61.7%	0	0 0%	107
	1-1	69	52.7%	62	47.3%	0		68 51.9%	63 48.19			89	67.9%	41 31		1	0.8%	57 43.5%	74	56.5%	0 0 0 0 9	77 58.8%	54	41.2%	0	0 0%	131
GARLAND	1-1	64	50.0%	64	50.0%	0		55 43.0%	72 56.3%			73			.0%	0		37 28.9%	90	70.3%	1 0.89	61 47.7%	67	52.3%	0	0 0%	128
GLENBURN	1-1	259	54.2%	217	45.4%	2	0.4%	230 48.1%	247 51.79		0 2%	315		162 33		1	0 2%	192 40.2%	286	59.8%	0 0 0%	266 55.6%	211	44.1%	1	0 2%	478
GREENBUSH	1-1	49	41.5%	68	57.6%	1	0.8%	36 30.5%	82 69.5%	6 0	0 0%	66	55.9%	51 43	.2%	1	0 8%	35 29.7%	81	68.6%	2 1.79	48 40.7%	70	59.3%	0	0 0%	118
HAMPDEN	1-1	820	53.2%	705	45.7%	16	1.0%	826 53.6%	697 45.29	6 18	1 2%	1073	69.6%	453 29	.4%	15	1 0%	695 45.1%	826	53.6%	20 1 3%	960 62.3%	569	36.9%	12	0 8%	1541
HERMON	1-1	350	57.3%	261	42.7%	0	0.0%	246 40.3%	364 59.6%	6 1	0 2%	379	62.0%	229 37	.5%	3	0 5%	207 33.9%	403	66.0%	1 0 2%	322 52.7%	288	47.1%	1	0 2%	611
HOLDEN	1-1	303	55.4%	241	44.1%	3	0.5%	274 50.1%	265 48.49	6 8	1 5%	372	68.0%	173 31	.6%	2	0.4%	245 44.8%	298	54.5%	4 0.7%	329 60.1%	216	39.5%	2	0.4%	547
	1-1	84	51.2%	80	48.8%	0	0.0%	67 40.9%	97 59.19	6 0	0 0%	91	55.5%	73 44	.5%	0	0 0%	56 34.1%	108	65.9%	0 0 0%	82 50.0%	82	50.0%	0	0 0%	164
	1-1	73	51.4%	69	48.6%	0		57 40.1%	85 59.9%			95			.1%		0 0%	50 35.2%	92	64.8%	0 0 0%	73 51.4%	69	48.6%	0	0 0%	142
	1-1	87	57.6%	64	42.4%	0		67 44.4%	84 55.6%			106	70.2%		.8%	0		54 35.8%	97	64.2%	0 0 09	83 55.0%	68	45.0%	0	0 0%	151
	1-1	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0		2 50.0%	2 50.0%			4	100.0%		.0%		0 0%	0 0.0%	4	100.0%	0 0 09	2 50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0 0%	4
	1-1	39	44.8%	48	55.2%	0		27 31.0%	59 67.89		1.1%	45			.0%		2 3%	21 24.1%	65	74.7%	1 1.19	38 43.7%	49	56.3%	0	0 0%	87
	1-1	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	0		14 51.9%	13 48.19			22			.5%	0		8 29.6%	19	70.4%	0 0 09	11 40.7%	16	59.3%	0	0 0%	27
	1-1	64	55.7%	51	44.3%	0		54 47.0%	61 53.0%			62			.1%	0		46 40.0%	69 171	60.0%	0 0 0%	63 54.8%	52	45.2%	1	0.4%	115 269
	1-1	136 362	50.6% 46.6%	132 400	49.1% 51.5%	15	0.4% 1.9%	107 39.8% 326 42.0%	160 59.59 445 57.39			160 467	59.5% 60.1%		.8%	2 16	2.1%	96 35.7% 292 37.6%	468	63.6%	2 0.79 17 2.29	5 138 51.3% 5 389 50.1%	130 379	48.3% 48.8%	0	1 2%	777
	1-1	18	41.9%	25	58.1%	0		17 39.5%	26 60.5%			25	58.1%	18 41			0.0%	14 32.6%	29	67.4%	0 0 0 0 9	19 44.2%	24	55.8%	0	0 0%	43
	1-1	32	53.3%	27	45.0%	1	1.7%	35 58.3%	25 41.79		_	39			.0%		0 0%	28 46.7%	32	53.3%	0 0 0 0 9	41 68.3%	19	31.7%	0	0 0%	60
	1-1	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0		4 30.8%	9 69.29			5	38.5%		.5%		0 0%	4 30.8%	9	69.2%	0 0 0 0 9	5 38.5%	8	61.5%	0	0 0%	13
	1-1	75	45.5%	89	53.9%	1		66 40.0%	99 60.0%			97			.0%		1 2%	54 32.7%	109	66.1%	2 1 29	72 43.6%	93	56.4%	0	0 0%	165
	1-1	225	57.8%	161	41.4%	3		205 52.7%	181 46.5%			263	67.6%		.4%		1 0%	172 44.2%	214	55.0%	3 0 89	233 59.9%	153	39.3%	3	0.8%	389
MILLINOCKET	1-1	592	54.6%	466	43.0%		2.4%	520 48.0%	532 49.19		3 0%	707	65.2%		.1%		2.7%	461 42.5%	605	55.8%	18 1.79	590 54.4%		43.3%	25	2 3%	1084
MOUNT CHASE	1-1	23	48.9%	24	51.1%	0	0.0%	24 51.1%	23 48.9%			31	66.0%	15 31	.9%	1	2.1%	24 51.1%	23	48.9%	0 0 0%	22 46.8%	25	53.2%	0	0 0%	47
NEWBURGH	1-1	112	54.6%	93	45.4%	0	0.0%	99 48.3%	106 51.79	6 0	0 0%	142	69.3%	63 30	.7%	0	0 0%	82 40.0%	121	59.0%	2 1 0 9	125 61.0%	80	39.0%	0	0 0%	205
NEWPORT	1-1	226	63.3%	129	36.1%	2	0.6%	177 49.6%	179 50.19	6 1	0 3%	253	70.9%	104 29	.1%	0	0 0%	161 45.1%	194	54.3%	2 0 6%	215 60.2%	141	39.5%	1	0 3%	357
OLD TOWN	1-1	662	56.8%	475	40.8%	28	2.4%	710 60.9%	447 38.49	6 8	0.7%	851	73.0%	297 25	.5%	17	1 5%	578 49.6%	575	49.4%	12 1 09	773 66.4%	380	32.6%	12	1 0%	1165
ORONO	1-1	273	61.8%	160	36.2%	9	2.0%	372 84.2%	65 14.79	6 5	1.1%	387	87.6%	50 11	.3%	5	1.1%	268 60.6%	162	36.7%	12 2.79	371 83.9%	69	15.6%	2	0 5%	442
	1-2	54	58.1%	37	39.8%		2.2%	81 87.1%	12 12.9%		0 0%	64		27 29			2 2%	49 52.7%	43	46.2%	1 1.19		27	29.0%	1	1.1%	93
	1-3/2-1	300	58.7%	203	39.7%		1.6%	388 75.9%	120 23.5%		0 6%	420			.2%		0 6%	297 58.1%	209	40.9%	5 1 0%		108		2	0.4%	511
ORONO TOTALS		627	59.9%	400	38.2%		1.8%	841 80.4%	197 18.8%		0.8%				.8%		1.0%	614 58.7%	414		18 1.7%					0.5%	1046
	1-1	354	58.9%	245	40.8%		0.3%		314 52.29		0 0%	393			.9%		0.7%	282 46.9%	316		3 0 5%				0	0 0%	601
	1-1	31	52.5%	28	47.5%	0		23 39.0%	36 61.09			33			.1%		0 0%	17 28.8%	42		0 0 09				0	0 0%	59
	1-1	68	54.4%	54	43.2%	3	2.4%	71 56.8%	53 42.4%	6 1	0 8%	83	66.4%	40 32	.0%	2	1 6%	47 37.6%	75	60.0%	3 2.49	70 56.0%	53	42.4%	2	1 6%	125
PENOBSCOT NATION VOTING		22	CO 00/	4.4	27.00/	_	0.00/	00 00 00/	44 27 00	,	0.00/	20	70.40/	0 04	C0/	0	0.00/	40 54 40/	40	40.00/	0 00	20 70 20/	4.4	20.70/	0	0.00/	27
	1-1	23	62.2%	14 64	37.8% 44.4%	0		23 62.2% 49 34.0%	14 37.89		0.0%	29			.6%		0.7%	19 51.4% 45 31.3%	18	48.6%	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 . 7 9		11		U	0.0%	37 144
	1-1	78 3	54.2% 50.0%	3	50.0%		1.4% 0.0%	5 83.3%	94 65.39		0.7%	81 5		62 43	_		0.7%	45 31.3% 2 33.3%	98	68.1% 66.7%	0 0.79		84		2	0.0%	144
	1-1	13	61.9%	8	38.1%		0.0%	12 57.1%	1 16.79 9 42.99		0 0%		71.4%		.7%		0 0%	9 42.9%	12		0 0 0 0 9		10		0	0 0%	21
	1-1	17	35.4%	24	50.0%		14.6%	14 29.2%	26 54.29		16.7%		43.8%		.6%		16.7%	9 42.9% 15 31.3%	25		8 16.79					14 6%	48
	1-1	27	52.9%	23	45.1%	1	2.0%	17 33.3%	34 66.7%		0.7%	27		23 45	_		2 0%	19 37.3%	30	58.8%	2 3 9%				0	0 0%	51
	1-1	91	52.9%	81	46.8%	1	0.6%	71 41.0%	101 58.49		0.6%	99		72 41			1 2%	65 37.6%	105	60.7%	3 1.79				1	0 6%	173
01210014	1-1	91	JZ.U /0	01	40.0%	<u>'</u>	0.076	11 41.070	101 36.47	v 1	0 0 70	55	J1 .Z 70	12 41	.0 /0	2	1 4 70	00 31.0%	100	00.7 70	J 1.77	00 40.2%	52	JJ.Z 70	- 1	0 0 70	113

																															Total
			QUES	STION 1:	: BOND ISSU	E			QUES'	TION 2:	: BOND IS	SUE			QUES	STION 3	BOND I	SSUE		QL	JESTION 4	1: BOND I	SSUE			QUE	STION 5:	BOND IS	SUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	% N	10	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO 9	% E	BLANK %		
VEAZIE	1-1	195	63.9%	110	36.1%	0	0.0%	194	63.6%	109	35.7%	2	0.7%	232	76.1%	73	23.9%	0	0 0%	162 53.19	% 14 ⁻	1 46.29	6	2 0.7%	215	70.5%	89	29.2%	1	0 3%	305
WEBSTER PLT	1-1	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	0 0%	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	0 0%	3 42.99	%	57.19	6	0 0%	5	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	0 0%	7
