

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calender years

1961 - 1962

November 2, 1962

To: Major Parker F. Hennessey, Maine State Police

Re: Motor Vehicle Inspection "Released for Operation upon the Highways"

You have asked whether it is necessary for an automobile dealer to remove the current inspection sticker from a car which he has taken in trade prior to road testing the vehicle to determine the necessary repairs and adjustments. The pertinent statute is Revised Statutes, chapter 22, section 45, which states:

"No dealer or holder of a transit registration certificate in new or used motor vehicles shall permit any such vehicle owned or controlled by him to be released for operation upon the highways until it has been inspected and a proper sticker certifying such inspection placed thereon. If such vehicle bears thereon a certificate showing a prior inspection, the same shall be removed."

When the legislature used the language "released for operation upon the highways" the intention was not that the dealer would have to remove the sticker and inspect the vehicle before taking it upon the road in order to perform additional necessary and proper tests. Rather, the inspection sticker must be replaced prior to demonstration and sale to the customer. In answer to your question, the dealer does not have to remove the current sticker before the vehicle is taken upon the roads for testing.

PETER G. RICH

Assistant Attorney General

November 5, 1962

To: Kermit S. Nickerson, Deputy Commissioner of Education

Re: Copyright Laws in Relation to Television Programs

You have inquired as to the law on the use of excerpts from copyrighted books on educational television programs. I will quote for you a commentary found in *Corpus Juris Secundum*, Volume 18, page 224:

"§ 105. — *Extracts and Quotations*

"Reasonable use of extracts or quotations from copyrighted works for a proper purpose is not an infringement.

"Making extracts, even if they are not acknowledged as such, appearing under all the circumstances of the case, reasonable in quality, number, and length, regard being had to the object with which the extracts are made and to the subjects to which they relate, is a fair and noninfringing use. Thus, it is not necessarily piracy for a reviewer or commentator to make use of extracts or quotations from a copyrighted work for the purpose of fair exposition or reasonable criticism, and considerable license is allowed in such cases, but it is illegitimate to publish extracts to such an extent that the publication may serve as a more or less complete substitute for the work from which they are borrowed, as excessive quotation is an infringement. If so much is taken that the value of the original is sensibly diminished, or the labors of the author