MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

This document is from the files of the Office of the Maine Attorney General as transferred to the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library on January 19, 2022 Perry D. Hayden, Commissioner Hental Health and Corrections

Courtland D. Perry, Ass't. Atterney General Hental Health and Corrections

Status of Parelses Who Counit Offenses While on Parels from the Reformatory for
Hen and are Sentensed to the Maine State Prison.

QUESTION PRESENTED: When a pareless commits an offence while on parele from the Reformevery for Man and is contensed to the Maine State Prison, where should the parele violation time be served and when does the contenses for the new offence commence?

Under Section 16 of Chapter 27A of the Nevised Statutes of Naine, 197h, a paraloo who is contensed to the Maine State Prison after conviction for an effence countried while on paralo shall commons serving the second sentence upon matural termination or termination by the Probation - Paralo Board of the first. The basic problem appears to be in what institution and under what authority is the paralos from the Reformatory for Man held before commonsing his new contense when he has been delivered directly from the sentencing sourt to the State Prison. In such a situation, there is no anthoping in the Vardon of the State Prison for helding the insute in the prison while still under sentence to the Reformatory for Hou. There is one all-inclusive available sciution through the Probation - Paralo Seard and two other solutions, one of which depends upon the nature of the original sentence,

1. The Probation - Force Board, within its discretion, may discharge any paralos, mindementation, thus making it possible for the new sentence to converse in-modiately,

2. Procedures when Original Sentence to the Reformatory for Men was for a Hisdemounter:

The misdemeanant on parels from the Reformatory for Non when sentenced and delivered to the State Prison on a new effence should be transferred to the Reformatory under the warrant issued for his arrest as a parele violator. Such a warrant under Section 15 of Chapter 27A is authority for arresting and transporting to the institution from which he was released on parele. If the Probation - Parele Board has held a parole violation hearing and has revoked the parole of each impate, the order of the Board to remand such impate to the institution from which he was released is sutherly for the transfer of the impate from the State Prison back to the Reformatory for Hem to complete the original sentence in esperdence with the terms of such order. After such insute has completed his original sentence or it has been otherwise terminated by the Board, the countinent order of the court is authority to transfer such insute to the prison to commence serving sentence for the offence countited while on parole.

I. Procedure then Original Reformatory Santence was for a Valuey:

The procedure for transferring the innate back to the Reformatory shall be as described in (2) above. The fact that the parales conmitted a new offence while on perole may not properly be considered by the Superintendant of the Reformatory for Man or by the Secret of Transfer as determinative of inserrigibility under Section 75 of Chapter 27 of the Revised Statutes of Maine, 195k. In the yet unreported case of Oreen w. Robbing, the court discussed in passing the import of this Section and set forth that inserrigibility should be determined upon a consideration of a course of conduct within the institution and not upon any one isolated act. It becomes apparent from the reading of the Statute, along with the Green w. Robbins case, that the course of conduct forming the basis of a determination of incorrigibility must be consistent with the confinement in the institution.

It would be well, however, to keep in mind that a course of conduct followed in the implication before parole may be considered along with a course of conduct followed upon recommitment after parole violation; and may, as to follows, form a basis for a determination of incorrigibility and transfer may be ordered by the Board of Transfer.

CBP/slu GG: Attorney General's Dept. Courtland D. Perry Assistant Attorney General