WINN	1-1	24	52.2%	21	45.7%	1	2.2%	20	43.5%	26	56.5%	0	0 0%	26	56.5%	20	43.5%	0	0 0%	16 34.89	% 30	65.29	6	0 0%	17	37.0%	29	63.0%	0	0 0%	46
WOODV LLE	1-1	5	26.3%	14	73.7%	0	0.0%	6	31.6%	13	68.4%	0	0 0%	5	26.3%	13	68.4%	1	5 3%	4 21.19	6 1	78.99	6	0 0%	7	36.8%	12	63.2%	0	0 0%	19
PENOBSCOT COUNTY	/ TOTALS	11683	55.2%	9189	43.4%	284	1.3%	11058	52.3%	9860	46.6%	238	1.1%	14305	67.6%	6567	31.0%	284	1.3%	9216 43.69	6 11610	54.9%	6 32	4 1.5%	12556	59.3%	8388	39.6%	212	1.0%	21156

																														Total
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSU	E		QUI	STION 2	2: BOND	ISSUE			QUES	TION 3:	BOND IS	SUE		QUE	STION 4:	BOND IS	SUE			QUEST	ION 5: B	SOND ISS	έUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %		YES	% NO	3 %	В	BLANK %	/0	
ABBOT	1-1	43	36.4%	75	63.6%	0	0.0%	39 33.1%	79	66.9%	6 0	0 0%	55	46.6%	63	53.4%	0 0 0%	6 33	28.0%	85	72.0%	0	0 0%	59	50.0%	59	50.0%	0	0 0%	118
ATKINSON	1-1	26	45.6%	31	54.4%	0	0.0%	25 43.9%	31	54.4%	ó 1	1 8%	34	59.6%	23	40.4%	0 0 0%	6 17	29.8%	40	70.2%	0	0 0%	31	54.4%	26	45.6%	0	0 0%	57
BEAVER COVE	1-1	18	40.0%	26	57.8%	1	2.2%	21 46.7%	24	53.3%	6 0	0 0%	32	71.1%	13	28.9%	0 0 0%	6 26	57.8%	19	42.2%	0	0 0%	27	60.0%	18	40.0%	0	0 0%	45
BOWERBANK	1-1	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	0	0.0%	8 42.19	10	52.6%	ъ́ 1	5 3%	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0 0 0%	6 8	42.1%	11	57.9%	0	0 0%	8	42.1%	10	52.6%	1	5 3%	19
BROWNVILLE	1-1	78	56.9%	57	41.6%	2	1.5%	66 48.2%	70	51.19	ъ́ 1	0.7%	102	74.5%	33	24.1%	2 1 5%	6 62	45.3%	75	54.7%	0	0 0%	81	59.1%	55	40.1%	1	0.7%	137
DOVER-FOXCROFT	1-1	266	52.2%	240	47.1%	4	0.8%	264 51.8%	240	47.19	6	1 2%	329	64.5%	175	34.3%	6 1 29	6 210	41.2%	291	57.1%	9	1 8%	295	57.8%	208	40.8%	7	1.4%	510
GREENVILLE	1-1	165	50.3%	159	48.5%	4	1.2%	149 45.4%	175	53.4%	6 4	1 2%	214	65.2%	111	33.8%	3 0 9%	6 151	46.0%	174	53.0%	3	0 9%	183	55.8%	145	44.2%	0	0 0%	328
GUILFORD/KINGSBURY PLT.	1-1	84	52.5%	75	46.9%	1	0.6%	75 46.9%	85	53.19	6 0	0 0%	109	68.1%	50	31.3%	1 0 6%	6 67	41.9%	92	57.5%	1	0 6%	84	52.5%	75	46.9%	1	0 6%	160
LAKE VIEW PLT	1-1	13	61.9%	8	38.1%	0	0.0%	9 42.9%	11	52.4%	ъ́ 1	4 8%	13	61.9%	8	38.1%	0 0 0%	6 9	42.9%	10	47.6%	2	9 5%	11	52.4%	9	42.9%	1	4 8%	21
MEDFORD	1-1	23	47.9%	25	52.1%	0	0.0%	23 47.9%	25	52.19	6 0	0 0%	27	56.3%	21	43.8%	0 0 0%	6 16	33.3%	32	66.7%	0	0 0%	22	45.8%	26	54.2%	0	0 0%	48
MILO	1-1	143	61.4%	90	38.6%	0	0.0%	132 56.7%	98	42.19	6 3	1 3%	179	76.8%	54	23.2%	0 0 0%	6 102	43.8%	131	56.2%	0	0 0%	140	60.1%	92	39.5%	1	0.4%	233
MONSON	1-1	70	55.6%	55	43.7%	1	0.8%	59 46.8%	66	52.4%	ъ́ 1	0 8%	77	61.1%	49	38.9%	0 0 0%	6 51	40.5%	74	58.7%	1	0 8%	70	55.6%	55	43.7%	1	0 8%	126
PARKMAN	1-1	43	42.6%	58	57.4%	0	0.0%	36 35.6%	65	64.49	6 0	0 0%	59	58.4%	42	41.6%	0 0 0%	6 31	30.7%	70	69.3%	0	0 0%	47	46.5%	54	53.5%	0	0 0%	101
SANGERVILLE	1-1	85	52.8%	75	46.6%	1	0.6%	78 48.49	83	51.6%	6 0	0 0%	105	65.2%	55	34.2%	1 0 69	68	42.2%	93	57.8%	0	0 0%	86	53.4%	75	46.6%	0	0 0%	161
SEBEC	1-1	55	53.4%	47	45.6%	1	1.0%	40 38.89	62	60.29	ъ́ 1	1 0%	62	60.2%	40	38.8%	1 1 09	6 50	48.5%	53	51.5%	0	0 0%	56	54.4%	46	44.7%	1	1 0%	103
SH RLEY	1-1	29	54.7%	24	45.3%	0	0.0%	23 43.49	30	56.6%	6 0	0 0%	36	67.9%	17	32.1%	0 0 0%	6 19	35.8%	34	64.2%	0	0 0%	28	52.8%	25	47.2%	0	0 0%	53
WELLINGTON	1-1	23	67.6%	11	32.4%	0	0.0%	20 58.8%	14	41.29	6 0	0 0%	26	76.5%	7	20.6%	1 29%	6 15	44.1%	18	52.9%	1	2 9%	20	58.8%	14	41.2%	0	0 0%	34
WILL MANTIC	1-1	9	39.1%	14	60.9%	0	0.0%	9 39.19	14	60.9%	6 0	0 0%	11	47.8%	12	52.2%	0 0 0%	6 5	21.7%	18	78.3%	0	0 0%	12	52.2%	11	47.8%	0	0 0%	23
PISCATAQUIS COUNTY TO	OTALS	1183	52.0%	1079	47.4%	15	0.7%	1076 47.3%	1182	51.9%	19	0.8%	1481	65.0%	781	34.3%	15 0.7%	6 940	41.3%	1320	58.0%	17	0.7%	1260	55.3%	1003	44.0%	14	0.6%	2277

																															Total
			QUES	TION 1	: BOND ISSUE				QUE	STION 2	E: BOND I	SSUE			QUES	STION 3:	BOND IS	SSUE		QUE	STION 4:	BOND IS	SUE			QUES	TION 5: I	BOND IS	SUE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %	o O	YES	%	NO %	6	BLANK	%	
ARROWSIC 1	1-1	110	71.0%	44	28.4%	1	0.6%	124	80.0%	31	20.0%	0	0 0%	135	87.1%	18	11.6%	2 13%	109	70.3%	44	28.4%	2	1 3%	121	78.1%	34	21.9%	0	0 0%	155
BATH 1	1-1	924	59.4%	605	38.9%	26	1.7%	1049	67.5%	487	31.3%	19	1 2%	1210	77.8%	328	21.1%	17 1.1%	928	59.7%	602	38.7%	25	1 6%	1074	69.1%	469	30.2%	12	0 8%	1555
BOWDO N	1-1	181	54.8%	148	44.8%	1	0.3%	169	51.2%	160	48.5%	1	0 3%	206	62.4%	123	37.3%	1 0 3%	144	43.6%	185	56.1%	1	0 3%	186	56.4%	143	43.3%	1	0 3%	330
BOWDO NHAM	1-1	322	58.1%	225	40.6%	7	1.3%	337	60.8%	214	38.6%	3	0 5%	407	73.5%	141	25.5%	6 1.1%	31	56.9%	236	42.6%	3	0 5%	359	64.8%	195	35.2%	0	0 0%	554
GEORGETOWN 1	1-1	181	65.3%	93	33.6%	3	1.1%	195	70.4%	81	29.2%	1	0.4%	215	77.6%	62	22.4%	0 0 0%	186	67.1%	91	32.9%	0	0 0%	207	74.7%	69	24.9%	1	0.4%	277
PH PPSBURG	1-1	270	59.2%	177	38.8%	9	2.0%	316	69.3%	136	29.8%	4	0 9%	358	78.5%	93	20.4%	5 1.1%	306	67.1%	148	32.5%	2	0.4%	324	71.1%	131	28.7%	1	0 2%	456
RICHMOND 1	1-1	296	64.9%	157	34.4%	3	0.7%	266	58.3%	186	40.8%	4	0 9%	329	72.1%	125	27.4%	2 0.4%	244	53.5%	209	45.8%	3	0.7%	298	65.4%	155	34.0%	3	0.7%	456
TOPSHAM 1	1-1	894	65.0%	463	33.6%	19	1.4%	919	66.8%	441	32.0%	16	1 2%	1082	78.6%	279	20.3%	15 1.1%	858	62.4%	504	36.6%	14	1 0%	966	70.2%	400	29.1%	10	0.7%	1376
WEST BATH	1-1	248	55.6%	196	43.9%	2	0.4%	257	57.6%	188	42.2%	1	0 2%	326	73.1%	117	26.2%	3 0.7%	228	51.1%	215	48.2%	3	0.7%	267	59.9%	177	39.7%	2	0.4%	446
WOOLWICH 1	1-1	358	52.9%	307	45.3%	12	1.8%	404	59.7%	268	39.6%	5	0.7%	478	70.6%	189	27.9%	10 1 5%	352	2 52.0%	317	46.8%	8	1 2%	406	60.0%	268	39.6%	3	0.4%	677
SAGADAHOC COUNTY TOTALS		3784	60.2%	2415	38.4%	83	1.3%	4036	64.2%	2192	34.9%	54	0.9%	4746	75.5%	1475	23.5%	61 1.0%	367	58.4%	2551	40.6%	61	1.0%	4208	67.0%	2041	32.5%	33	0.5%	6282

																															Total
			QUES	STION 1:	: BOND ISSU	E			QUES ⁻	TION 2	: BOND IS	SSUE			QUES	STION 3	BOND IS	SSUE		QUE	ESTION 4:	BOND ISS	UE			QUES	TION 5:	BOND ISS	3UE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES 9	6 N	Ю	%	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO	% В	LANK	%	YES	% N	NO 9	6 E	BLANK %	,	-
