MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

This document is from the files of the Office of the Maine Attorney General as transferred to the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library on January 19, 2022

June 30, 1964

S. F. Dorrance, Assistant Director

Agriculture D.A.I.

John W. Benoit, Assistant

Attorney General

State's Liability for Damage to Livestock by Rabid Fox.

PACTS:

In the Rangeley area, a cow and two sheep were attacked by a fox. The livestock died after contracting rabies. A laboratory test of one animal showed a positive result to the rabies test.

QUESTION A

Whether the State is liable for the value of livestock which died as a result of an attack by a rabid fox?

AMETERA

Yes.

REASON

The applicable statutory provision is as follows:

"Sec. 18. Payment of damages done by dogs and wild animals; recovery from owner; keeping dogs that kill livestock and poultry. Whenever any livestock, poultry or demestic rabbits, properly enclosed, owned by a resident of this state is killed or injured by dogs or wild animals, the owner, after locating such animal, animals, er poultry or a sufficient part of each to identify the same, may make complaint thereof to the mayor of a city, or to one of the municipal officers of the town or plantation where such damage was done within 24 hours after he has knowledge of same.

"R. 5. 1954, g. 100.

The livestock were killed by a wild animal. The fact that death was caused by rabies transmitted to the livestock by a wild animal is as significant as the fact of the death of livestock by the physical destruction thereof by a wild animal.

This opinion is not concerned with any questions of procedure. Further, we have not been requested to render a formal expression upon any other questions, such as what constitutes "properly enclosed" livestock. Your memorandum presented a single question: Whether the livestock.

220

stock were killed by a wild animal within the meaning of R. S. c. 100, \S 18.

John W. Benoit

JWB/eh