

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

**STATE OF MAINE**

**REPORT**

**OF THE**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL**

**For The Calendar Years**

**1963 - 1964**

"The next sentence at the beginning of the next paragraph says, 'This office cannot find any.' I now realize that from the point of view of your Legal Division that this statement is much broader than I intended it when I wrote it.

"The actual intent of what I said is made more clear by the last two sentences of the opinion which say, 'They may sell certificates of deposit or indebtedness but may not accept savings deposits as commonly known to the general public. Certificates of deposits sold by industrial banks cannot recite characteristics which would indicate an intention to create savings deposits.'

"My opinion was not intended in any way to indicate that industrial banks could not accept 'deposits' but only to indicate that such banks could not accept 'savings deposits' as such deposits are commonly known to the general public."

It is hoped that the above will clarify the situation relative to power of industrial banks to accept "deposits."

GEORGE C. WEST

Deputy Attorney General

May 8, 1963

To: Honorable Robert A. Marden  
President of the Senate  
State House  
Augusta, Maine

Dear Bob:

With respect to the proposed Sunday Closing Law, L. D. 1364 and its proposed committee amendment, you have asked the question:

"If a store, such as LaVerdiere's Drug Store, has less than 5,000 square feet . . . and less than five employees for the sale of general merchandise, is their status in any way affected by the fact that there are additional employees devoting their time to the dispensing of prescriptions, etc., in connection with the exempted status of the store on Sunday as a drug store? In other words, do the druggist and pharmacist dispensing medicine on Sunday count as employees for the purpose of determining the total number of employees of the store under the provisions of the MacGregor Bill?"

The question(s) call for several answers.

1. If *any* store has less than 5,000 square feet of floor space, according to the proposed bill and amendment, it is a store exempt from the provisions of the law requiring closure on the Lord's Day and certain holidays.

The specific words are: "This section shall not apply to: . . . ; stores which have no more than 5,000 square feet of interior floor space, excluding storage space and space for displays and exhibits."

2. It matters not how many persons are employed in the store if the floor space is less than 5,000 square feet, according to the amendment.

The converse also would apply.

If a store exceeded the limit of square footage under the bill but employed 5 persons or less, it also would be exempt.

"This section shall not apply to: . . . ; stores wherein no more than 5 persons, including the proprietor, are employed in the usual and regular conduct of business;"

One to five persons could operate a store of any size on the Lord's Day, assuming this also was the usual complement in "the usual and regular conduct of business." We believe this to mean a regular business day.

3. In answer to — "do the druggist and pharmacist dispensing medicine on Sunday count as employees for the purposes of determining the total number of employees of the store . . . ."

Let's assume that our fictitious store had over 5,000 square feet and its exemption depended on the number of employees. The druggist and pharmacist should be counted as employees to determine its qualification.

The third paragraph of the bill reads in part: "For the purpose of determining qualification, a 'store' shall be deemed to be any operation conducted within one building advertising as, and representing itself to the public to be, one business enterprise regardless of internal departmentalization."

We are of the opinion that this paragraph relates to the "square footage" and "number of employees" qualifications, but this is not entirely clear. In other words, we don't believe the intention to be that the third paragraph apply to the words "drug stores" in the bill, without more. If this were so then LaVerdiere's drug stores or any other large drug store could remain open on the Lord's Day simply by calling itself a drug store and despite the fact that it might employ 10 persons and be of 10,000 square feet of space.

To put it finally — a store such as LaVerdiere's can remain open on the Lord's Day if it —

- 1) employs 5 persons or less in the usual and regular conduct of its business, or
- 2) contains no more than 5,000 square feet of interior floor space with certain exclusions.

If such a store exceeds both of these qualifications, then we believe that that part of it complying as a "drug store" within the limits defined by the court in *State v. Fantastic Fair, et al.*, 158 Me. 258, could remain open.

We gather from your question you feel that a store to be exempt under the law must qualify as to both square footage and number of employees. We disagree and believe the two qualifications to be separable, as is obvious by our answer.

Very truly yours,

FRANK E. HANCOCK

Attorney General

May 8, 1963

To: Col. Robert Marx, Chief, State Police

Re: Law of the Road as it Relates to Pulpwood Products

In your memorandum of May 2, 1963, you ask whether wood flour, prime wood fiber, and wood chips, come under the weight tolerance of 110% as set forth in Revised Statutes chapter 22, section 111-A.