ANSON	1-1	147	52.9%	129	46.4%	2	0.7%	135	48.6%	143	51.4%	(0 0 0 9	168	60.4%	110	39.6%	5 (0 0%	104 37.4%	173	62.2%	1	0.4%	147	52.9%	131	47.1%	0	0 0%	278
ATHENS	1-1	30	43.5%	38	55.1%	1	1.4%	18	26.1%	50	72.5%		1 1.49	38	55.1%	31	44.9%	5 (0 0%	15 21.7%	51	73.9%	3	4 3%	26	37.7%	42	60.9%	1	1.4%	69
BINGHAM	1-1	73	59.8%	49	40.2%	0	0.0%	62	50.8%	60	49.2%	(0 0 9	ő 79	64.8%	43	35.2%	5 (0 0%	61 50.0%	61	50.0%	0	0 0%	75	61.5%	47	38.5%	0	0 0%	122
BRIGHTON PLT	1-1	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	5	8.0%	8	61.5%	(0 0 %	ó 7	53.8%	6	46.2%	6 (0 0%	5 38.5%	8	61.5%	0	0 0%	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	0 0%	13
CAMBRIDGE	1-1	38	43.7%	49	56.3%	0	0.0%	27	31.0%	60	69.0%	(0 0 %	6 41	47.1%	46	52.9%	5 (0 0%	29 33.3%	58	66.7%	0	0 0%	36	41.4%	50	57.5%	1	1.1%	87
CANAAN	1-1	115	51.1%	108	48.0%	2	0.9%	110	48.9%	114	50.7%		1 0.4%	138	61.3%	85	37.8%	5 2	0 9%	93 41.3%	132	58.7%	0	0 0%	121	53.8%	104	46.2%	0	0 0%	225
CARATUNK	1-1	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	(0 0 %	ъ́ 17	89.5%	2	10.5%	6 (0 0%	15 78.9%	4	21.1%	0	0 0%	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0 0%	19
CORNVILLE	1-1	63	45.3%	75	54.0%	1	0.7%	61	43.9%	78	56.1%	(0 0 %	77	55.4%	60	43.2%	5 2	1.4%	45 32.4%	93	66.9%	1	0.7%	69	49.6%	69	49.6%	1	0.7%	139
DENNISTOWN PLT	1-1	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	(0 0 %	<u>6</u> 2	66.7%	1	33.3%	6 (0 0%	0 0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0 0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0 0%	3
DETROIT	1-1	40	48.8%	42	51.2%	0	0.0%	31	37.8%	51	62.2%	(0 0%	ú 49	59.8%	32	39.0%	5 1	1 12%	25 30.5%	57	69.5%	0	0 0%	37	45.1%	45	54.9%	0	0 0%	82
EMBDEN	1-1	53	51.5%	49	47.6%	1	1.0%	46	44.7%	55	53.4%	:	1 9%	60	58.3%	42	40.8%	5 1	1 10%	39 37.9%	62	60.2%	2	1 9%	53	51.5%	49	47.6%	1	1 0%	103
FAIRFIELD	1-1	464	57.2%	339	41.8%	8	1.0%	448	55.2%	352	43.4%	1	1 1.4%	558	68.8%	245	30.2%	5 6	1 0%	374 46.1%	427	52.7%	10	1 2%	512	63.1%	295	36.4%	4	0 5%	811
HARMONY	1-1	43	38.1%	70	61.9%	0	0.0%	51	45.1%	62	54.9%	(0 0 %	52	46.0%	60	53.1%	5 1	0 9%	40 35.4%	73	64.6%	0	0 0%	52	46.0%	61	54.0%	0	0 0%	113
HARTLAND	1-1	114	49.1%	117	50.4%	1	0.4%	82	35.3%	149	64.2%		0.4%	124	53.4%	107	46.1%	5 1	0.4%	81 34.9%	150	64.7%	1	0.4%	103	44.4%	128	55.2%	1	0.4%	232
HIGHLAND PLT	1-1	23	51.1%	22	48.9%	0	0.0%	24	53.3%	21	46.7%	(0 0%	b 27	60.0%	18	40.0%	5 (0 0%	17 37.8%	28	62.2%	0	0 0%	25	55.6%	20	44.4%	0	0 0%	45
JACKMAN	1-1	63	47.4%	70	52.6%	0	0.0%	46	34.6%	86	64.7%		1 08%	6 80	60.2%	53	39.8%	5 (0 0%	38 28.6%	95	71.4%	0	0 0%	54	40.6%	79	59.4%	0	0 0%	133
MADISON	1-1	295	57.8%	204	40.0%	11	2.2%	278	54.5%	225	44.1%	-	7 1.4%	344	67.5%	156	30.6%	5 10	2 0%	250 49.0%	253	49.6%	7	1.4%	313	61.4%	193	37.8%	4	0 8%	510
MERCER	1-1	54	50.0%	54	50.0%	0	0.0%	48	44.4%	60	55.6%	(0 0%	67	62.0%	41	38.0%	5 (0 0%	40 37.0%	68	63.0%	0	0 0%	61	56.5%	47	43.5%	0	0 0%	108
MOOSE RIVER	1-1	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	0	0.0%	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	(0 0%	ú 11	55.0%	9	45.0%	6	0 0%	4 20.0%	16	80.0%	0	0 0%	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	0	0 0%	20
MOSCOW	1-1	28	49.1%	28	49.1%	1	1.8%	30	52.6%	25	43.9%		2 3 5%	34	59.6%	23	40.4%	5 (0 0%	25 43.9%	30	52.6%	2	3 5%	35	61.4%	21	36.8%	1	1 8%	57
NEW PORTLAND	1-1	57	44.2%	72	55.8%	0	0.0%	64	49.6%	64	49.6%		0 89	6 80	62.0%	49	38.0%	5 (0 0%	53 41.1%	75	58.1%	1	0 8%	67	51.9%	61	47.3%	1	0 8%	129
NORR DGEWOCK	1-1	194	58.4%	137	41.3%	1	0.3%	176	53.0%	155	46.7%		0 3%	210	63.3%	119	35.8%	5 3	0 9%	149 44.9%	182	54.8%	1	0 3%	195	58.7%	136	41.0%	1	0 3%	332
PALMYRA	1-1	122	57.0%	91	42.5%	1	0.5%	98	45.8%	113	52.8%	;	3 1.4%	ú 144	67.3%	69	32.2%	5 1	0 5%	81 37.9%	132	61.7%	1	0 5%	113	52.8%	100	46.7%	1	0 5%	214
PITTSFIELD	1-1	292	67.4%	135	31.2%	6	1.4%	259	59.8%	165	38.1%	,	2.1%	320	73.9%	106	24.5%	5 7	1 6%	229 52.9%	197	45.5%	7	1 6%	291	67.2%	135	31.2%	7	1 6%	433
PLEASANT RIDGE PLT	1-1	12	57.1%	9	42.9%	0	0.0%	15	71.4%	6	28.6%	(0 0%	ú 13	61.9%	8	38.1%	, (0 0%	10 47.6%	11	52.4%	0	0 0%	14	66.7%	7	33.3%	0	0 0%	21
RIPLEY	1-1	36	48.0%	39	52.0%	0	0.0%	30	40.0%	45	60.0%	(0 0%	ó 44	58.7%	31	41.3%	5 (0 0%	25 33.3%	50	66.7%	0	0 0%	31	41.3%	44	58.7%	0	0 0%	75
ROCKWOOD STRIP TWP	1-1	32	46.4%	37	53.6%	0	0.0%	29	42.0%	40	58.0%	(0 0%	ъ́ 41	59.4%	27	39.1%	5 1	1.4%	29 42.0%	40	58.0%	0	0 0%	35	50.7%	34	49.3%	0	0 0%	69
SAINT ALBANS	1-1	104	44.8%	128	55.2%	0	0.0%	89	38.4%	143	61.6%	(0 0%	129	55.6%	101	43.5%	5 2	0 9%	82 35.3%	149	64.2%	1	0.4%	109	47.0%	123	53.0%	0	0 0%	232
SKOWHEGAN	1-1	495	59.4%	331	39.7%	7	0.8%	452	54.3%	370	44.4%	1	1 1 3%	567	68.1%	257	30.9%	5 9	1.1%	404 48.5%	423	50.8%	6	0.7%	494	59.3%	332	39.9%	7	0 8%	833
SMITHF ELD	1-1	99	54.7%	80	44.2%	2	1.1%	100	55.2%	81	44.8%	(0 0%	133	73.5%	48	26.5%	5 (0 0%	96 53.0%	84	46.4%	1	0 6%	108	59.7%	73	40.3%	0	0 0%	181
SOLON	1-1	78	50.3%	76	49.0%	1	0.6%	76	49.0%	79	51.0%	(0 0%	94	60.6%	61	39.4%	5 (0 0%	67 43.2%	88	56.8%	0	0 0%	89	57.4%	66	42.6%	0	0 0%	155
STARKS	1-1	48	52.7%	43	47.3%	0	0.0%	49	53.8%	42	46.2%	(0 0%	55	60.4%	35	38.5%	5 1	1.1%	42 46.2%	49	53.8%	0	0 0%	48	52.7%	43	47.3%	0	0 0%	91
THE FORKS PLT	1-1	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	0.0%	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	(0 0%	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	6	0 0%	10 52.6%	9	47.4%	0	0 0%	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	0 0%	19
WEST FORKS PLT	1-1	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	0	0.0%	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	(0 0%	6 10	55.6%	8	44.4%	6 (0 0%	4 22.2%	14	77.8%	0	0 0%	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	0	0 0%	18
SOMERSET COUNTY 1	IUIALS	3264	54.7%	2661	44.6%	46	0.8%	2979	49.9%	2941	49.3%	5	0.9%	3826	64.1%	2095	35.1%	50	0.8%	2581 43.2%	3345	56.0%	45	0.8%	3365	56.4%	2575	43.1%	31	0.5%	5971

												1 3, 201																			Total
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSU	E			QUES	TION 2:	BOND IS	SUE			QUES	STION 3:	BOND IS	SUE		QUE	STION 4:	BOND ISS	SUE			QUES	TION 5:	BOND ISSU	JE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	% N	NO 9	6	BLANK	%	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO '	%	BLANK	%	YES	% 1	1O 9	6 BL	ANK %		
