

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

RICHARD S. COHEN  
ATTORNEY GENERAL



79-18  
JOHN M. R. PATERSON  
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF MAINE  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333

February 13, 1979

Honorable Harold L. Silverman  
Senate Chambers  
State House  
Augusta, Maine 04333

Dear Senator Silverman:


This is in response to your request of January 30, 1979, inquiring into the constitutionality of the lottery currently proposed for the distribution of permits to hunt moose. The question of the constitutionality of the moose lottery was thoroughly covered in an opinion issued by this office during the legislative debate on the proposal in 1977. A copy of that opinion is attached. You will note that the opinion finds no impediment to a moose lottery in the United State or Maine Constitutions, but does raise a question of technical incompatibility with the federal law governing the operation of lotteries in interstate commerce, 18 U.S.C. § 1301, et seq. While we indicate in our prior opinion that an attack on those grounds is not likely, it must be understood that this office cannot guarantee federal inaction.

As to the specific questions which you raise, I would reply, first of all, that there is no constitutional reason why the Legislature cannot assign any function it chooses to any agency of state government. Thus, it may constitutionally assign the function of conducting a moose lottery to the Inland Fisheries and Wildlife Department regardless of whether that Department has heretofore operated a lottery. Your second question seems to suggest a possible constitutional infirmity with the Legislature's restricting the number of persons who may hunt moose to those who have won a lottery. The Equal Protection Clauses of the United States and Maine Constitutions do not prohibit all discriminations by government, but only those

which are without a rational basis. In the case of the moose lottery, the Legislature could argue that such a basis exists in that the resource to be hunted is sufficiently scarce to require regulation of the number of hunters and that the only equitable way to determine the identity of such hunters is by random selection. Accordingly, it would seem most unlikely that the lottery proposal would be deemed to involve constitutionally impermissible discrimination.

I hope this answers your questions.

Sincerely,



RICHARD S. COHEN  
Attorney General

RSC/ec  
Enclosure

cc: Honorable James McBreairty  
Honorable Ronald Usher  
Honorable Roland Martin  
Honorable Charles Dow  
Maynard Marsh, Commissioner

STATE OF MAINE  
LD 254 and LD 588 - Moose Hunting Lotteries

6/2/88

Inter-Departmental Memorandum Date April 7, 1977

To Representative Charles G. Dow

Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

From Jeffrey R. Pidot, Assistant

Dept. Attorney General

Subject LD 254 and LD 588 - Constitutional Questions

You have asked for an opinion as to the constitutionality of the two above referenced bills, in light of the fact that they create a scheme in which persons may, upon payment of a fee, participate in a drawing for the chance to engage in moose hunting in the State. The legality of a similar, lottery-type scheme has apparently been questioned by the Idaho Attorney General in interpreting the Constitution of that State.

ANSWER: The moose hunting lotteries established by LD 254 and LD 588 are not in violation of the Maine or federal Constitutions although a question arises as to their permissibility under a technical reading of federal statutes relating to unlawful lotteries.

REASONING: Neither the Maine nor the federal Constitutions, unlike that of the State of Idaho, contain provisions which prohibit or otherwise deal with lotteries authorized by the State. Accordingly, there appears to be no constitutional infirmity related to the establishment by the State of Maine of a lottery scheme for the purposes here present.

There are, however, both State and federal laws which regulate and prohibit certain types of lotteries. 17-A M.R.S.A. Chapter 39 (§951 et seq) makes it a crime to engage in or conduct certain types of gambling, including lotteries. However, by defining "unlawful" gambling as gambling not expressly authorized by statute, this law appears to exclude from its coverage the State-created lottery scheme here proposed. See 17-A M.R.S.A. §952(11). In any event, it is obvious that the Legislature, were it to enact either LD 254 or LD 588, would by implication be de-criminalizing the State-operated lottery therein sanctioned.<sup>1/</sup>

<sup>1/</sup> It is noteworthy in this regard that the Legislature, when it created the Maine State Lottery, resolved any possible inconsistency with the State's gambling laws by providing:

"No other law providing any penalty or disability for the sale of lottery tickets or any acts done in connection with a lottery shall apply to the sale of tickets or shares performed pursuant to this chapter." 8 M.R.S.A. §363

In the interest of clarity, consideration might be given to including a similar provision in LD 254 and LD 588 so as to expressly eliminate any conceivable inconsistency with 17-A M.R.S.A. Chapter 39 or 17 M.R.S.A. Chapter 14.

17 M.R.S.A. Chapter 14 (§330 et seq.) requires operators of games of chance, including lotteries, to obtain a license from the Chief of Police. Although it seems unlikely that the framers of either bill here at issue intend that the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife register with the Chief of Police, any ambiguity could be resolved by including a general provision negating the applicability of such laws.

A technical issue arises by reason of the possible applicability to the scheme here proposed of the federal laws prohibiting lotteries. 18 USC §1301 et seq. makes it a crime to, inter alia, engage in the use of the mails, radio broadcasting or interstate commerce to operate a lottery. Since both LD 254 and LD 588 appear to contemplate the use of the mails for purposes of dissemination of information, collection of fees and notification of selection for moose hunting licenses, and since the elements of a lottery under federal law appear to be present in both proposed formats, <sup>2/</sup> there appears to be the possibility that a strict application of 18 USC §§1301 -1304 would bar the schemes here proposed. <sup>3/</sup>

However, since the federal lottery laws do not appear to be designed to impair the relatively innocuous scheme here considered, the likelihood of such an attack by the federal government might be remote despite the technical applicability of such laws to the procedures established by LD 254 and LD 588.

---

<sup>2/</sup> The three necessary elements of a "lottery", for purposes of the application of federal law, are said to be the furnishing of consideration, the offering of a prize and the distribution of the prize by chance. See, e.g., Brooklyn Daily Eagle v. Voorhies, 181 F. 579 (1910 2d Cir.). All of these indicia appear to be present in the chance drawing procedures of LD 254 and LD 588.

<sup>3/</sup> Although 18 USC §1307 provides exemption for certain state-conducted lotteries from federal prohibition, such exemption by its terms applies only to functions, such as the Maine State Lottery, where there is involved "the pooling of proceeds derived from the sale of tickets or chances and allotting those proceeds or parts thereof by chance to one or more chance takers or ticket purchasers." 18 USC §1307(d). Since it is assumed that the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife would not, under the bills here involved, be awarding any part of the proceeds of the "moose hunt lottery" to the "winning" hunters, it appears that this exemption does not cover the proposed scheme.

If I can be of further assistance to you in this matter,  
please feel free to call upon me.

JP/bls

cc: Senator McNally  
Representative McBreairty  
Andrew Redmond, Chairman - Senate Committee on Fisheries and  
Wildlife  
Maynard F. Marsh, Commissioner - Department of Inland Fisheries  
and Wildlife

bcc: Donald A. Alexander ✓  
Cabanne Howard