

## STATE OF MAINE

## REPORT

## OF THE

## ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years

1959 - 1960

MHISTATE HERARY the most recent amendment to this statute, that, indeed, was the legislative intent.

JAMES GLYNN FROST Deputy Attorney General

May 25, 1959

To: Stanley A. Jones, Chairman of Harness Racing Commission

Re: Collecting of \$10 license fee for Harness Racing

We have your memo of April 6, 1959, relating to the collecting of a \$10 license fee for each six days or less of harness racing whether or not pari mutuel pools are sold.

You ask if it is correct for the Commission to collect such \$10 license fee for races which do not have pari mutuel wagering.

Answer: No.

In considering the laws of 1952, which laws in relation to your question were then substantially as they are today, the Maine Law Court in *Maine State Raceways vs. LaFleur*, 147 Maine 367, 374, said no license is required of anyone who wishes to engage in the business of harness horse racing if there is no pari mutuel betting permitted.

On the basis of the Law Court decision, it is our opinion that the Commission should not collect a license fee for harness horse racing having no pari mutuel pools.

> JAMES GLYNN FROST Deputy Attorney General

> > May 25, 1959

To: Miss Ruth A. Hazelton, Librarian, Maine State Library

Re: Stipends — Municipal Appropriations or Expenditures

We have your memo of April 9, 1959, in which you ask if the state stipend as provided for in Chapter 42, Section 33, of the Revised Statutes of 1954 as amended, should be a percentage of the municipal appropriation or a percentage of the municipal expenditure.

In the 1954 revision of our laws, separate sections applied to the stipend to be paid municipalities according to whether the municipality maintained its own free library or secured for its inhabitants the free use of a library in another town.

In each case the 1954 law provided that "the officers shall annually, on or before the first day of May, certify to the State Librarian the amount of money appropriated and expended during the preceding year," for the aforesaid purposes of maintaining a library or securing the use of a library for its inhabitants. The state would then pay over to that municipality a sum of money according to the following formula:

"To municipalities appropriating and expending \$475 or less, 10%;