BELFAST	1-1	424	57.5%	286	38.8%	27	3.7%	516	70.0%	202	27.4%	19	2 6%	585	79.4%	136	18.5%	16	2 2%	445 60.4%	271	36.8%	21	2 8%	566	76.8%	160	21.7%	11	1 5%	737
BELFAST	2-1	315	51.0%	281	45.5%	22	3.6%	367	59.4%	233	37.7%	18	2 9%	439	71.0%	163	26.4%	16	2 6%	316 51.1%	281	45.5%	21	3.4%	409	66.2%	196	31.7%	13	2.1%	618
BELFAST	3-1	129	50.2%	116	45.1%	12	4.7%	129	50.2%	113	44.0%	15	5 8%	166	64.6%	81	31.5%	10	3 9%	128 49.8%	116	45.1%	13	5.1%	137	53.3%	109	42.4%	11	4 3%	257
	BELFAST TOTALS	868	53.8%	683	42.4%	61	3.8%	1012	62.8%	548	34.0%	52	3 2%	1190	73.8%	380	23.6%	42	2 6%	889 55.1%	668	41.4%	55	3.4%	1112	69.0%	465	28.8%	35	2.2%	1612
BELMONT	1-1	75	53.6%	63	45.0%	2	1.4%	63	45.0%	76	54.3%	1	0.7%	85	60.7%	54	38.6%	1	0.7%	57 40.7%	81	57.9%	2	1.4%	75	53.6%	64	45.7%	1	0.7%	140
BROOKS	1-1	73	50.3%	71	49.0%	1	0.7%	59	40.7%	84	57.9%	2	1.4%	75	51.7%	70	48.3%	0	0 0%	55 37.9%	87	60.0%	3	2.1%	66	45.5%	77	53.1%	2	1.4%	145
BURNHAM	1-1	143	43.5%	170	51.7%	16	4.9%	127	38.6%	188	57.1%	14	4 3%	172	52.3%	143	43.5%	14	4 3%	92 28.0%	220	66.9%	17	5 2%	148	45.0%	171	52.0%	10	3 0%	329
FRANKFORT	1-1	63	48.5%	67		-	0.0%	56	43.1%	74	56.9%	0	0 0%	75	57.7%	55	42.3%	0	0 0%	46 35.4%	84	64.6%	0	0 0%	58	44.6%	72	55.4%		0 0%	130
FREEDOM	1-1	43	44.3%	54	55.7%	0	0.0%	41	42.3%	54	55.7%	2	2.1%	65	67.0%	32	33.0%	0	0 0%	39 40.2%	56	57.7%	2	2.1%	53	54.6%	44	45.4%	0	0 0%	97
ISLESBORO	1-1	101	58.0%	72	41.4%	1	0.6%	113	64.9%	60	34.5%	1	0 6%	133	76.4%	38	21.8%	3	1.7%	114 65.5%	60	34.5%	0	0 0%	121	69.5%	52	29.9%	1	0 6%	174
JACKSON	1-1	44	62.9%	25	35.7%	1	1.4%	39	55.7%	31	44.3%	0	0 0%	47	67.1%	22	31.4%	1	1.4%	37 52.9%	33	47.1%	0	0 0%	44	62.9%	26	37.1%	0	0 0%	70
KNOX	1-1	41	46.1%	48	53.9%	0	0.0%	40	44.9%	49	55.1%	0	0 0%	47	52.8%	42	47.2%	0	0 0%	33 37.1%	56	62.9%	0	0 0%	45	50.6%	44	49.4%	0	0 0%	89
LIBERTY	1-1	100	60.2%	66	39.8%	0	0.0%	96	57.8%	70	42.2%	0	0 0%	120	72.3%	46	27.7%	0	0 0%	83 50.0%	83	50.0%	0	0 0%	104	62.7%	61	36.7%	1	0 6%	166
LINCOLNV LLE	1-1	192	53.6%	160	44.7%	6	1.7%	221	61.7%	134	37.4%	3	0 8%	259	72.3%	99	27.7%	0	0 0%	194 54.2%	162	45.3%	2	0 6%	233	65.1%	124	34.6%	1	0 3%	358
MONROE	1-1	56	50.5%	54	48.6%	1	0.9%	56	50.5%	53	47.7%	2	1 8%	76	68.5%	34	30.6%	1	0 9%	46 41.4%	64	57.7%	1	0 9%	62	55.9%	49	44.1%	0	0 0%	111
MONTVILLE	1-1	41	34.7%	76	64.4%	1	0.8%	67	56.8%	51	43.2%	0	0 0%	70	59.3%	48	40.7%	0	0 0%	55 46.6%	61	51.7%	2	1.7%	70	59.3%	48	40.7%	0	0 0%	118
MORRILL	1-1	80	51.9%	74	48.1%	0	0.0%	70	45.5%	83	53.9%	1	0 6%	96	62.3%	58	37.7%	0	0 0%	64 41.6%	88	57.1%	2	1 3%	86	55.8%	68	44.2%	0	0 0%	154
NORTHPORT	1-1	153	50.2%	151	49.5%	1	0.3%	166	54.4%	139	45.6%	0	0 0%	210	68.9%	94	30.8%	1	0 3%	161 52.8%	141	46.2%	3	1 0%	178	58.4%	126	41.3%	1	0 3%	305
PALERMO	1-1	100	56.2%	78	43.8%	0	0.0%	82	46.1%	94	52.8%	2	1.1%	109	61.2%	67	37.6%	2	1.1%	84 47.2%	94	52.8%	0	0 0%	92	51.7%	86	48.3%	0	0 0%	178
PROSPECT	1-1	55	47.0%	60	51.3%	2	1.7%	50	42.7%	66	56.4%	1	0 9%	62	53.0%	54	46.2%	1	0 9%	35 29.9%	81	69.2%	1	0 9%	54	46.2%	63	53.8%	0	0 0%	117
SEARSMONT	1-1	85	45.5%	100	53.5%	2	1.1%	92	49.2%	94	50.3%	1	0 5%	132	70.6%	53	28.3%	2	1.1%	92 49.2%	93	49.7%	2	1.1%	110	58.8%	77	41.2%	0	0 0%	187
SEARSPORT	1-1	180	50.7%	168	47.3%	7	2.0%	170	47.9%	182	51.3%	3	0 8%	242	68.2%	111	31.3%	2	0 6%	170 47.9%	182	51.3%	3	0 8%	191	53.8%	162	45.6%	2	0 6%	355
STOCKTON SPRI	NGS 1-1	187	55.7%	147	43.8%	2	0.6%	178	53.0%	157	46.7%	1	0 3%	240	71.4%	95	28.3%	1	0 3%	170 50.6%	164	48.8%	2	0 6%	210	62.5%	126	37.5%	0	0 0%	336
SWANVILLE	1-1	81	46.8%	89	51.4%	3	1.7%	65	37.6%	105	60.7%	3	1.7%	101	58.4%	70	40.5%	2	1 2%	66 38.2%	103	59.5%	4	2 3%	73	42.2%	98	56.6%	2	1 2%	173
THORNDIKE	1-1	37	43.5%	47	55.3%	1	1.2%	36	42.4%	48	56.5%	1	1 2%	46	54.1%	39	45.9%	0	0 0%	32 37.6%	51	60.0%	2	2.4%	46	54.1%	38	44.7%	1	1 2%	85
TROY	1-1	55	44.4%	66	53.2%	3	2.4%	59	47.6%	65	52.4%	0	0 0%	81	65.3%	43	34.7%	0	0 0%	49 39.5%	74	59.7%	1	0 8%	64	51.6%	59	47.6%	1	0 8%	124
UNITY	1-1	108	51.2%	101	47.9%	2	0.9%	93	44.1%	117	55.5%	1	0 5%	130	61.6%	79	37.4%	2	0 9%	91 43.1%	119	56.4%	1	0 5%	111	52.6%	100	47.4%	0	0 0%	211
WALDO	1-1	48	55.8%	37	43.0%	1	1.2%	39	45.3%	47	54.7%	0	0 0%	56	65.1%	30	34.9%	0	0 0%	34 39.5%	52	60.5%	0	0 0%	47	54.7%	38	44.2%	1	1 2%	86
WINTERPORT	1-1	297	54.8%	245	45.2%	0	0.0%	257	47.4%	284	52.4%	1	0 2%	340	62.7%	201	37.1%	1	0 2%	238 43.9%	301	55.5%	3	0 6%	301	55.5%	241	44.5%	0	0 0%	542
WALD	O COUNTY TOTALS	3306	51.7%	2972	46.5%	114	1.8%	3347	52.4%	2953	46.2%	92	1.4%	4259	66.6%	2057	32.2%	76	1.2%	3026 47.3%	3258	51.0%	108	1.7%	3754	58.7%	2579	40.3%	59	0.9%	6392
		3000	01.170	0.2	40.070	1.1-	070	3041	J T/0		/ 0	<u> </u>	/0	,200	33.070	_00.	 /0			3323 41.070	3200	J 70	100	70	5,04	JJ.1 70		.0.570		0.070	

																				Total									
			QUES	STION 1:	BOND ISSU	E		QUE	STION 2	: BOND IS	SUE		QUES	STION 3	: BOND ISSUE			QUES	STION 4: E	BOND ISSI	UE			QUES [*]	TION 5:	BOND ISSU	JE		Votes
MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK 9	6 YES	%	NO	%	BLANK %	YES	%	NO	% BLA	NK %	YE	ES % N	1O 9	% В	LANK	% Y	/ES	% N	1O 9	% BL	ANK %	,	
ADDISON	1-1	70	43.5%	90	55.9%	1 0	.6%	36.6%	102	63.4%	0 00%	ъ́ 91	56.5%	70	43.5%	0 0	0%	50 31.1%	110	68.3%	1	0 6%	76	47.2%	85	52.8%	0	0 0%	161
ALEXANDER	1-1	44	53.0%	38	45.8%	1 1	.2%	35 42.2%	48	57.8%	0 00%	52	62.7%	29	34.9%	2 2	2.4%	27 32.5%	56	67.5%	0	0 0%	42	50.6%	40	48.2%	1	1 2%	83
BAILEYVILLE	1-1	100	57.8%	68	39.3%	5 2	.9%	39 51.4%	80	46.2%	4 23%	119	68.8%	50	28.9%	4 2	2 3%	66 38.2%	104	60.1%	3	1.7%	108	62.4%	62	35.8%	3	1.7%	173
BARING PLT	1-1	15	45.5%	18	54.5%	0 0	.0%	17 51.5%	16	48.5%	0 00%	ú 22	66.7%	11	33.3%	0 0	0%	10 30.3%	23	69.7%	0	0 0%	15	45.5%	18	54.5%	0	0 0%	33
BEALS	1-1	23	54.8%	18	42.9%	1 2	.4%	24 57.1%	17	40.5%	1 2.4%	<u>6</u> 28	66.7%	13	31.0%	1 2	2.4%	23 54.8%	19	45.2%	0	0 0%	25	59.5%	16	38.1%	1	2.4%	42
BEDD NGTON	1-1	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0 0	.0%	9 69.2%	4	30.8%	0 00%	б 9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0 0	0%	9 69.2%	4	30.8%	0	0 0%	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	0 0%	13
CALAIS	1-1	379	51.8%	338	46.2%	15 2	.0% 3	76 51.4%	337	46.0%	19 26%	508	69.4%	207	28.3%	17 2	2 3%	265 36.2%	450	61.5%	17	2 3%	460	62.8%	260	35.5%	12	1 6%	732
CHARLOTTE	1-1	32	54.2%	26	44.1%	1 1	.7%	20 33.9%	38	64.4%	1 1.79	38	64.4%	21	35.6%	0 0	0%	20 33.9%	38	64.4%	1	1.7%	27	45.8%	32	54.2%	0	0 0%	59
