MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

This document is from the files of the Office of the Maine Attorney General as transferred to the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library on January 19, 2022

STATE OF MAINE

Inter-Departmental Memorandum Date August 10, 1962

To Ernast H. Johnson, State Tex Assessor	Deputation				
From Ralph W. Ferris, Asst. Atty. General	Dept	21	n	te	
Subject Property Tax Exemption of Veterans'	/Idows	•			

Your request for advice, of August 8, 1962, upon the above subject received in which you quote paragraph D of subsection III of section 10 of Chapter 91-A, R.S., as amended, relating to the unmarried videw of a veteran being entitled to a pension under this subsection.

You also quote peregraph F of the same subsection relating to the qualification of the veteran making the claim for exemption under this statute.

You state that the question has brisen as to whether the widow of a veteran, who is claiming examption because her husband, if living, would have been over sixty-two years of age, would be entitled to asseption if she herealf meets the residence requirements, although her deceased husband did not meet the residence requirements. The vidow lived in Maine for more than ten years as a child before going clowhere and marrying the veteran who seither entered service from Maine nor had ten years residence in Maine before his death. Tou state that the question resolves around the language in paragraph D which reads "The unmarried vidow... of any veteran who would be entitled to such commution if living..." Or who is in receipt of a pension or compensation from the Federal Government as the vidow or minor child of a veteran.

It is my opinion that the widov in quastion cannot secure an exemption upon her husband's death, as he was not entitled to such exemption when living, as provided in paragraph D of section 10, but in case she, as a widow of the veteran, was receiving a pension in her own right as such widow she would be entitled to such an exemption under paragraph D.

She should apply to the Department of Veterans Affairs, of the State, or to the Veterans Administration, at Togus, and see about her eligibility for a widow's pension based on the death of her nonresident husband."

I feel that under paragraph F stating that the survivor of the veteran must have qualified in Maine to be entitled before his widow could claim examption under said paragraph F of section 10. III. Ernast H. Johnson, State Tax Assessor

August 10, 1962

We must read the whole paragraph F to interpret this language in 1, 2 and 3 of the paragraphs and see what is provided in D, as it appears that the widow must show that the deceased veteran under whom she claims examption would have been eligible for exemption as required above, and I do not feel that the word "or" in section 2 of paragraph F makes ten years residence a factor in determining her eligibility for a widow's examption up to the value of \$3500.

RWF: epd

STATE OF MAINE

Inter-Departmental M	femorandum Date August 8, 1962
To Baigh W. Parris, Louistont Attacnay Concrat	Dept. Buseness of Toxestion
From Ernout H. Johnson, State Tox Assesser	Dept. Bureau of Taration
Subject Property tax exemption of veterans wide	OWS.

Paragraph D of subsection III of section 10 of chapter 91-4 provides in part for preparty tex exception of "the estates up to the value of \$3500, having a tamble situs in the place of residence, of the unremarked wides or since child of any veteran who would be entitled to such compition if living, or who is in receipt of a pension or compansation from the Federal Government as the wides or since child of a veteran."

Paragraph P of the same subscattles provides in part that a vettres must have been a resident of this State at the time of his entry into service, or have been a resident of this state for at least 10 years prior to claiming exception, in order who he eligible for exception under the provisions of this subscatter. This paragraph also provides that a surviver of a deceased veteron must have been a resident of this state for at least 10 years prior to making the claim for exception, or must show that the descensed veteron under when the purviver simins would have been eligible for exception as required in paragraph P.

The question has arises at to whether the wider of a veterus, who is elaiming examption because her bushend, if living, would have been ever if years of agt, would be estitled to examption if she harself anote the residence requirements, although her decembed herband did not next the residence requirements. In the case in question the woman was born in this State and lived in Raine for more than 10 years as a child before going elements and marrying the veteran who neither entered service from Raine nor had 10 years residence in haine before his death. The question revolves around the language is paragraph D which reads "the unremarked wider . . . of any veteran who would be entitled to such examption if living. . . " Literally, this would appear to mean that the wider in question could not qualify, since her decembed hushand, not estisfying the residence requirements, would not in fact be entitled to such examption if living. . It is not it is notitled to such examption if living that the integrage of puragraph P stating that the services must have been a resident for at least 10 years, as must show that her deceased hushand put the residence requirements, would parall the wider in question to claim the examption.

Vill you please nevise.

BUJ

