

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

REPORT
OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calender years

1961 - 1962

A real estate broker's home may be his place of business if in fact he maintains an office in his home where he conducts his real estate business.

RICHARD A. FOLEY

Assistant Attorney General

February 8, 1962

To: Lloyd K. Allen, Commissioner of Economic Development

Re: Jacobs Pay Plan, Seniority provisions of

We have your request of January 18, 1962, for an opinion as to whether or not the seniority provisions of the Jacobs Pay Plan were adopted by the 100th Legislature and if you should consider these seniority pay increases as part of your budget planning for the coming biennium.

Chapter 199 of the Private & Special Laws of 1961 provides for an allocation for a pay plan which pay plan must be approved by the State Personnel Board. Section 5 of this same chapter indicates that the intent of the 100th Legislature was to adjust the compensation of the state salary plan to reflect competitive wages as indicated in the compensation plan dated October 1960. Although the intent as expressed in Section 5 would indicate that the Jacobs Plan had been adopted in full, the limitation of Section 1 providing that such plan must be approved by the State Personnel Board, being a specific rather than a general provision, is controlling. The State Personnel Board has never adopted the seniority provisions of the Jacobs Pay Plan. This is because the 100th Legislature did not appropriate the money necessary to effectuate this section of the plan. For this reason it is our opinion that the seniority provision of the Jacobs Plan has never been put into effect and that you should not consider these seniority pay increases as part of the budget planning for the next biennium.

THOMAS W. TAVENNER

Assistant Attorney General

February 8, 1962

To: Kermit S. Nickerson, Deputy Commissioner of Education

Re: Snow Plowing of School Driveways

This is in answer to your questions relative to the responsibility for plowing the snow from school property.

Question No. 1: "Is it the duty of a municipality to plow the driveways giving access to school buildings?"

Answer: Revised Statutes of 1954, Chapter 41, § 54, describes the duties of the superintending school committee and school directors.

Paragraph I of Section 54 provides:

"The management of the schools and the custody and care, including repairs and insurance on school buildings, of all school property in their administrative units."

If the driveways leading up to the school buildings of the public schools are