CHERRYF ELD	1-1	78	55.3%	63	44.7%	0 0	.0%	73 51.8%	68	48.2%	0 00%	6 86	61.0%	54	38.3%	1 0	0.7%	76 53.9%	63	44.7%	2	1.4%	75	53.2%	66	46.8%	0	0 0%	141
COLUMBIA	1-1	26	57.8%	17	37.8%	2 4	.4%	15 33.3%	30	66.7%	0 00%	5 23	51.1%	22	48.9%	0 0	0%	15 33.3%	30	66.7%	0	0 0%	20	44.4%	25	55.6%	0	0 0%	45
COLUMBIA FALLS	1-1	44	51.8%	41	48.2%	0 0	.0%	37.6%	52	61.2%	1 129	53	62.4%	31	36.5%	1 1	1 2%	28 32.9%	57	67.1%	0	0 0%	38	44.7%	47	55.3%	0	0 0%	85
COOPER	1-1	32	74.4%	11	25.6%	0 0	.0%	25 58.1%	18	41.9%	0 00%	33	76.7%	10	23.3%	0 0	0%	25 58.1%	18	41.9%	0	0 0%	32	74.4%	11	25.6%	0	0 0%	43
CRAWFORD	1-1	16	64.0%	9	36.0%	0 0	.0%	17 68.0%	7	28.0%	1 40%	ú 19	76.0%	6	24.0%	0 0	0 0%	17 68.0%	8	32.0%	0	0 0%	17	68.0%	8	32.0%	0	0 0%	25
CUTLER	1-1	27	45.8%	30	50.8%	2 3	.4%	61.0%	23	39.0%	0 00%	5 35	59.3%	22	37.3%	2 3	3.4%	31 52.5%	27	45.8%	1	1.7%	32	54.2%	26	44.1%	1	1.7%	59
DANFORTH/BROOKTON TWP.	1-1	39	53.4%	33	45.2%	1 1	.4%	22 30.1%	48	65.8%	3 4.19	6 42	57.5%	30	41.1%	1 1	1.4%	24 32.9%	46	63.0%	3	4.1%	31	42.5%	41	56.2%	1	1.4%	73
DEBLOIS	1-1	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0 0	.0%	4 50.0%	4	50.0%	0 00%	6 3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0 0	0%	2 25.0%	6	75.0%	0	0 0%	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0 0%	8
DENNYSV LLE/EDMUNDS TWP.	1-1	39	42.9%	50	54.9%	2 2	.2%	18 52.7%	41	45.1%	2 2 2 9	61	67.0%	29	31.9%	1 1	1.1%	35 38.5%	54	59.3%	2	2 2%	58	63.7%	32	35.2%	1	1.1%	91
EAST MACHIAS	1-1	122	51.7%	109	46.2%	5 2	.1% 1	10 46.6%	124	52.5%	2 08%	ó 141	59.7%	93	39.4%	2 () 8%	71 30.1%	161	68.2%	4	1.7%	106	44.9%	127	53.8%	3	1 3%	236
EASTPORT	1-1	264	58.4%	180	39.8%			9 61.7%	169	37.4%	4 0.9%	369		78			1.1%	236 52.2%	208	46.0%	8	1 8%	296	65.5%	149	33.0%	7	1 5%	452
GRAND LAKE STREAM PLT	1-1	30	66.7%	15	33.3%	0 0	.0%	33 73.3%	12	26.7%	0 00%	32		13	28.9%	0 0	0%	26 57.8%	19	42.2%	0	0 0%	34	75.6%	11	24.4%	0	0 0%	45
HARRINGTON	1-1	65	56.5%	48		2 1		7 49.6%	57	49.6%	1 0.9%	6 84		31	27.0%		0 0%	48 41.7%	65	56.5%		1.7%	57	49.6%	57	49.6%	1	0.9%	115
INDIAN TOWNSHIP	1-1	19	57.6%	9	27.3%	5 15	.2%	22 66.7%	6	18.2%	5 15 2%	ó 22	66.7%	5	15.2%	6 18	3 2%	12 36.4%	15	45.5%	6	18 2%	21	63.6%	7	21.2%	5	15 2%	33
JONESBORO	1-1	44	53.7%	35	42.7%	3 3	.7%	64.6%	28	34.1%	1 129	ъ́ 54		28			0 0%	42 51.2%	40	48.8%	0	0.0%	52	63.4%	30	36.6%	0	0 0%	82
JONESPORT	1-1	52	51.0%	50	49.0%	0 0	.0%	58 56.9%	43	42.2%	1 10%	68	66.7%	34	33.3%	0 0	0 0%	56 54.9%	46	45.1%	0	0 0%	58	56.9%	44	43.1%	0	0 0%	102
LUBEC	1-1	133	57.8%	96	41.7%			66.5%	77	33.5%	0 00%	181	78.7%	48			0.4%	103 44.8%	124	53.9%		1 3%	160	69.6%	69	30.0%	1	0.4%	230
MACHIAS	1-1	128	55.9%	99	43.2%	2 0		19 65.1%	80	34.9%	0 00%	176		51	22.3%		9%	109 47.6%	117	51.1%		1 3%	152	66.4%	76	33.2%	1	0.4%	229
MACHIASPORT	1-1	67	50.8%	65	49.2%			57 50.8%	64	48.5%	1 0.8%	6 88	66.7%	43			0 8%	62 47.0%	69	52.3%	1	0 8%	79	59.8%	51	38.6%	2	1 5%	132
MARSHF ELD	1-1	47	56.0%	36	42.9%			53.6%	38	45.2%	1 129	65		19			0 0%	39 46.4%	43	51.2%	2	2.4%	49	58.3%	35	41.7%	0	0.0%	84
MEDDYBEMPS	1-1	14	45.2%	17	54.8%			13 41.9%	17	54.8%	1 3 2%	6 18		13		0 0	0 0%	9 29.0%	21	67.7%	1	3 2%	15	48.4%	16	51.6%	0	0 0%	31
MILBRIDGE	1-1	65	52.0%	59				9 47.2%	63	50.4%	3 2.4%	82		42	33.6%	1 0	0 8%	56 44.8%	68	54.4%	1	0 8%	68	54.4%	57	45.6%	0	0 0%	125
NORTHFIELD	1-1	12	48.0%	13	52.0%			16 64.0%	9	36.0%	0 00%	6 21	84.0%	4	16.0%		0 0%	12 48.0%	13	52.0%	0	0 0%	18	72.0%	7	28.0%	0	0 0%	25
PEMBROKE	1-1	72	51.1%	69				71 50.4%	70	49.6%	0 00%	6 87	61.7%	54			0 0%	55 39.0%	86	61.0%	0	0 0%	81	57.4%	60	42.6%	0	0 0%	141
PERRY	1-1	74	50.3%	70	47.6%			30 54.4%	66	44.9%	1 0.7%	106	72.1%	40	27.2%		0.7%	75 51.0%	70	47.6%		1.4%	91	61.9%	56	38.1%	0	0 0%	147
PLEASANT POINT VOTING DIS	1-1	18	54.5%	14	42.4%	1 3	.0%	28 84.8%	5	15.2%	0 00%	6 26	78.8%	7	21.2%	0 0	0 0%	19 57.6%	14	42.4%	0	0 0%	25	75.8%	8	24.2%	0	0 0%	33
PR NCETON/BIG LAKE TWP.	1-1	70	50.7%	68	49.3%			8 42.0%	79	57.2%	1 0.7%	6 93	67.4%	45			0 0%	41 29.7%	95	68.8%	2	1.4%	76	55.1%	62	44.9%	0	0.0%	138
ROBBINSTON	1-1	36	47.4%	40	52.6%	0 0		31 40.8%	45	59.2%	0 00%	52	68.4%	24			0 0%	32 42.1%	44	57.9%	0		38	50.0%	38	50.0%	0	0.0%	76
ROQUE BLUFFS	1-1	29	69.0%	12	28.6%			33 78.6%	9	21.4%	0 00%	6 36	85.7%	5	11.9%		2.4%	21 50.0%	20	47.6%	1	2.4%	33	78.6%	9	21.4%	0	0 0%	42
STEUBEN	1-1	59	48.8%	60	49.6%			74 61.2%	46	38.0%	1 089	6 88	72.7%	32			0 8%	55 45.5%	64	52.9%	2	1.7%	74	61.2%	46	38.0%	1	0 8%	121
TALMADGE	1-1	8	72.7%	3	27.3%		.0%	5 45.5%	6	54.5%	0 00%	6 8	72.7%	3	27.3%		0 0%	3 27.3%	8	72.7%		0.0%	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	0 0%	11
TOPSFIELD/CODYV LLE PLT.	1-1	12	35.3%	22		0 0		7 20.6%	27	79.4%	0 00%	6 17		17			0 0%	4 11.8%	30	88.2%		0 0%	13	38.2%	21	61.8%	0	0 0%	34
VANCEBORO	1-1	18	51.4%	17				18 51.4%	17	48.6%	0 00%	6 21	60.0%	14			0 0%	12 34.3%	23	65.7%		0.0%	20	57.1%	15	42.9%	0	0.0%	35
WAITE	1-1	7	43.8%	0	56.3%	0 0		6 37.5%	10	62.5%	0 00%	6 9	56.3%	14	37.5%		3 3%	3 18.8%	13	81.3%		0 0%	20	50.0%	g g	50.0%	0	0 0%	16
WESLEY	1-1	11	47.8%	12	52.2%		.0%	6 26.1%	17	73.9%	0 00%	6 9		14	60.9%		0 0%	6 26.1%	17	73.9%		0 0%	6	26.1%	17	73.9%	0	0 0%	23
WHITING	1-1	52	48.1%	55			-	0 20.1%	57	52.8%	1 0 9%	69		39	36.1%		0 0%	37 34.3%	71	65.7%		0 0%	57	52.8%	51	47.2%	0	0 0%	108
WHITNEYVILLE	1-1	17	63.0%	10	37.0%			11 40.7%	16	59.3%	0 0 0 0 9	6 09 6 15		12	44.4%		0 0%	8 29.6%	18	66.7%	1	3.7%	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	0	0.0%	27
WASHINGTON COUNTY TOTALS	1-1	2524	53.3%		45.3%			3 52.6%			56 1.29						1.1%	1975 41.7%	2695	56.9%	60	1.5%	2771	58.5%	1927	40.7%	41	0.9%	4739
TAGINGTON GOONTT TOTALS		2024	33.3 %	4 140	45.5%	0/ 1	/0 Z4	02.0%	£ 130	4U.Z /0	30 1.27	3239	00.0%	1440	JU. 1 /0	JE	1.1 /0	1313 41.7/0	2030	JU.J /0	09	1.3/0	4111	30.370	1941	40.7 /0	71	U.J /0	41 33

MARCHAILT						QUESTI	ION 2:	BOND ISS	SUE		QUE	STION	3: BOND I	ISSUE		QUE	STION 4: I	BOND ISS	SUE			QUES [*]	TION 5: I	BOND ISS	UE		Total Votes				
A. P. C.	MUNICIPALITY	W-P	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES	% NC	9	% E	LANK %	YES	%	NO	%	BLANK	%	YES %	NO 9	% E	BLANK	% \	/ES	% N	IO %	6 В	LANK %		
SEARMEN 51 200 1274 201 20	ACTON	1-1	162	59.1%	109	39.8%	3	1.1%	177	64.6%	94	34.3%	3 1.19	% 199	72.69	% 7	4 27.09	% 1	0.4%	150 54.7%	119	43.4%	5	1 8%	190	69.3%	82	29.9%	2	0.7%	274
DEFORM 1-1 3-34 61-19 242 33-19 24 25-19 25-	ALFRED	1-1	272	63.3%	153	35.6%	5	1.2%	255	59.3%	172	40.0%	3 0.79	% 304	70.79	% 12	3 28.69	% 3	0.7%	230 53.5%	197	45.8%	3	0.7%	284	66.0%	145	33.7%	1	0 2%	430
Independence 1	ARUNDEL	1-1	259	52.2%	232	46.8%	5	1.0%	274	55.2%	217	43.8%	5 10	% 337	67.99	% 15	7 31.79	% 2	0.4%	249 50.2%	243	49.0%	4	0 8%	306	61.7%	187	37.7%	3	0 6%	496
BENELONIC 1	BERWICK	1-1	384	61.1%	242	38.5%	2	0.3%	370	58.9%	258	41.1%	0 0 0	% 456	72.69	% 16	9 26.99	% 3	0 5%	332 52.9%	295	47.0%	1	0 2%	384	61.1%	244	38.9%	0	0 0%	628
SECRETOR \$1 \$37	BIDDEFORD	1-1	332	49.4%	327	48.7%	13	1.9%	361	53.7%	305	45.4%	6 09	% 432	64.39	% 23	4 34.89	% 6	0 9%	324 48.2%	336	50.0%	12	1 8%	392	58.3%	272	40.5%	8	1 2%	672
## PROFESSION 1 201 46.0% 279 46.0% 12 2.5% 250 250 250 77 279 270 2	BIDDEFORD	2-1	247	54.3%	195	42.9%	13	2.9%	253	55.6%	194	42.6%	8 189	% 314	69.09	% 13	1 28.89	% 10	2 2%	202 44.4%	242	53.2%	11	2.4%	258	56.7%	186	40.9%	11	2.4%	455
SOCIETION 1.1 249 249 249 240 250 241 250 251	BIDDEFORD	3-1	379	43.8%	471	54.4%	16	1.8%	415	47.9%	438	50.6%	13 1 5	% 513	59.29	% 34	2 39.5%	% 11	1 3%	301 34.8%	546	63.0%	19	2 2%	456	52.7%	401	46.3%	9	1 0%	866
SOCIETOR BILDETORIO TAL 1. 279 470% 294 490% 27 30% 310 50% 500 693 40 50% 20 40% 19 26 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 200 40% 19 20 50% 20 50% 20 40% 19 20 50% 20	BIDDEFORD	4-1	281	49.0%	279	48.7%	13	2.3%	331	57.8%	225	39.3%	17 3 0	% 379	66.19	% 17	8 31.19	% 16	2 8%	277 48.3%	280	48.9%	16	2 8%	352	61.4%	208	36.3%	13	2 3%	573
SOUCH OF IT 1.5 1.	BIDDEFORD	5-1	245	52.0%	214	45.4%	12	2.5%	281	59.7%	177	37.6%	13 28	% 305	64.89	% 15	0 31.89	% 16	3.4%	215 45.6%	242	51.4%	14	3 0%	284	60.3%	177	37.6%	10	2.1%	471
BIOGETIAN 1-1 554 55-5	BIDDEFORD	6-1	279	47.0%	294	49.5%	21	3.5%	315	53.0%	269	45.3%	10 1.79	% 377	63.59	% 20	2 34.09	% 15	2 5%	245 41.2%	336	56.6%	13	2 2%	343	57.7%	241	40.6%	10	1.7%	594
BATTON	BIDDEFORD	7-1	312	53.3%	255	43.6%	18	3.1%	325	55.6%	239	40.9%	21 36	% 396	67.79	% 17	2 29.49	% 17	2 9%	255 43.6%	307	52.5%	23	3 9%	344	58.8%	224	38.3%	17	2 9%	585
Cornell 11 88 Sagh 20 41 41 52 53 54 55 55	BIDDEF	FORD TOTALS	2075	49.2%		48.3%	106	2.5%	2281	54.1%	1847	43.8%			64.49	6 140	9 33.49	% 91	2.2%	1819 43.1%	2289	54.3%			2429	57.6%	1709	40.5%	78	1.9%	
CORNING 1-1 55 55.5% 56 14.4% 0 0.0% 79 54.5% 10 0.0% 50 65.5% 10 0.0% 15 0.0%	BUXTON	1-1	554	58.2%	387	40.7%	11	1.2%	518	54.4%	428	45.0%	6 06	% 629	66.19	% 31	9 33.59	% 4	0.4%	453 47.6%	492	51.7%	7	0.7%	585	61.4%	364	38.2%	3	0 3%	952
DAYTON 1-1 272 53.44 511 46.75 7.9 50.6 275 51.96 51.0 52.0 51.0 51.0 52.0 52.0 51.0 52.0	CORNISH	1-1					0									_		_					0						0		
Fig.	DAYTON						2				198							_											0		
FRALES	ELIOT						45				_							_											21		
EXPANSEDIAN 1-1 1088 619% 621 369% 22 13% 1984 601% 600%	HOLLIS						1	-															3						2		
RENNERPROPERT 1-1 523 57-19 246 31-59 11 1.49 583 70-95 220 22-97 7 0.99 541 52-95 122 1.59	KENNEBUNK						22									_		_					19						12		
Internation 1.1 1010 168 756 772 30 90 56 30 2.38 186 187	KENNEBUNKPORT															_		_													
IEBANON																		_											24		
LIMINGTON 1-1 193 55.6% 148 42.7% 6 1.7% 225 82.8% 539 40.1% 4 12% 225 64.3% 119 34.3% 6 1.4% 140 41.8% 4 1.7% 20.9% 30.9% 41 12% 34.7% 140 41.8% 4 1.7% 40.9% 30.9% 30.9% 30.9% 31 30.9% 30.9																															
LIMMORTON 1:1 335 67% 140 41 8% 4 12% 157 469% 173 55 16% 5 15% 204 80 89% 172 37.9% 14 12% 140 41 8% 192 57.3% 3 0 9% 177 55 68.9% 130 45.7% 2 0 44 58.9% 180 12% 277 37.9% 140 140 41 8% 192 57.3% 3 0 9% 177 55 68.9% 193 45.7% 2 0 48 58.9% 193 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140																													4		
LYMAN	-						·	111 70				-																	•		
NEWELD 1-1 86 551-16 04 0.5% 2 1.4% 88 57.4% 82 41.9% 1 0.7% 102 659% 44 257% 2 1.4% 69 450% 78 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 102 659% 1 10.7% 10							5																						2		
NORTH DERWICK 1-1 360 60.88 226 38.2% 6 10% 349 60.9% 31 05% 620 30.9% 32 05% 3							2																1	0.1.70					1		
OGUNOUT 1-1 276 699% 113 286% 6 19% 300 6775% 52 209% 17 19% 386 85.8% 48 122% 8 2 29% 278 70.4% 110 272% 7 19% 305 772.8% 7 19% 305 772.8% 5 13% 396 00.00 47 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							6			_													8						3		
DAD ORCHARD BEACH 1-1 1955 82.8% 588 15.5% 36 21% 1103 85.7% 548 32.2% 28 1.7% 1706 75.0% 370 22.0% 33 2.0% 934 55.6% 714 42.5% 31 19% 1159 89.0% 497 28.9% 23 1.4% 1679 PARSONSHELD 1-1 1 986 58.3% 07 41.7% 12.0							6																						- 5		
PARSONSFIELD 1-1 98 55.3% 70 41.7% 0 0.0% 195 66.5% 73 43.5% 0 0.0% 116 69.0% 51 30.4% 1 0.6% 88 52.4% 80 47.6% 0 0.0% 102 0.7% 66 30.3% 10.0% 1785 53.00 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0% 10.0% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0% 11.1 53.2 47.5% 10.0%							36																								
SACO 1-1 362 47.9% 388 61.3% 6 0.8% 466 53.7% 342 45.4% 7 0.9% 466 61.5% 276 85.0% 15 2.9% 318 42.1% 431 57.0% 7 0.9% 445 58.8% 305 58.8																_															
SACO 9.1 4 091 46.4% 336 51.8% 12 18% 330 58.8% 109 47.6% 10 15% 373 57.5% 27 39.96% 10 29% 246 36.5% 385 59.3% 16 25% 380 55.5% 324 43.5% 7 1.1% 64.9 SACO 4.1 4 462 55.5% 344 41.3% 28 56.6% 58 18 22 83.9% 18 22% 59.8% 18 22							6																						1		
SACO 4-1 426 255% 344 413% 289 58.0% 193 42.3% 5 1.1% 518 68.0% 193 30.5% 4 0.9% 211 46.3% 289 58.0% 193 42.5% 59 73 49.5% 59							12						. 00										•						7		
SACO 4-1 462 55.5% 344 41.3% 26 3.1% 524 65.1% 272 32.7% 18 22% 593 71.3% 216 26.2% 21 2.2% 462 55.5% 35.2 42.3% 18 2.2% 573 68.9% 245 29.4% 14 1.7% 35.4 66.2% 18.9 31.5 57.5% 19.1% 21.2% 35.5 27.5 9 16.0 31.5 57.5% 18.0 2.0% 25.4 57.5 36.0 3.2% 14.0 5.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.							5																						3		
SACO 5-1 273 49.5% 273 49.5% 5 0.3% 292 53.0% 283 45.9% 6 1.1% 354 64.2% 188 34.1% 9 1.9% 227 41.2% 315 67.2% 9 1.6% 311 56.4% 237 43.0% 3 0.5% 551 58CO 7-1 2218 57.5% 153 40.4% 8 2.7% 53.9% 156 32.9% 16 3.4% 346 73.0% 119 26.6% 8 2.1% 190 50.1% 180 47.5% 14 3.0% 32 63.5% 153 14.6% 6 1.6% 270 71.2% 101 26.6% 8 2.1% 190 50.1% 180 47.5% 9 2.4% 202 69.1% 113 29.8% 4 11.1% 379 53CO 7-1 218 53.0% 189 40.4% 75 1.8% 2372 67.9% 140 40.4% 6 1.6% 270 71.2% 101 26.6% 8 2.1% 190 50.1% 180 47.5% 9 2.4% 202 69.1% 113 29.8% 4 11.1% 379 53CO 7-1 218 53.0% 189 40.4% 75 1.8% 2372 67.9% 140 40.4% 6 1.6% 270 71.2% 101 26.6% 8 2.1% 190 50.1% 180 47.5% 180 47.5% 180 47.5% 180 40.4% 180 40.4% 170 37.2% 142 42.4% 402 68.8% 160 2.7% 281 46.1% 291 46.9% 2084 51.1% 82 2.0% 2570 62.7% 1480 38.1% 47 1.1% 4097 51.0% 20.0%							26																-						14		
SACO 6-1 281 59.9% 180 38.0% 12 27% 302 63.7% 156 32.9% 151 34.6% 36 7.7% 271 46.2% 151 25.1% 91 99% 265 65.9% 193 41.9% 14 30.9% 32 67.9% 140 99.5% 12 2.9% 47.9% 140 12.0% 30.0% 14.1% 14 30.9% 32 67.9% 140 99.5% 12 2.9% 47.9% 140 99.5% 151 37.9%							5																						3		
SACO TOTALS SACO TOTALS SACO TOTALS SACO TOTALS SAL SACO TOTALS SAL			-				13																						12		
SACO TOTALS 2173 53.0% 1849 40.4% 15 1.8% 2372 57.9% 1857 40.4% 68 1.7% 2714 66.2% 1298 31.7% 86 2.1% 1921 46.9% 2094 51.1% 82 2.0% 2570 62.7% 1480 36.1% 47 1.1% 4097 14.1% 11.1% 1							8																								
SANFORD 1-1 335 57.4% 236 40.4% 13 2.2% 353 60.4% 217 37.2% 14 2.4% 402 68.8% 166 28.4% 16 2.7% 281 48.1% 291 49.8% 12 2.1% 360 61.6% 216 37.0% 8 1.4% 584 584 584 584 584 584 584 584 584 584							75																								
SANFORD 2-1 246 57.6% 161 37.7% 20 4.7% 244 57.1% 164 38.4% 19 4.4% 298 69.8% 112 26.2% 17 4.0% 209 48.9% 199 46.6% 19 4.4% 266 62.3% 147 34.4% 14 3.3% 427 5ANFORD 3-17-1 555 55.8% 398 40.0% 41 4.1% 550 55.3% 412 41.4% 32 3.2% 633 63.7% 304 30.6% 57 5.7% 408 42.1% 533 63.6% 43 4.3% 587 59.1% 382 52 5.5% 994 SANFORD 4-115-1 640 57.5% 452 40.6% 21 91.0% 633 56.9% 460 41.3% 20 1.8% 71.86 45.8% 199 46.6% 19 4.4% 266 62.3% 147 34.4% 14 3.3% 427 547 563 54.8% 19 4.4% 266 62.3% 147 34.4% 14 3.3% 427 54.2% 14 1.4% 32 3.2% 63.3% 30.6% 57 5.7% 408 45.6% 553 52.4% 42 2.0% 684 61.5% 42 36.3% 36.3% 42 2.2% 42 2.0% 684 61.5% 42 36.3% 36.3% 42 2.0% 684 61.5% 42 36.3%																															
SANFORD 3-17-1 555 55.8% 388 40.0% 41 4.1% 550 55.3% 412 41.4% 32 3.2% 633 63.7% 304 30.6% 57 5.7% 418 42.1% 533 53.6% 43 43% 557 55.1% 382 38.4% 25 2.5% 994 5ANFORD 4-1/5-1 640 57.5% 452 40.6% 21 1.9% 633 56.9% 460 41.3% 20 1.8% 718 64.5% 365 32.8% 30 2.7% 508 45.6% 583 52.4% 22 2.0% 684 61.5% 413 37.1% 16 1.4% 1113 5ANFORD 6-1 245 59.8% 160 39.0% 51 12% 254 62.0% 148 36.1% 8 2.0% 284 69.3% 119 29.0% 7 1.7% 598 45.6% 583 52.4% 207 50.5% 7 1.7% 259 63.5% 7 1.7% 259 64.5% 59.8% 160 39.0% 55 51.6% 168 43.9% 17 4.5% 197 52.1% 167 44.2% 14 3.7% 251 66.4% 113 29.9% 14 3.7% 170 45.0% 193 51.1% 15 4.0% 216 57.1% 148 39.2% 14 3.7% 378 50.0 0.0% 139 63.5% 7 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	-																-	_													
SANFORD 4-1/5-1 640 57.5% 452 40.6% 21 1.9% 633 56.9% 460 41.3% 20 1.8% 718 64.5% 365 32.8% 30 2.7% 508 45.6% 583 52.4% 22 2.0% 664 61.5% 413 37.1% 16 1.4% 1113 SANFORD 6-1 245 59.8% 160 39.0% 5 1.2% 254 62.0% 148 36.1% 8 2.0% 284 69.3% 119 29.0% 7 1.7% 196 47.8% 207 50.5% 7 1.7% 259 63.2% 144 35.1% 4 37.1% 410 SANFORD ABS 195 51.6% 166 43.9% 17 4.5% 197 52.1% 167 44.2% 14 3.7% 251 66.4% 113 29.9% 14 3.7% 270 50.5% 7 1.7% 259 65.2% 144 35.1% 153 ABS 195 51.6% 167 43.9% 17 4.5% 197 52.1% 167 44.2% 14 3.7% 251 66.4% 113 29.9% 14 3.7% 270 45.0% 193 51.1% 15 4.0% 297 51.5% 140 40.5% 197 52.1% 1573 40.5% 197 52.1% 1573 40.5% 197 52.1% 1568 40.1% 107 2.7% 2586 66.2% 1179 30.2% 141 3.8% 1782 45.6% 2006 51.4% 118 3.0% 237 67.0% 1450 37.1% 84 2.2% 3906 SHAPLEIGH 1-1 108 49.3% 109 49.8% 2 0.9% 98 44.7% 121 55.3% 0 0 0.0% 139 63.5% 79 36.1% 1 0.5% 89 40.6% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.9% 1 0.0% 129 58.8% 222 40.0% 6 1.1% 1577 60.6% 964 37.0% 62 2.4% 1705 65.5% 863 33.2% 35 13% 1879 72.2% 674 25.9% 50 1.9% 1435 55.1% 1107 42.5% 61 2.3% 1837 70.6% 737 28.3% 29 1.1% 2603 YORK 00UNTY TOTALS 1954 64.0% 109 32.6% 107 0.3% 2189 87.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 1581 46.6% 682 2.0% 2006 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 150 48.1% 130 57.5% 4 1.0% 20.5% 50.5% 150 4.0% 110 1.0% 20.5% 65.0% 4 1.3% 34.0% 10.0% 100 50.0	-										_																				
SANFORD 6-1 245 59.8% 160 39.0% 5 1.2% 254 62.0% 148 36.1% 8 2.0% 284 69.3% 119 29.0% 7 1.7% 196 47.9% 207 50.5% 7 1.7% 259 63.2% 144 35.1% 7 1.7% 410 SANFORD ABS 195 51.6% 166 43.9% 17 4.5% 197 52.1% 167 44.2% 14 3.7% 251 66.4% 113 29.9% 14 3.7% 170 45.0% 193 51.1% 15 4.0% 216 57.1% 148 39.2% 14 3.7% 378 378 378 378 379 30.2% 141 3.6% 197 30.2% 141 3.6																_															
SANFORD ABS 195 51.6% 166 43.9% 17 4.5% 197 52.1% 167 44.2% 14 3.7% 251 66.4% 113 29.9% 14 3.7% 251 66.4% 113 29.9% 14 3.7% 170 45.0% 193 51.1% 170 45.0% 193 51.1% 15 4 0% 216 57.1% 145 39.2% 144 3.7% 378 SANFORD TOTALS SANFORD TOTALS 216 56.7% 1573 40.3% 117 30.0% 2231 57.1% 1568 40.1% 107 277 2886 66.2% 1179 30.2% 141 3.6% 170 45.0% 470 470 470 470 470 470 470 4																_													7		
SANFORD TOTALS 2216 55.% 1573 40.3% 117 3.0% 2231 57.1% 1568 40.1% 107 2.7% 2586 66.2% 1179 30.2% 141 3.6% 1782 45.6% 2006 51.4% 118 3.0% 2372 60.7% 1450 37.1% 84 2.2% 3906 SHAPLEIGH 1-1 108 49.3% 109 49.8% 2 0.9% 98 44.7% 121 55.3% 0 0 0 0 % 139 63.5% 79 36.1% 1 0 5% 89 40.6% 129 58.9% 1 0 55% 121 55.3% 97 44.3% 1 0 55% 219 SOUTH BERWICK 1-1 432 56.1% 326 42.3% 12 1.6% 495 64.3% 271 35.2% 4 0 55% 583 75.7% 185 24.0% 2 0 3% 413 53.6% 354 46.0% 3 0.4% 541 70.3% 227 29.5% 2 0 3% 770 WATERBORO 1-1 1 318 57.5% 228 41.2% 7 1.3% 288 52.1% 258 46.7% 7 1.3% 35.4 64.0% 193 34.9% 6 1.1% 24.04 43.4% 303 54.8% 10 1 18% 325 58.8% 222 40.1% 6 6 11.5% 55.3% 97 1.1% 553 1 15% 1879 72.2% 674 25.9% 50 1 9% 1435 55.1% 1107 42.5% 61 2.3% 1837 70.6% 737 28.3% 29 1.1% 2603 YORK 1-1 1 1982 64.0% 1009 32.6% 107 0.3% 2192 70.8% 828 26.7% 78 2.5% 2475 79.9% 544 17.6% 79 2.6% 1956 63.1% 1048 33.8% 94 3.0% 2267 73.2% 766 24.7% 65 2.1% 3098 YORK COUNTY TOTALS 19544 57.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 26625 60.5% 12898 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 15881 46.6% 682 2.0% 22006 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0 6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0 3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1 13% 245 78.0% 65 20.7% 4 1 13% 314		0 1														_													1/		
SHAPLEIGH 1-1 108 49.3% 109 49.8% 2 0.9% 98 44.7% 121 55.3% 0 0.0% 139 63.5% 79 36.1% 1 0.5% 89 40.6% 129 58.9% 1 0.5% 121 55.3% 97 44.3% 1 0.5% 219 SOUTH BERWICK 1-1 432 56.1% 326 42.3% 12 1.6% 495 64.3% 271 35.2% 4 0.5% 583 75.7% 185 24.0% 2 0.3% 413 53.6% 354 46.0% 3 0.4% 541 70.3% 227 29.5% 2 0.3% 770 WATERBORO 1-1 318 57.5% 228 41.2% 7 1.3% 288 52.1% 258 46.7% 7 1.3% 354 64.0% 193 34.9% 6 1.1% 240 43.4% 303 54.8% 10 1.8% 325 58.8% 222 40.1% 6 1.1% 553 WELLS 1-1 1577 60.6% 964 37.0% 62 2.4% 1705 65.5% 863 33.2% 35 1.3% 1879 72.2% 674 25.9% 50 1.9% 1435 55.1% 1047 42.5% 61 2.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 20625 60.5% 1289 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 1581 46.6% 682 2.0% 2206 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0.6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0.3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1.3% 245 78.0% 65 20.7% 4 1.3% 314											_																				
SOUTH BERWICK 1-1 432 56.1% 326 42.3% 12 1.6% 495 64.3% 271 35.2% 4 0.5% 583 75.7% 185 24.0% 2 0.3% 413 53.6% 354 46.0% 3 0.4% 541 70.3% 227 29.5% 2 0.3% 770 485 24.0% 10 1.1% 1318 57.5% 228 41.2% 7 1.3% 288 52.1% 258 46.7% 7 1.3% 354 64.0% 193 34.9% 6 1.1% 240 43.4% 303 54.8% 10 1.8% 325 58.8% 222 40.1% 6 1.1% 553 40.6% 11 1.577 60.6% 964 37.0% 62 2.4% 1705 65.5% 863 33.2% 35 1.3% 1879 72.2% 674 25.9% 50 1.9% 1435 55.1% 1107 42.5% 61 2.3% 1837 70.6% 737 28.3% 29 1.1% 2603 YORK 1-1 1982 64.0% 1009 32.6% 107 0.3% 2192 70.8% 828 26.7% 78 2.5% 2475 79.9% 544 17.6% 79 2.6% 1956 63.1% 1048 33.8% 94 3.0% 2267 73.2% 766 24.7% 65 2.1% 3098 YORK COUNTY TOTALS 1954 57.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 20625 60.5% 12898 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 15881 46.6% 682 2.0% 2206 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0.6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0.3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1.3% 245 78.0% 65 20.7% 4 1.3% 314							117									_							110						- 04		
WATERBORO 1-1 318 57.5% 228 41.2% 7 1.3% 288 52.1% 258 46.7% 7 1.3% 34.9% 6 1.1% 240 43.4% 303 54.8% 10 1 8% 325 58.8% 222 40.1% 6 1.1% 553 WELLS 1-1 1577 60.6% 964 37.0% 62 2.4% 1705 65.5% 863 33.2% 35 1 3% 1879 72.2% 674 25.9% 50 1 9% 1435 55.1% 1107 42.5% 61 2 3% 1837 70.6% 737 28.3% 29 1.1% 2603 YORK 1-1 1982 64.0% 1009 32.6% 107 0.3% 2192 70.8% 828 26.7% 78 2 5% 2475 79.9% 544 17.6% 79 2 6% 1956 63.1% 1048 33.8% 94 3 0% 2267 73.2% 766 24.7% 65 2.1% 3098 YORK COUNTY TOTALS 1954 57.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 20625 60.5% 12898 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 15881 46.6% 682 2.0% 22006 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0 6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0 3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1 3% 245 78.0% 65 20.7% 4 1 3% 314							12																1						- 1		
WELLS 1-1 1577 60.6% 964 37.0% 62 2.4% 1705 65.5% 863 33.2% 35 13% 1879 72.2% 674 25.9% 50 19% 1435 55.1% 1107 42.5% 61 2.3% 1837 70.6% 73 28.3% 29 1.1% 2603 YORK 1-1 1982 64.0% 1009 32.6% 107 0.3% 2192 70.8% 828 26.7% 78 25.9% 2475 79.9% 544 17.6% 79 2 6% 1956 63.1% 1048 33.8% 94 30.9% 2267 73.2% 73.2% 766 24.7% 65 24.7% 65 24.7% 3098 YORK COUNTY TOTALS 19544 57.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 20625 60.5% 12898 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 15881 46.6% 682 2.0% 22006 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0 6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0 3% 198 63.1% 1107 42.5% 61 2 3% 1837 70.6% 70.7% 70.6% 737 28.3% 29 1.1% 2603 3098 29 1.1% 2603 3098							12																								
YORK 1-1 1982 64.0% 1009 32.6% 107 0.3% 2192 70.8% 828 26.7% 78 2 5% 2475 79.9% 544 17.6% 79 2 6% 1956 63.1% 1048 33.8% 94 3 0% 2267 73.2% 766 24.7% 65 2.1% 3098 YORK COUNTY TOTALS 19544 57.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 20625 60.5% 12898 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 15811 46.6% 682 2.0% 22006 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0.6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0.3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1 3% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>/</td> <td></td> <td>90</td> <td></td> <td></td>							/																						90		
YORK COUNTY TOTALS 19544 57.3% 13827 40.6% 711 2.1% 20625 60.5% 12898 37.8% 559 1.6% 24093 70.7% 9365 27.5% 624 1.8% 17519 51.4% 15881 46.6% 682 2.0% 22006 64.6% 11647 34.2% 429 1.3% 34082 STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0.6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0.3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1 3% 245 78.0% 65 20.7% 4 1 3% 314																_		_													
STATE UOCAVA 160 51.0% 151 48.1% 3 1.0% 237 75.5% 75 23.9% 2 0.6% 262 83.4% 51 16.2% 1 0.3% 198 63.1% 112 35.7% 4 1.3% 245 78.0% 65 20.7% 4 1.3% 314																															
	TURK CO	UNIT IUIALS	19544	57.3%	13827	40.6%	/11	2.1%	20625	ხ0.5% 1	2898	37.8%	559 1.6	% 2409 3	70.79	₇₀ 936	5 27.5%	% 624	1.8%	1/519 51.4%	15881	46.6%	682	2.0%	22006	64.6%	1164/	34.2%	429	1.3%	34082
STATE TOTALS 126393 57.3% 90130 40.9% 3948 1.8% 132759 60.2% 84647 38.4% 3065 1.4% 157190 71.3% 59895 27.2% 3386 1.5% 114348 51.9% 102233 46.4% 3890 1.8% 143026 64.9% 75073 34.1% 2372 1.1% 220471	STATE UOCAVA		160	51.0%	151	48.1%	3	1.0%	237	75.5%	75	23.9%	2 06	% 262	83.49	% 5	1 16.29	% 1	0 3%	198 63.1%	112	35.7%	4	1 3%	245	78.0%	65	20.7%	4	1 3%	314
STATE TOTALS 126393 57.3% 90130 40.9% 3948 1.8% 132759 60.2% 84647 38.4% 3065 1.4% 157190 71.3% 59895 27.2% 3386 1.5% 114348 51.9% 102233 46.4% 3890 1.8% 143026 64.9% 75073 34.1% 2372 1.1% 220471																+	1														
	S	STATE TOTALS	126393	57.3%	90130	40.9%	3948	1.8%	132759	60.2% 8	34647	38.4%	3065 1.4	% 157190	71.3%	6 5989	5 27.2%	% 3386	1.5%	114348 51.9%	102233	46.4%	3890	1.8%	143026	64.9%	75073	34.1%	2372	1.1%	220471